A STUDY OF MELLIN-BARNES TYPE INTEGRALS, GENERAL POLYNOMIALS AND INTEGRAL OPERATORS OF ARBITRARY ORDERS OF SINGLE AND MULTIPLE VARIABLES WITH APPLICATIONS

MANISH KUMAR BANSAL (2012RMA9542)



DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS MALAVIYA NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, JAIPUR December 2016

A STUDY OF MELLIN-BARNES TYPE INTEGRALS, GENERAL POLYNOMIALS AND INTEGRAL OPERATORS OF ARBITRARY ORDERS OF SINGLE AND MULTIPLE VARIABLES WITH APPLICATIONS

 $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{y}$

MANISH KUMAR BANSAL (2012RMA9542)

> under the supervision of Prof. Rashmi Jain

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

to the



Department of Mathematics

MALAVIYA NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, JAIPUR December 2016

© Malaviya National Institute of Technology (MNIT), Jaipur-2016.

All rights reserved.

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that Mr. Manish Kumar Bansal has worked under my supervision for the award of degree of **Doctor of Philosophy** in Mathematics on the topic entitled, **A STUDY OF MELLIN-BARNES TYPE IN-TEGRALS, GENERAL POLYNOMIALS AND INTEGRAL OPER-ATORS OF ARBITRARY ORDERS OF SINGLE AND MULTIPLE VARIABLES WITH APPLICATIONS**. The findings contained in this thesis are original and have not been submitted to any University/Institute, in part or full, for award of any degree.

Jaipur December 2016 Dr. Rashmi Jain Professor Department of Mathematics Malaviya National Institute of Technology,

Jaipur

DECELERATION

I hereby declare that the thesis entitled A STUDY OF MELLIN-BARNES TYPE INTEGRALS, GENERAL POLYNOMIALS AND INTEGRAL OPERATORS OF ARBITRARY ORDERS OF SINGLE AND MUL-TIPLE VARIABLES WITH APPLICATIONS is my own work conducted under the supervision of Dr. RASHMI JAIN, Professor, Department of Mathematics, Malaviya National Institute of Technology Jaipur, Rajasthan, India.

I firmly declare that the presented work does not contain any part of any work that has been submitted for the award of any degree either in this University or in any other University/Institute without proper citation.

Jaipur December 2016 MANISH KUMAR BANSAL

(2012 RMA9542)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I feel great privilege and pleasure in expressing my sincere and deepest sense of gratitude to my supervisor and mentor *Prof. (Mrs.) Rashmi Jain*, Department of Mathematics for her scholarly guidance and affection. It is because of her help-ful attitude, incessant directions, invaluable support, uninterrupted and expert supervision that I could accomplish the present manuscript.

I acknowledge my sincere gratitude to *Prof. Uday Kumar*, Director and *Prof. I. K. Bhatt*, Former Director, MNIT for his kind help, inspiration and encouragement. I am also thankful to, *Dr. Vatsala Mathur*, Head of Department of Mathematics for their timely suggestions, support and facility provided. I express my deep and profound sense of gratitude to my DREC members *Dr. Vatsala Mathur, Dr. Sanjay Bhatter, Dr. Ritu Agarwal*, Department of Mathematics, MNIT, Jaipur, for their persistent encouragement and suggestions.

My heartfelt gratitude is due to *Dr. K.C. Gupta*, former Professor and Head, Department of Mathematics, MNIT, Jaipur for his all time invaluable support, matchless suggestions, uninching and timely help that paved the way for completion of my thesis.

My special regards are due to *Mr. R. K. Jain*, IAS (husband of Prof. Rashmi Jain) and *Mrs. Prakash Gupta* (wife of Prof K.C. Gupta) for showering their affectionate blessings and best wishes for the accomplishment of my work. I am much grateful to all the faculty members, my senior and junior research workers for their kind cooperation and enthusiastic encouragement.

I am also thankful to all the peoples who helped me directly or indirectly during my PhD work.

Words are inadequate enough to express my sincere gratitude to my beloved parents, *Shri Parushotam Das Bansal* and *Smt. Savita Bansal*, for their keen interest and for being source of tremendous strength and energy to me throughout this work. I would be failing in my duty if I don't express my thanks towards my elderly family members for their emotional encouragement and support, thanks are also due to my elder sister *Mrs. Swati Garg* and elder brother *Mr. Amit Bansal* and sister in law *Shikha Bansal* for their kind affection and sharing my responsibilities during this span of life.

Finally, I express my sincere indebtedness to the almight for his kind and everlasting blessings.

(MANISH KUMAR BANSAL)

ABSTRACT

Chapter 1 is intended to provide an introduction to various functions, polynomials, integral transforms and fractional integral operators studied by some of the earlier researchers. Further, we present the brief chapter by chapter summary of the thesis. Finally, we give a list of research papers which have either been published or accepted for publication in reputed journals having a bearing on subject matter of the thesis.

In Chapter 2, we first present an integral representation and Mellin transform of S-generalized Gauss hypergeometric function. Next, we give its complex integral representation and a relationship between S-generalized Gauss hypergeometric function and H-function of two variables. Further, we introduce a new integral transform whose kernel is the S-generalized Gauss hypergeometric function and point out its three special cases which are also believed to be new. We specify that the well-known Gauss hypergeometric function transform follows as a simple special case of our integral transforms. Next, we established an inversion formula for above integral transform. Finally, we establish image of Fox H-function under the S-Generalized Gauss hypergeometric function transform and also obtain the images of five useful and important functions which are special cases of Fox H-function under the S-generalized Gauss hypergeometric function transform.

In Chapter 3, we study a pair of a general class of fractional integral operators whose kernels involve the product of a Appell Polynomial, Fox H-function and S-Generalized Gauss Hypergeometric Function. First we define and give the conditions of existence of the operators of our study and then we obtain the images of certain useful functions in them. Further, we evaluate four new integrals involving Appell's Function, Multivariate generalized Mittag-Leffler Function, generalization of the modified Bessel function and generalized hypergeometric function by the application of the images established and also gives the three unknown and two known integral of these operators. Next we develop six results wherein the first two contain the Mellin transform of these operators, the next two the corresponding inversion formulae and the last two their Mellin convolutions. Later on, we establish a theorem analogous to the well known Parseval Goldstein theorem for our unified fractional integral operators.

In Chapter 4, we first derive three new and interesting expressions for the composition of the two fractional integral operators, which are slight variants of the operators defined in Chapter 3. Finally, we obtain two interesting finite double integral formulae as an application of our first composition formula known results which follow as special case of our findings have also been mentioned.

In Chapter 5, we evaluate two unified and general finite integrals. The first

integral involves the product of the Appell Polynomial $A_n(z)$, the Generalized form of the Astrophysical Thermonuclear function I_3 and Generalized Mittag – Leffler Function $E_{\alpha,\beta,\tau,\mu,\rho,p}^{\gamma,\delta}(z;s,r)$. Next, we give five special cases of our main integral. The second integral involves the I_3 and \overline{H} – function. Further, we give the five special cases of our main integral

In Chapter 6, we find the solution of Bagley Torvik Equation using Generalized Differential Transform Method (GDTM). Since the function f(t) taken in this chapter is general in nature by specializing the function f(t) and taking different values of constants A, B and C. We can obtain a large number of special cases of Bagley Torvik Equation. Our findings match with the results obtained earlier by Ghorbani et al. [29] by He's variational iteration method. Further, we find the solution of Fractional Relaxation Oscillation Equation using GDTM. Since the function f(x) taken in this chapter is general in nature by specializing the function f(x) and taking different values of constants A. we can obtain a large number of special cases of Fractional Relaxation Oscillation Equation. Here we give eight numerical examples. Furthermore these examples are also represented graphically by using the MATHEMATICA SOFTWARE. Finally, we find the solution of Fractional Order Riccati Differential Equation using GDTM. and we give eight numerical examples. Furthermore these examples are also represented graphically by using the MATHEMATICA SOFTWARE.

Contents

1	INT	ROD	UCTION TO THE TOPIC OF STUDY AND CHAP-	AND CHAP-		
	TE	R BY	CHAPTER SUMMARY OF THE THESIS	1		
	1.1	SPEC	IAL FUNCTIONS	2		
		1.1.1	S-GENERALIZED GAUSS HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNC-			
			TION	4		
		1.1.2	THE H- FUNCTION	6		
		1.1.3	THE \overline{H} -FUNCTION	9		
		1.1.4	THE MULTIVARIABLE H -FUNCTION	15		
		1.1.5	THE APPELL POLYNOMIALS	19		
		1.1.6	INTEGRAL TRANSFORM	21		
	1.2	FRAC	TIONAL CALCULUS	23		
1.3 FRACTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS				27		
		1.3.1	BAGLEY TORVIK EQUATION	28		
		1.3.2	FRACTIONAL RELAXATION–OSCILLATION			
			EQUATION	29		

		1.3.3	FRACTIONAL ORDER RICCATI DIFFERENTIAL EQUA-	-	
			TION	30	
	1.4	METH	HODS OF SOLUTION OF FRACTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL		
		EQUATIONS			
	1.5	5 BRIEF CHAPTER BY CHAPTER			
		SUMMARY OF THE THESIS			
	1.6	.6 LIST OF RESEARCH PAPERS CONTRIBUTED BY THE			
		THOF	8	36	
2	S-G AN	S-GENERALIZED GAUSS HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTION AND AN INTEGRAL TRANSFORM ASSOCIATED WITH IT			
	2.1	INTR	ODUCTION	41	
	2.2	MAIN	RESULTS	43	
	2.3	THE	S-GENERALIZED GAUSS		
		HYPE	RGEOMETRIC FUNCTION		
		TRAN	SFORM	47	
	2.4	THE S	S-GENERALIZED GAUSS		
		HYPE	RGEOMETRIC TRANSFORM OF THE H-FUNCTION .	51	
		2.4.1	SPECIAL CASES	52	
3	FRACTIONAL INTEGRAL OPERATORS WITH APPLICA-				
	IIC	UND GNID		57	
	3.1	INTR	ODUCTION	58	
	3.2	IMAG	ES	64	
	3.3	APPL	ICATIONS	68	

	3.4	SPECIAL CASES OF FRACTIONAL			
		INTEGRAL OPERATORS	72		
	3.5	MELLIN TRANSFORM, INVERSION			
		FORMULAS AND MELLIN			
		CONVOLUTIONS	74		
	3.6	ANALOGUS OF PARSEVAL GOLDSTEIN THEOREM	78		
4	CO OP	MPOSITION FORMULAE FOR FRACTIONAL INTEGRAI ERATORS	L 81		
	4.1	INTRODUCTION	82		
	4.2	COMPOSITION FORMULAE FOR THE FRACTIONAL INTE-			
		GRAL OPERATORS	83		
	4.3	APPLICATIONS AND SPECIAL CASES	90		
5	A STUDY OF UNIFIED FINITE INTEGRALS INVOLVING ASSOCIATED I_3 FUNCTION, GENERALIZED MITTAG LEF- FLER FUNCTION, \overline{H} FUNCTION AND APPELL POLYNO-				
	IVII.		95		
	5.1		97		
	5.2	FIRST INTEGRAL	103		
	5.3	SPECIAL CASES OF THE FIRST			
		INTEGRAL	104		
	5.4	SECOND INTEGRAL	109		
	5.5	SPECIAL CASES OF THE SECOND			

6	SOI BY	LUTIO GENE	N OF FRACTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION RALIZED DIFFERENTIAL TRANSFORM METHO	IONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION FERENTIAL TRANSFORM METHOD	
	(GDTM) 11 ⁷				
	6.1 INTRODUCTION				
		6.1.1	CAPUTO FRACTIONAL DERIVATIVE OF		
			ORDER α	120	
		6.1.2	KNOWN BASIC THEOREMS	120	
		6.1.3	GENERALIZED DIFFERENTIAL TRANSFORM METHO	D	
			(GDTM)	121	
		6.1.4	SOME BASIC PROPERTIES OF THE		
			GENERALIZED DIFFERENTIAL TRANSFORM	122	
	6.2	APPL	ICATION OF GDTM TO BAGLEY TORVIK EQUATION	122	
	6.3	 APPLICATION OF GDTM TO FRACTIONAL RELAXATION OSCILLATION EQUATION			
	6.4				
	CATI DIFFERENTIAL				
		EQUA	TION AND NUMERICAL RESULT	131	
BI	BIBLIOGRAPHY 139				
BI	BIOGRAPHICAL PROFILE OF RESEARCHER 15				
LIST OF RESEARCH PAPERS 163					

The present chapter deals with an introduction to the topic of the study as well as a brief review of the contributions made by some of the earlier workers on the subject matter presented in this thesis. Next a brief chapter by chapter summary of the thesis has been given. At the end of this chapter, list of research paper having a bearing on subject matter has been given.

1.1 SPECIAL FUNCTIONS

Special functions have vast applications in all branches of engineering, applied sciences, statistics and various other fields. A large number of eminent mathematicians such as Euler, Gauss, Kummer, Ramanujan and several others worked out hard to develop the commonly used special functions like the Gamma function, the elliptic functions, Bessel functions, Whittaker functions and polynomials that go by the name of Jacobi, Legendre, Laguerre, Hermite.

The core of special functions is the Gauss hypergeometric functions $_2F_1$, introduced by famous mathematicians C F Gauss. It is represented by the following series:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!} = 1 + \frac{a.b}{c} \frac{z}{1!} + \frac{a.(a+1).b.(b+1)}{c.(c+1)} \frac{z^2}{2!} + \dots$$
(1.1.1)

where

$$(a)_n = a(a+1)(a+2)\cdots(a+n-1)$$
 for $n \ge 0; (a)_0 = 1, c \ne 0, -1, -2, \cdots$

a, b, c and z may be real or complex. Also if either of the numbers a or b is a non-positive integer, the function reduces to a polynomial, but if c is non-positive

integer, the function is not defined since all but a finite number of terms of the series become infinite.

This series has a fundamental importance in the theory of special function and is known as Gauss hypergeometric series. It is usually represented by the symbol $_2F_1(a, b; c; z)$ the well known Gauss hypergeometric function.

In (1.1.1), if we replace z by $\frac{z}{b}$ and let $b \to \infty$ then

$$\frac{(b)_n}{b^n} z^n \to z^n$$

and we arrive at the following well known Kummer's series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n}{(c)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!} = 1 + \frac{a}{c} \frac{z}{1!} + \frac{a \cdot (a+1)}{c \cdot (c+1)} \frac{z^2}{2!} + \dots$$
(1.1.2)

It is represented by the symbol ${}_{1}F_{1}(a;c;z)$ and is known as confluent hypergeometric function.

A natural generalization of ${}_2F_1$ is the generalized hypergeometric function ${}_pF_q$, which is defined in the following manner:

$${}_{p}F_{q}\left[\begin{array}{c}a_{1},\cdots,a_{p};\\ & z\\b_{1},\cdots,b_{q};\end{array}\right] = {}_{p}F_{q}[a_{1},\cdots,a_{p};b_{1},\cdots,b_{q};z]$$
$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(a_{1})_{n}\cdots(a_{p})_{n}}{(b_{1})_{n}\cdots(b_{q})_{n}}\frac{z^{n}}{n!}$$
(1.1.3)

where p and q are either positive integers or zero and empty product is interpreted as unity, the variable z and all the parameters a_1, \dots, a_p ; b_1, \dots, b_q are real or complex numbers such that no denominator parameters is zero or a negative integer.

The conditions of convergence of the function ${}_{p}F_{q}$ are as follow:

- (i) when $p \leq q$, the series on the right hand side of (1.1.3) is convergent.
- (ii) when p = q+1, the series is convergent if |z| < 1 and divergent when |z| > 1, and on the circle |z| = 1, the series is
 - (a) absolutely convergent if $\Re(w) > 0$
 - (b) conditionally convergent if $-1 < \Re(w) < 0$ for $z \neq 1$
 - (c) divergent if $\Re(w) \leq -1$

where $w = \sum_{j=1}^{q} b_j - \sum_{j=1}^{p} a_j$

(iii) when p > q + 1, the series never converges except when z = 0 and the function is only defined when the series terminates.

A comprehensive account of the functions $_2F_1$, $_1F_1$ and $_pF_q$ can be found in the works of Luke [67], Slater [106], Exton [25] and Rainville [90] and their applications can be found in Mathai and Saxena [74].

1.1.1 S-GENERALIZED GAUSS HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTION

The S-generalized Gauss hypergeometric function $F_p^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)}(a,b;c;z)$ was introduced and investigated by Srivastava et al. [113, p. 350, Eq. (1.12)]. It is represented in the following manner:

$$F_p^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)}(a,b;c;z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a)_n \frac{B_p^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)}(b+n,c-b)}{B(b,c-b)} \frac{z^n}{n!} \qquad (|z|<1) \qquad (1.1.4)$$

provided that $(\Re(p) \ge 0; \min\{\Re(\alpha), \Re(\beta), \Re(\tau), \Re(\mu)\} > 0; \Re(c) > \Re(b) > 0)$

in terms of the classical Beta function $B(\lambda, \mu)$ and the S-generalized Beta function $B_p^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)}(x,y)$, which was also defined by Srivastava et al. [113, p. 350, Eq. (1.13)] as follows:

$$B_p^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)}(x,y) = \int_0^1 t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} {}_1F_1\left(\alpha;\beta; -\frac{p}{t^\tau(1-t)^\mu}\right) dt$$
(1.1.5)

$$(\Re(p) \ge 0; \quad \min\{\Re(x), \Re(y), \Re(\alpha), \Re(\beta), \Re(\tau), \Re(\mu)\} > 0)$$

If we take p = 0 in (1.1.5), it reduces to classical Beta Function and $(\lambda)_n$ denotes the Pochhammer symbol defined (for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$) by (see [115, p. 2 and pp. 4-6]; see also [114, p. 2]):

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda)_n &= \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+n)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \\ &= \begin{cases} 1, & (n=0) \\ \lambda(\lambda+1)\dots(\lambda+n-1), & (n\in\mathbb{N} & :=\{1,2,3,\dots\}) \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$
(1.1.6)

provided that the Gamma quotient exists (see, for details,[108, p. 16 et seq.] and [112, p. 22 et seq.]).

For $\tau = \mu$, the S-generalized Gauss hypergeometric function defined by (1.1.4) reduces to the following generalized Gauss hypergeometric function $F_p^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau)}(a,b;c;z)$ studied earlier by Parmar [83, p. 44]:

$$F_p^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau)}(a,b;c;z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a)_n \frac{B_p^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau)}(b+n,c-b)}{B(b,c-b)} \frac{z^n}{n!} \qquad (|z|<1)$$
(1.1.7)

$$(\Re(p)\geq 0; \quad \min\{\Re(\alpha), \Re(\beta), \Re(\tau)\}>0; \quad \Re(c)>\Re(b)>0).$$

which, in the *further* special case when $\tau = 1$, reduces to the following extension of the generalized Gauss hypergeometric function (see, e.g., [82, p. 4606, Section 3]; see also [81, p. 39]):

$$F_p^{(\alpha,\beta)}(a,b;c;z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a)_n \frac{B_p^{(\alpha,\beta)}(b+n,c-b)}{B(b,c-b)} \frac{z^n}{n!} \qquad (|z|<1)$$
(1.1.8)

$$(\Re(p) \geqslant 0; \quad \min\{\Re(\alpha), \Re(\beta)\} > 0; \quad \Re(c) > \Re(b) > 0)$$

Upon setting $\alpha = \beta$ in (1.1.8), we arrive at the following Extended Gauss hypergeometric function (see [13, p. 591, Eqs. (2.1) and (2.2)]:

$$F_p(a,b;c;z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a)_n \frac{B_p(b+n,c-b)}{B(b,c-b)} \frac{z^n}{n!} \qquad (|z|<1)$$
(1.1.9)

$$(\Re(p) \ge 0; \quad \Re(c) > \Re(b) > 0)$$

1.1.2 THE H- FUNCTION

The H-function is defined by the following Mellin-Barnes type integral [109, p. 10] with the integrand containing products and quotients of the Euler gamma functions. Such a function generalizes most of the known special functions.

$$H_{P,Q}^{M,N}[z] = H_{P,Q}^{M,N} \left[z \middle| \begin{array}{c} (a_j, \alpha_j)_{1,P} \\ (b_j, \beta_j)_{1,Q} \end{array} \right] = H_{P,Q}^{M,N} \left[z \middle| \begin{array}{c} (a_1, \alpha_1), \cdots, (a_P, \alpha_P) \\ (b_1, \beta_1), \cdots, (b_Q, \beta_Q) \end{array} \right]$$

$$:= \frac{1}{2\pi\omega} \int_{\mathfrak{L}} \Theta(\mathfrak{s}) z^{\mathfrak{s}} d\mathfrak{s}, \qquad (1.1.10)$$

where $\omega = \sqrt{-1}, z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \mathbb{C}$ being the set of complex numbers, and

$$\Theta(\mathfrak{s}) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{M} \Gamma(b_j - \beta_j \mathfrak{s}) \prod_{j=1}^{N} \Gamma(1 - a_j + \alpha_j \mathfrak{s})}{\prod_{j=M+1}^{Q} \Gamma(1 - b_j + \beta_j \mathfrak{s}) \prod_{j=N+1}^{P} \Gamma(a_j - \alpha_j \mathfrak{s})}, \qquad (1.1.11)$$

Also M, N, P and Q are non-negative integers satisfying $1 \leq M \leq Q$ and $0 \leq N \leq P$; $\alpha_j (j = 1, \dots, P)$ and $\beta_j (j = 1, \dots, Q)$ are assumed to be positive quantities for standardization purposes. The definition of the H-function given by (1.1.10) will, however, have meaning even if some of these quantities are zero. Also, $a_j (j = 1, \dots, P)$ and $b_j (j = 1, \dots, Q)$ are complex numbers such that none of the points

$$\mathfrak{s} = \frac{b_h + \nu}{\beta_h} \quad h = 1, \cdots, M; \nu = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$$
 (1.1.12)

which are the poles of $\Gamma(b_h - \beta_h s), h = 1, \dots, M$ and the points

$$\mathfrak{s} = \frac{a_i - \eta - 1}{\alpha_i}$$
 $i = 1, \cdots, N; \eta = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ (1.1.13)

which are the poles of $\Gamma(1 - a_i + \alpha_i s)$ coincide with one another, i.e

$$\alpha_i(b_h + \nu) \neq b_h(a_i - \eta - 1)$$
 (1.1.14)

for $\nu, \eta = 0, 1, 2, \dots; h = 1, \dots, M; i = 1, \dots, N.$

Further, the contour \mathfrak{L} runs from $-\omega\infty$ to $+\omega\infty$ such that the poles $\Gamma(b_h - \beta_h s), h = 1, \cdots, M$, lie to the right left of \mathfrak{L} and the poles of $\Gamma(1 - a_i + \alpha_i s), \quad i = 1, \cdots, N$ lie to the left of \mathfrak{L} . Such a contour is possible on account of (1.1.14). These assumptions will be adhered to throughout the present work.

SPECIAL CASES

The following special cases of the H-function have been made use in this thesis:

1. Lorenzo-Hartley G-function [34, p. 64, Eq. (2.3)]

$$H_{1,2}^{1,1}\left[-az^{q} \middle| \begin{array}{c} (1-r,1) \\ (0,1), \\ (1+\nu-rq,q) \end{array} \right] = \frac{\Gamma(r)}{z^{rq-\nu-1}}G_{q,\nu,r}[a,z] \quad (1.1.15)$$

Here $G_{q,\nu,r}$ is the Lorenzo-Hartley G-function [66].

2. Generalized Mittag-Leffler function [73, p. 25, Eq. (1.137)]

$$H_{1,2}^{1,1}\left[-z \middle| \begin{array}{c} (1-\gamma,1)\\ (0,1), \\ \end{array} (1-\beta,\alpha) \end{array} \right] = \Gamma(\gamma)E_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}(z)$$
(1.1.16)
$$(\alpha,\beta,\gamma\in\mathbb{C}; \qquad \Re(\alpha,\beta,\gamma) > 0)$$

where $E_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}$ is the generalized Mittag-Leffler function given by [87].

3. Generalized Hypergeometric function [109, p. 18, Eq. (2.6.3)]

$$H_{p,q+1}^{1,p}\left[z \mid (1-a_j,1)_{1,p} \atop (0,1), (1-b_j,1)_{1,q}\right] = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p \Gamma(a_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma(b_j)} {}_pF_q[(a_p);(b_q);-z]; \quad (1.1.17)$$

4. Generalized Bessel Maitland Function [73, p. 25, Eq. (1.139)]

$$H_{1,3}^{1,1}\left[\frac{z^2}{4} \middle| \begin{array}{c} (\lambda + \frac{\nu}{2}, 1) \\ (\lambda + \frac{\nu}{2}, 1), (\frac{\nu}{2}, 1), (\mu(\lambda + \frac{\nu}{2}) - \lambda - \nu, \mu) \end{array} \right] = J_{\nu,\lambda}^{\mu}(z) \quad (1.1.18)$$

where $J^{\mu}_{\nu,\lambda}$ is the Generalized Bessel Maitland Function [72, p. 128, Eq. (8.2)]

5. Wright's Generalized Bessel Function [109, p. 19, Eq. (2.6.10)]

$$H_{0,2}^{1,0}\left[z \middle| \begin{array}{c} --\\ (0,1), (-\lambda,\nu) \end{array}\right] = J_{\lambda}^{\nu}(z)$$
(1.1.19)

6. Krätzel Function [73, p. 25, Eq. (1.141)]

$$H_{0,2}^{2,0}\left[z \mid \frac{--}{(0,1), (\frac{\nu}{\rho}, \frac{1}{\rho})}\right] = \rho Z_{\rho}^{\nu}(z) \qquad z, \nu \in \mathbb{C}, \rho > 0$$
(1.1.20)

where Z^{ν}_{ρ} is the Krätzel Function [62].

7. Modified Bessel function of the third kind [30, p. 155, Eq. (2.6)]

$$H_{1,2}^{2,0} \begin{bmatrix} z & \left(1 - \frac{\sigma + 1}{\beta}, \frac{1}{\beta}\right) \\ (0,1), & \left(-\gamma - \frac{\sigma}{\beta}, \frac{1}{\beta}\right) \end{bmatrix} = \lambda_{\gamma,\sigma}^{(\beta)}(z) \quad (1.1.21)$$

1.1.3 THE \overline{H} -FUNCTION

Though the H-function is sufficiently general in nature, many useful functions notably generalized Riemann Zeta function [23], the polylogarithm of complex order [23], the exact partition of the Gaussian model in statistical mechanics [50], a certain class of Feynman integrals [23] and others do not form its special cases. Inayat Hussain [50] introduced a generalization of the H-function popularly known as \overline{H} -function which includes all the above mentioned functions as its special cases. This function is developing fast and stands on a firm footing through the publications of Buschman and Srivastava [10], Rathie [91], Saxena [103, 104], Gupta and Soni [42], Jain and Sharma [53], Gupta, Jain and Sharma

[41], Gupta, Jain and Agrawal [40] and several others. The \overline{H} -function is defined and represented in the following manner:

$$\overline{H}_{p,q}^{m,n}[z] = \overline{H}_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[z \middle| \begin{array}{c} (e_j, E_j; \in_j)_{1,n}, & (e_j, E_j)_{n+1,p} \\ (f_j, F_j)_{1,m}, & (f_j, F_j; \Im_j)_{m+1,q} \end{array} \right]$$
$$:= \frac{1}{2\pi\omega} \int_{\Omega} \overline{\Theta}(\xi) z^{\xi} d\xi \qquad (1.1.22)$$

where, $\omega = \sqrt{-1}, z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \mathbb{C}$ being the set of complex numbers,

$$\overline{\Theta}(\xi) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m} \Gamma(f_j - F_j \xi) \prod_{j=1}^{n} \{ \Gamma(1 - e_j + E_j \xi) \}^{\in_j}}{\prod_{j=m+1}^{q} \{ \Gamma(1 - f_j + F_j \xi) \}^{\Im_j} \prod_{j=n+1}^{p} \Gamma(e_j - E_j \xi)}$$
(1.1.23)

It may be noted that $\overline{\Theta}(\xi)$ contains fractional powers of some of the gamma functions. m, n, p, q are integers such $1 \leq m \leq q, 0 \leq n \leq p, (E_j)_{1,p}, (F_j)_{1,q}$ and $(\in_j)_{1,n}, (\Im_j)_{m+1,q}$ are positive quantities for standardization purpose. The definition (1.1.22) will however have meaning even if some of these quantities are zero, giving us in turn simple transformation formulae.

 $(e_j)_{1,p}$ and $(f_j)_{1,q}$ are complex numbers such that the points

$$\xi = \frac{f_j + k}{F_j}$$
 $j = 1, \cdots, m;$ $k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$

which are the poles of $\Gamma(f_j - F_j\xi)$, and the points

$$\xi = \frac{e_j - 1 - k}{E_j}$$
 $j = 1, \cdots, n;$ $k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$

which are the singularities of $\{\Gamma(1 - e_j + E_j\xi)\}^{\in_j}$, do not coincide. We retain these assumptions throughout the thesis. The contour \mathfrak{L} is the line from $c - i\infty$ to $c + i\infty$, suitably intended to keep the poles of $\Gamma(f_j - F_j\xi)$ $j = 1, \dots, m$ to the right of the path, and the singularities of $\{\Gamma(1 - e_j + E_j\xi)\}^{\in_j}$ $j = 1, \dots, n$ to the left of the path. If $\in_i = \mathfrak{I}_j = 1$ $(i = 1, \dots, n; j = m + 1, \dots, q)$, the \overline{H} -function reduces to the familiar H-function.

The following sufficient conditions for the absolute convergence of the defining integral for \overline{H} -function given by (1.1.22) have been given by Gupta, Jain and Agarwal [40]

$$(i) |\arg(z)| < \frac{1}{2}\Omega\pi \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega > 0$$

$$(ii) |\arg(z)| = \frac{1}{2}\Omega\pi \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega \ge 0$$
and (a) $\mu \neq 0$ and the contour \mathfrak{L} is so chosen that $(c\mu + \lambda + 1) < 0$
(b) $\mu = 0$ and $(\lambda + 1) < 0$
(1.1.24)

where

$$\Omega = \sum_{j=1}^{m} F_j + \sum_{j=1}^{n} E_j \in_j - \sum_{j=m+1}^{q} F_j \Im_j - \sum_{j=n+1}^{p} E_j$$
(1.1.25)

$$\mu = \sum_{j=1}^{n} E_j \in_j + \sum_{j=n+1}^{p} E_j - \sum_{j=1}^{m} F_j - \sum_{j=m+1}^{q} F_j \Im_j$$
(1.1.26)

$$\lambda = \Re\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} f_j + \sum_{j=m+1}^{q} f_j \Im_j - \sum_{j=1}^{n} e_j \in j - \sum_{j=n+1}^{p} e_j\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} e_j - \sum_{j=m+1}^{q} \Im_j + p - m - n\right)$$
(1.1.27)

The following series representation for the \overline{H} -Function given by Rathie [91] and Saxena [103] has been used in the present work:

$$\overline{H}_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[z \middle| \begin{array}{c} (e_j, E_j; \in_j)_{1,n}, & (e_j, E_j)_{n+1,p} \\ (f_j, F_j)_{1,m}, & (f_j, F_j; \Im_j)_{m+1,q} \end{array} \right] = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{h=1}^{m} \overline{\Theta}(\mathfrak{s}_{t,h}) z^{\mathfrak{s}_{t,h}} \quad (1.1.28)$$

where,

$$\overline{\Theta}(\mathfrak{s}_{t,h}) = \frac{\prod_{j=1, j \neq h}^{m} \Gamma(f_j - F_j \mathfrak{s}_{t,h}) \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left\{ \Gamma(1 - e_j + E_j \mathfrak{s}_{t,h}) \right\}^{\epsilon_j}}{\prod_{j=m+1}^{q} \left\{ \Gamma(1 - f_j + F_j \mathfrak{s}_{t,h}) \right\}^{\mathfrak{I}_j} \prod_{j=n+1}^{p} \Gamma(e_j - E_j \mathfrak{s}_{t,h})} \frac{(-1)^t}{t! F_h}, \quad \mathfrak{s}_{t,h} = \frac{f_h + t}{F_h}$$
(1.1.29)

In the Sequel, we shall also make use of the following behavior of the $\overline{H}_{p,q}^{m,n}[z]$ function for small and large value of z as recorded by Saxena et al.

[102, p. 112, Eqs.(2.3) and (2.4)].

$$\overline{H}_{p,q}^{m,n}[z] = O[|z|^{\alpha}], \text{ for small } z, \text{ where } \quad \alpha = \min_{1 \le j \le m} \Re\left(\frac{f_j}{F_j}\right)$$
(1.1.30)

$$\overline{H}_{p,q}^{m,n}[z] = O[|z|^{\beta}], \text{ for large } z, \text{ where } \quad \beta = \max_{1 \le j \le n} \Re\left(\in_j \left(\frac{e_j - 1}{E_j}\right)\right) \quad (1.1.31)$$

provided that either of the following conditions are satisfied:

(i)
$$\mu < 0$$
 and $0 < |z| < \infty$
(ii) $\mu = 0$ and $0 < |z| < \delta^{-1}$ (1.1.32)

where

$$\mu = \sum_{j=1}^{n} E_j \in_j + \sum_{j=n+1}^{p} E_j - \sum_{j=1}^{m} F_j - \sum_{j=m+1}^{q} F_j \Im_j$$
(1.1.33)

$$\delta = \prod_{j=1}^{n} (E_j)^{E_j \in j} \prod_{j=n+1}^{p} (E_j)^{E_j} \prod_{j=1}^{m} (F_j)^{-F_j} \prod_{m+1}^{q} (F_j)^{-F_j \Im_j}$$
(1.1.34)

SPECIAL CASES

The following special cases of the \overline{H} -function have been made use in this thesis:

(I) The Polylogarithm of order p [23, p.30, §1.11, Eq. (14)] and [39, p.

315, Eq. (1.9)]

$$F(z,p) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^r}{r^p} = z \overline{H}_{1,2}^{1,1} \left[-z \left| \begin{array}{c} (0,1;p+1) \\ (0,1), \end{array} \right| (-1,1;p) \right]$$
$$= -\overline{H}_{1,2}^{1,1} \left[-z \left| \begin{array}{c} (1,1;p+1) \\ (1,1), \end{array} \right| (0,1;p) \right]$$
(1.1.35)

Here F(z, p) is the polylogarithm function of order p.

(II) The Generalized Wright Hypergeometric Function [41, p. 271, Eq.

(7)]

$${}_{p}\overline{\Psi}_{q}\left(\begin{array}{c}(e_{j},E_{j};\in_{j})_{1,p};\\(f_{j},F_{j};\mathfrak{S}_{j})_{1,q};\end{array}\right)=\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{p}\left\{\Gamma(e_{j}+E_{j}r)\right\}^{\in_{j}}}{\prod_{j=1}^{q}\left\{\Gamma(f_{j}+F_{j}r)\right\}^{\mathfrak{S}_{j}}r!}$$
$$=\overline{H}_{p,q+1}^{1,p}\left[-z\left|\begin{array}{c}(1-e_{j},E_{j};\in_{j})_{1,p}\\(0,1),(1-f_{j},F_{j};\mathfrak{S}_{j})_{1,q}\end{array}\right]$$
(1.1.36)

 $_{p}\overline{\Psi}_{q}$ reduces to $_{p}\Psi_{q}$, the familiar Wright's Generalized hypergeometric function [109, p. 19, Eq. (2.6.11)], when all the exponents $(\in_{j})_{1,n}$, $(\Im_{j})_{m+1,q}$ take the value 1.

(III) The Generalized Riemann Zeta Function [23, p. 27, §1.11, Eq. (1)]

and [39, pp. 314-315, Eq. (1.6) and (1.7)]

$$\phi(z, p, \eta) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^r}{(\eta + r)^p} = \overline{H}_{2,2}^{1,2} \left[-z \left| \begin{array}{cc} (0, 1; 1), & (1 - \eta, 1; p) \\ (0, 1), & (-\eta, 1; p) \end{array} \right]$$
(1.1.37)

(IV) Generalized Hurwitz Lerch Zeta Function [51, pp. 147 & 151, Eqs. (6.2.5)

and (6.4.2)]

$$\begin{split} \phi_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(z,p,\eta) &= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_r(\beta)_r}{(\gamma)_r r!} \frac{z^r}{(\eta+r)^p} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \overline{H}_{3,3}^{1,3} \left[-z \left| \begin{array}{cc} (1-\eta,1;p), (1-\alpha,1;1), & (1-\beta,1;1) \\ (0,1), (1-\gamma,1;1), & (-\eta,1;p) \\ (1.1.38) \end{array} \right] \end{split}$$

(V) Generalized Wright Bessel Function [41, p. 271, Eq.(8)]

$$\overline{J}_{\lambda}^{\nu,\mu}(z) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-z)^r}{r! (\Gamma(1+\lambda+\nu r))^{\mu}}$$
$$= \overline{H}_{0,2}^{1,0} \left[z \middle| (0,1), (-\lambda,\nu;\mu) \right]$$
(1.1.39)

(VI) A Generalization of the Generalized Hypergeometric Function

$$\begin{bmatrix} 41, \text{ p. } 271, \text{ Eq. } (9) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$p \overline{F}_{q} \begin{pmatrix} (e_{j}, \in_{j})_{1,p}; \\ (f_{j}, \Im_{j})_{1,q}; \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{p} \{(e_{j})_{r}\}^{\epsilon_{j}}}{\prod_{j=1}^{q} \{(f_{j})_{r}\}^{\Im_{j}}} \frac{z^{r}}{r!} = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{q} \{\Gamma(f_{j})\}^{\Im_{j}}}{\prod_{j=1}^{p} \{\Gamma(e_{j})\}^{\epsilon_{j}}} \overline{H}_{p,q+1}^{1,p} \left[-z \middle| \begin{array}{c} (1 - e_{j}, 1; \in_{j})_{1,p} \\ (0, 1), (1 - f_{j}, 1; \Im_{j})_{1,q} \end{array} \right]$$

$$= \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{q} \{\Gamma(f_{j})\}^{\Im_{j}}}{\prod_{j=1}^{p} \{\Gamma(e_{j})\}^{\epsilon_{j}}} \overline{p\Psi_{q}} \begin{pmatrix} (e_{j}, 1; \epsilon_{j})_{1,p}; \\ (f_{j}, 1; \Im_{j})_{1,q}; z \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(1.1.40)$$

The function ${}_{p}\overline{F}_{q}$ reduces to well known ${}_{p}F_{q}$ for $\in_{j}=1(j=1,\cdots,p)$, $\Im_{j}=1(j=1,\cdots,q)$ in it.

Naturally, all functions which are special cases of the H-function are also special cases of the \overline{H} -function.

1.1.4 THE MULTIVARIABLE *H*-FUNCTION

The multivariable H-function occurring in the thesis was introduced and studied by Srivastava and Panda [118, p. 130, Eq. (1.1)]. This function involves r complex variables and will be defined and represented in the following contracted

form [109, pp. 251–252, Eqs. (C.1–C.3)]

$$H^{0,B:A_{1},B_{1};\cdots;A_{r},B_{r}}_{C,D:C_{1},D_{1};\cdots;C_{r},D_{r}}\begin{bmatrix}z_{1}\\ \cdot\\ \cdot\\ \cdot\\ z_{r}\end{bmatrix} (a_{j};\alpha_{j}^{(1)},\cdots,\alpha_{j}^{(r)})_{1,C}:(c_{j}^{(1)},\gamma_{j}^{(1)})_{1,C_{1}};\cdots;(c_{j}^{(r)},\gamma_{j}^{(r)})_{1,C_{r}}\\ \cdot\\ \cdot\\ z_{r}\end{bmatrix} \\ (b_{j};\beta_{j}^{(1)},\cdots,\beta_{j}^{(r)})_{1,D}:(d_{j}^{(1)},\delta_{j}^{(1)})_{1,D_{1}};\cdots;(d_{j}^{(r)},\delta_{j}^{(r)})_{1,D_{r}}\end{bmatrix} \\ = \frac{1}{(2\pi\omega)^{r}} \int_{\mathfrak{L}_{1}}\cdots\int_{\mathfrak{L}_{r}}\psi(\xi_{1},\cdots,\xi_{r})\prod_{i=1}^{r}(\phi_{i}(\xi_{i})z_{i}^{\xi_{i}})d\xi_{1}\cdots d\xi_{r} \qquad (i=1,\cdots,r)$$

where $\omega = \sqrt{-1}$,

$$\psi(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_r) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{B} \Gamma(1 - a_j + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \alpha_j^{(i)} \xi_i)}{\prod_{j=1}^{D} \Gamma(1 - b_j + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \beta_j^{(i)} \xi_i) \prod_{j=B+1}^{C} \Gamma(a_j - \sum_{i=1}^{r} \alpha_j^{(i)} \xi_i)}$$
(1.1.42)

(1.1.41)

$$\phi_i(\xi_i) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{A_i} \Gamma(d_j^{(i)} - \delta_j^{(i)}\xi_i) \prod_{j=1}^{B_i} \Gamma(1 - c_j^{(i)} + \gamma_j^{(i)}\xi_i)}{\prod_{j=A_i+1}^{D_i} \Gamma(1 - d_j^{(i)} + \delta_j^{(i)}\xi_i) \prod_{j=B_i+1}^{C_i} \Gamma(c_j^{(i)} - \gamma_j^{(i)}\xi_i)} \qquad (i = 1, \cdots, r)$$

$$(1.1.43)$$

All the greek letters occuring on the left-hand side of (1.1.41) are assumed to be positive real numbers for standardization purposes; the definition of the multivariable H-function will, however, be meaningful even if some of these quantities are zero such that

$$\Lambda_{i} \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{C} \alpha_{j}^{(i)} + \sum_{j=B_{i}+1}^{C_{i}} \gamma_{j}^{(i)} - \sum_{j=1}^{D} \beta_{j}^{(i)} - \sum_{j=1}^{D_{i}} \delta_{j}^{(i)} > 0 \quad (i = 1, 2, \cdots, r) \quad (1.1.44)$$

$$\Omega_{i} \equiv -\sum_{j=B+1}^{C} \alpha_{j}^{(i)} + \sum_{j=1}^{B_{i}} \gamma_{j}^{(i)} - \sum_{j=B_{i}+1}^{C_{i}} \gamma_{j}^{(i)} - \sum_{j=1}^{D} \beta_{j}^{(i)} + \sum_{j=1}^{A_{i}} \delta_{j}^{(i)} - \sum_{j=A_{i}+1}^{D_{i}} \delta_{j}^{(i)} > 0 \quad (i = 1, 2, \cdots, r)$$

$$(1.1.45)$$

where $B, C, D, A_i, B_i, C_i, D_i$ are non negative integers such that $0 \le B \le C$, $D \ge 0$, $0 \le B_i \le C_i$ and $1 \le A_i \le D_i$, $(i = 1, \dots, r)$.

The sequences of the parameters in (1.1.41) are such that none of the poles of the integrand coincide i.e. the poles of the integrand in (1.1.41) are simple. The contour $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{i}}$ in the complex ξ_i - plane is of the Mellin-Barnes type which runs from $-\omega\infty$ to $+\omega\infty$ with indentations, if necessary, to ensure that all the poles of $\Gamma(d_j^{(i)} - \delta_j^{(i)}\xi_i)$ $(j = 1, \dots, A_i)$ are separated from those of $\Gamma(1 - c_j^{(i)} - \gamma_j^{(i)}\xi_i)$ $(j = 1, \dots, B_i)$ and $\Gamma(1 - a_j + \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_j^{(i)}\xi_i)$ $(i = 1, \dots, r; j = 1, \dots, B)$. It is known that multiple Mellin-Barnes contour integral representing the multivariable H- function (1.1.41) converges absolutely [119, p. 130, Eq. (1.4)] under

the condition (1.1.45) when

$$|\arg(z_i)| < \frac{1}{2}\Omega_i \pi, \quad (i = 1, \cdots, r)$$
 (1.1.46)

The point $z_i = 0 (i = 1, \dots, r)$ and various exceptional parameter values are excluded.

SPECIAL CASES

By suitably specializing the various parameters occuring in the multivariable H- function defined by (1.1.41), it reduces to the simpler special functions of one and more variables.

Some of them which have been used in this thesis are given below:

(i) If we take $\alpha_j^{(1)} = \alpha_j^{(2)} = \dots = \alpha_j^{(r)}$ $(j = 1, \dots, D)$ and $\beta_j^{(1)} = \beta_j^{(2)} = \dots = \beta_j^{(r)}$ $(j = 1, \dots, D)$ in (1.1.41), it reduces to a special multivariable H-function studied by Saxena [103].

- (ii) If we take r = 2, in (1.1.41), we get H-function of two variables defined in [109, p.82, eq.(6.1.1)].
- (iii) A relation between H-function of two variable and the Appell function [109, p.89,Eq.(6.4.6)] is given as below:

$$H_{0,1:2,1;2,1}^{0,0:1,2;1,2} \begin{bmatrix} -x & | & -: & (1-c,1), (1-c',1); & (1-e,1), (1-e',1) \\ -y & | & (1-b;1,1): & (0,1); & (0,1) \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c')\Gamma(e)\Gamma(e')}{\Gamma(b)}F_3(c,e,c',e';b;x,y), \quad |x| < 1, |y| < 1 \quad (1.1.47)$$

(iv) if we reduce Multivariable H-function into generalized hypergeometric Function [52, p.xi,Eq.(A.18)] as given below

$$H_{C,D:0,1;\cdots;0,1}^{0,C:1,0;\cdots;1,0} \begin{bmatrix} z_1 & (1-a_j;1,\cdots,1)_{1,C}:--;\cdots;--\\ \cdot & \vdots & \vdots \\ z_r & (1-b_j;1,\cdots,1)_{1,D}:(0,1);\cdots;(0,1) \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{C} \Gamma(a_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^{D} \Gamma(b_j)} {}_{C}F_{D} \begin{bmatrix} (a_C); & \\ (b_D); & -(z_1+\cdots+z_r) \end{bmatrix}$$
(1.1.48)

(v) if we reduce Multivariable H-function into Multivariate generalized Mittag-Leffler Function [9, p.187, Eq. (B.27)] as given below

$$H_{0,1:1,1;\dots;1,1}^{0,0:1,1;\dots;1,1} \begin{bmatrix} z_1 & & & & & \\ & \cdot & & \\ & \cdot & & \\ & z_r & & \\ & & (1-\lambda;\rho_1,\dots,\rho_r):(0,1);\dots;(0,1) \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \prod_{j=1}^r \Gamma(\gamma_j) E_{(\rho_j),\lambda}^{(\gamma_j)}(z_1,\dots,z_r)$$
(1.1.49)
1.1.5 THE APPELL POLYNOMIALS

A class of polynomials over the field of complex numbers which contains many classical polynomial systems. The Appell Polynomials were introduced by Appell [6]. The series of Appell Polynomials is defined by :

$$A_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{a_{n-k}}{k!} z^k, \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$$
 (1.1.50)

where a_{n-k} is the complex coefficients and $a_0 \neq 0$

SPECIAL CASES OF THE APPELL POLYNOMIALS $A_n[z]$

On suitably specializing the coefficients a_{n-k} , occurring in (1.1.50), the Appell polynomials $A_n[z]$ can be reduced to various type polynomials as cited in the papers referred to above.

The following special cases of the Appell polynomials $A_n[z]$ will be required in the thesis:

(a) Cesaro Polynomial

If we take $a_{n-k} = \binom{\tau+n-k}{n-k}k!$

$$A_n[z] \to g_n^{(\tau)}(z) \tag{1.1.51}$$

where $g_n^{(\tau)}(z)$ is Cesaro polynomial[112, p. 449, Eq. (20)] and is given by:

$$g_{n}^{(\tau)}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} {\binom{\tau+n-k}{n-k}} z^{k}$$
$$= {\binom{\tau+n}{n}} {}_{2}F_{1} {\binom{-n,1}{-\tau-n}} z$$
(1.1.52)

(b) Laguerre Polynomial

On taking $a_{n-k} = (-1)^k {\binom{\alpha+n}{n-k}}$

$$A_n[z] \to L_n^{\alpha}(z), \tag{1.1.53}$$

where $L_n^{(\alpha)}(z)$ is the Laguerre Polynomial [121, p. 101, Eq. (5.1.6)] and is given by :

$$L_{n}^{(\alpha)}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} {\binom{\alpha+n}{n-k}} \frac{(-z)^{k}}{k!}$$
$$= \frac{(1+\alpha)_{n}}{n!} F_{1}(-n; 1+\alpha; z)$$
(1.1.54)

(c) Shively Polynomial

If we take
$$a_{n-k} = \frac{(\lambda + n)_n (-n)_k (\alpha_1)_k \cdots (\alpha_p)_k}{n! (\lambda + n)_k (\beta_1)_k \cdots (\beta_q)_k}$$

$$A_n[z] \to S_n^{\lambda}(z)$$
(1.1.55)

where $S_n^{\lambda}(z)$ is the Shively Polynomial [112, p. 187, Eq. (49)] and is given by :

$$S_n^{\lambda}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(\lambda+n)_n (-n)_k (\alpha_1)_k \cdots (\alpha_p)_k}{n! (\lambda+n)_k (\beta_1)_k \cdots (\beta_q)_k} \frac{z^k}{k!}$$
$$= \frac{(\lambda+n)_n}{n!} F_{q+1} \left(\begin{array}{c} -n, \alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_p;\\ \lambda+n, \beta_1, \cdots, \beta_q; \end{array} \right)$$
(1.1.56)

(d) Bateman's Polynomial

on taking
$$a_{n-k} = \frac{(-n)_k (n+1)_k}{(1)_k (1)_k}$$

 $A_n[z] \to Z_n(z)$ (1.1.57)

where $Z_n(z)$ is the Bateman's Polynomial [112, p. 183, Eq. (42)] and is given by:

$$Z_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-n)_k (n+1)_k}{(1)_k (1)_k} \frac{z^k}{k!}$$
$$= {}_2F_2 \left(\begin{array}{c} -n, n+1; \\ 1, 1; \end{array} \right)$$
(1.1.58)

(e) Bessel Polynomial

If we take
$$a_{n-k} = \frac{(-n)_k(\alpha+n-1)_k(-1)^k}{\beta^k}$$

$$A_n[z] \to y_n(z,\alpha,\beta)$$
(1.1.59)

where $y_n(z, \alpha, \beta)$ is the Bessel Polynomial [60, p. 108, Eq. (34)] and is given by:

$$y_n(z, \alpha, \beta) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-n)_k (\alpha + n - 1)_k}{k!} \left(\frac{-z}{\beta}\right)^k$$
$$= {}_2F_0 \left[\begin{array}{c} -n, \alpha + n - 1; \ -z \\ -; \ \beta \end{array} \right]$$
(1.1.60)

1.1.6 INTEGRAL TRANSFORM

If f(x) denotes of a prescribed class of functions defined on a given interval [a, b]and K(x, s) denotes a definite function of x in that interval for each value of s, a parameter whose domain is prescribed, then the linear integral transform T[f(x); s] of the function f(x) is defined in the following manner:

$$T[f(x);s] = \int_{a}^{b} K(x,s)f(x)dx$$
 (1.1.61)

wherein the class of functions and the domain of parameter s are so prescribed that the above integral exists. In (1.1.61), K(x, s) is known as the kernel of the transform, T[f(x); s] is the image of f(x) in the said transform; and f(x) is the original of T[f(x); s].

Inversion formula for the transform

If an integral equation can be determined that

$$f(x) = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \phi(s, x) T[f(x); s] ds \qquad (1.1.62)$$

then (1.1.62) is termed as the inversion formula of (1.1.61).

LAPLACE TRANSFORM

One of the simplest and most important integral transform is the well known Laplace Transform. It has been a subject of wide and extensive study on account of its applications in applied mathematics and physics.

The Laplace Transform of a function is defined as follows:

$$L\{f(x);s\} = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-sx} f(x) dx$$
 (1.1.63)

and the inversion formula is given by:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} e^{sx} L\{f(x); s\} ds$$
(1.1.64)

provided that the above integral exists.

The standard works of Doestch [18] in three volumes give the detailed and complete account of Laplace Transform.

MELLIN TRANSFORM

The well known Mellin Transform is defined by:

$$M\{f(x);s\} = \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{s-1} f(x) dx \qquad (1.1.65)$$

and the inversion formula is given by:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} x^{-s} M\{f(x); s\} ds$$
(1.1.66)

provided that the above integral exists.

1.2 FRACTIONAL CALCULUS

The term Fractional calculus has its origin to the letter written by L'hospital in 1695 to Leibniz, wherein he enquired whether a meaning could be ascribed to $\frac{d^n f(x)}{dx^n}$ if n were a fraction. Because the answer to the questions was affirmative, various authors started working on the subject. In the initial stage of development, the order n was taken to be fraction. Although now n is taken as an arbitrary number, the subject is still known as fractional calculus. The works of Oldham and Spanier [80], Samko, Kilbas and Marichev [97], Gorenflo and Vessela [31], Kiryakova [61], McBridge [75], Miller and Ross [78], Nishimoto [79], Podlubny [84], Caputo [12] provide a comprehensive account of the development and applications in the field of fractional calculus.

The following well known Fractional integral operator has been widely studied :

(i) The left-sided Riemann-Liouville fractional integral or Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order α, for α > 0, x > a, is defined as [58, p. 69, Eq. (2.1.1)]

$${}_{a}I_{x}^{\alpha}f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\int_{a}^{x} (x-t)^{\alpha-1}f(t)dt$$
 (1.2.1)

(ii) The right-sided Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order α, for α > 0, x < b, is defined as [58, p. 69, Eq. (2.1.2)]

$${}_{x}I_{b}^{\alpha}f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\int_{x}^{b} (x-t)^{\alpha-1}f(t)dt$$
 (1.2.2)

(iii) The left-sided Riemann-Liouville fractional Derivative or Riemann-Liouville fractional Derivative of order α, m − 1 < α ≤ m, m ∈ N, for a real valued function f(x) defined on R₊ = (0, ∞), is defined as [58, p. 70, Eq. (2.1.5)]

$${}_{a}D_{x}^{\alpha}f(x) = D^{m}{}_{a}I_{x}^{m-\alpha}f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(m-\alpha)} \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{m} \int_{a}^{x} \frac{f(t)dt}{(x-t)^{\alpha-m+1}} \quad (1.2.3)$$

(iv) The **right-sided Riemann-Liouville fractional Derivative** of order α , $m-1 < \alpha \leq m, m \in \mathbb{N}$, is given by [58, p. 70, Eq. (2.1.6)]

$${}_{x}D^{\alpha}_{b}f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(m-\alpha)} \left(-\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{m} \int_{x}^{b} \frac{f(t)dt}{(t-x)^{\alpha-m+1}}$$
(1.2.4)

(v) Caputo fractional derivative of order α , $m - 1 < \alpha \leq m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, is defined as [11]

$${}_{a}^{C}D_{x}^{\alpha}f(x) = {}_{a}I_{x}^{m-\alpha}D^{m}f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(m-\alpha)}\int_{a}^{x}\frac{1}{(x-t)^{\alpha-m+1}}\left\{\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{m}f(t)\right\}dt$$
(1.2.5)

(vi) Weyl Fractional Integral of order α , for $\Re(\alpha) > 0$ and x > 0 is defined as [78, p. 236, Eq. (1.1)]

$$W^{-\alpha}f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\int_{x}^{\infty} (t-x)^{\alpha-1}f(t)dt \qquad (1.2.6)$$

On account of the importance of the fractional calculus operators (FCO) in several problems of mathematical physics and applied mathematics, various generalization of the FCO defined by Riemann -Liouville and Weyl have been studied from time to time by several research workers notably: Kober [54], Sneddon [107], Kalla [55], Kalla and Saxena [56], Saxena and Kumbhat [101], Manocha [70], Koul [59], Raina and Kiryakova [89], Gupta and Soni [38], Garg [28], Garg and Purohit [27], Gupta [37], Saigo [95, 96], Gupta and Jain [36], Gupta, Jain and Agrawal [35].

The fractional calculus finds use in many fields of science and engineering, such as fluid flow, reheology model, diffusion, potential theory, electrical transmission lines, probability, image processing, ultrasonic wave propagation electrochemistry, scattering theory, transport theory, statistics, theory of viscoelasticity, potential theory and many branches of mathematical analysis like integral and differential equations, operational calculus, univalent function theory and various other problems involving special function of mathematical physics as well as their extensions and generalizations in one and more variables.

A detailed account of various fractional integral operators studied from time to time has been given by Srivastava and Saxena [114].

In the present work we have introduced and developed a pair of unified Fractional

Integral Operators whose kernels involve the product of Appell Polynomial, Fox H-function and S-Generalized Gauss's Hypergeometric Function

$$I_x^{\nu,\lambda}\{A_n, H, F_p; f(t)\} = x^{-\nu-\lambda-1} \int_0^x t^{\nu} (x-t)^{\lambda} A_n \left[z_1 \left(\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\nu_1} \left(1-\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\lambda_1} \right]$$

$$H_{P,Q}^{M,N}\left[z_{2}\left(\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\nu_{2}}\left(1-\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\lambda_{2}}\left|\begin{array}{c}(g_{j},G_{j})_{1,P}\\(h_{j},H_{j})_{1,Q}\end{array}\right]F_{p}^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)}\left[a,b;c;z_{3}\left(\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\nu_{3}}\left(1-\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\lambda_{3}}\right]f(t)dt$$
(1.2.7)

provided that

$$\min_{1 \le j \le M} \Re\left(\nu + \nu_2 \frac{h_j}{H_j} + \zeta + 1, \lambda + \lambda_2 \frac{h_j}{H_j} + 1\right) > 0$$

$$\min\{\nu_1, \nu_3, \lambda_1, \lambda_3\} \ge 0$$
(1.2.8)

$$J_x^{\nu,\lambda}\{A_n, H, F_p; f(t)\} = x^{\nu} \int_x^{\infty} t^{-\nu-\lambda-1} (t-x)^{\lambda} A_n \left[z_1 \left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{\nu_1} \left(1-\frac{x}{t}\right)^{\lambda_1} \right]$$

$$H_{P,Q}^{M,N}\left[z_{2}\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{\nu_{2}}\left(1-\frac{x}{t}\right)^{\lambda_{2}}\left|\begin{array}{c}(g_{j},G_{j})_{1,P}\\(h_{j},H_{j})_{1,Q}\end{array}\right]F_{p}^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)}\left[a,b;c;z_{3}\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{\nu_{3}}\left(1-\frac{x}{t}\right)^{\lambda_{3}}\right]f(t)dt$$
(1.2.9)

provided that

$$\Re(w_2) > 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \Re(w_2) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \min_{1 \le j \le M} \Re\left(\nu - w_1 + \nu_2 \frac{h_j}{H_j}\right) > 0 \\ \min_{1 \le j \le M} \Re\left(\lambda + \lambda_2 \frac{h_j}{H_j} + 1\right) > 0, \min\{\nu_1, \nu_3, \lambda_1, \lambda_3\} \ge 0$$

$$(1.2.10)$$

where $f(t) \in \Lambda$ and Λ denotes the class of functions for which

$$f(t) := \begin{cases} O\{|t|^{\zeta}\}; & \max\{|t|\} \to 0\\\\ O\{|t|^{w_1} e^{-w_2|t|}\}; & \min\{|t|\} \to \infty \end{cases}$$
(1.2.11)

1.3 FRACTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Fractional differential equations have gained considerable importance due to their application in various disciplines, such as physics, mechanics, chemistry, engineering, etc. In recent years, there has been a significant development in ordinary and partial differential equations involving fractional derivatives (see the monographs of Samko et al.[97], Kilbas et al. [58], Miller and Ross [78], Oldham and Spanier [80], and Podlubny [84]). Numerous problems in these areas are modeled mathematically by systems of fractional differential equations.

A growing number of works in science and engineering deal with dynamical systems described by fractional order equations that involve derivatives and integrals of non-integer order [Benson et al. [8], Metzler & Klafter [77], Zaslavasky [128]]. These new models are more adequate than the previously used integer order models, because fractional order derivatives and integrals describe the memory and hereditary properties of different substances [84]. This is the most significant advantage of the fractional order models in comparison with integer order models, in which such effects are neglected. In the context of flow in porous media, fractional space derivatives exhibit large motions through highly conductive layers or fractures, while fractional time derivatives describe particles that remain motionless for extended period of time [76]

Recent applications of fractional differential equations to a number of systems have given opportunity for physicists to study even more complicated systems.

For example, the fractional diffusion equation allow describing complex systems with anomalous behavior in much the same way as simpler systems.

1.3.1 BAGLEY TORVIK EQUATION

The Bagley-Torvik Equation is originally formulated to study the behavior of real material by use of fractional calculus [7, 123]. It plays important role in many engineering and applied science problems. In particular, the equation with 1/2-order derivative or 3/2-order derivative can model the frequency-dependent damping materials quite satisfactorily. It can also describe motion of real physical systems, the modeling of the motion of a rigid plate immersed in a Newtonian fluid and a gas in a fluid, respectively [84, 93]. Fractional dynamic systems have found many applications in various problems such as viscoelasticity, heat conduction, electrode-electrolyte polarization, electromagnetic waves, diffusion wave, control theory, and signal processing [3, 7, 17, 71, 84, 93, 120, 122, 123, 127]. The generic form of Bagley-Torvik equation [84, p. 229] can be written as

$$A\frac{d^2y(t)}{dt^2} + B\frac{d^{\frac{3}{2}}y(t)}{dt^{\frac{3}{2}}} + Cy(t) = f(t), \qquad t > 0$$
(1.3.1)

Subject to initial conditions

$$y(0) = 0$$
 and $y'(0) = 0$ (1.3.2)

Where y(t) is the solution of the equation, $A \neq 0$, B, and C are constant coefficient's and f(t) is a given function from I into R, I is the interval [0,T]. The analytic results on existence and uniqueness of solutions to fractional differential equations have been investigated by many authors [84, 92].

1.3.2 FRACTIONAL RELAXATION–OSCILLATION EQUATION

The relaxation-oscillation equation is a fractional differential equation with initial conditions. There are many relaxation-oscillation models such as fractional derivative [14, 15, 68, 69, 126]. The relaxation-oscillation equation is the primary equation of relaxation and oscillation processes. The fractional derivatives are employed in the relaxation and oscillation models to represent slow relaxation and damped oscillation [68, 69].

Fractional Relaxation-Oscillation [44, p. 5928] model can be depicted as

$$D^{\beta}y(t) + Ay(t) = f(t), \qquad t > 0 \tag{1.3.3}$$

$$y(0) = a$$
 if $0 < \beta \le 1$ (1.3.4)

or

$$y(0) = \lambda$$
 and $y'(0) = \mu$ if $1 < \beta \le 2$ (1.3.5)

where A is a positive constant. For $0 < \beta \leq 2$, the above equation is called the fractional relaxation-oscillation equation. When $0 < \beta \leq 1$, the model describes the relaxation with the power law attenuation. When $1 < \beta \leq 2$, the model depicts the damped oscillation with viscoelastic intrinsic damping of oscillator [16, 124].

This model has been applied in electrical model of the heart, signal processing, modeling cardiac pacemakers, predator-prey system, spruce-budworm interactions etc. [4, 16, 43, 94, 124, 125].

1.3.3 FRACTIONAL ORDER RICCATI DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

The general form of Fractional Order Riccati differential equation [57] is

$$D^{\beta}y(t) = P(t)y^{2}(t) + Q(t)y(t) + R(t), \qquad t > 0$$
(1.3.6)

Subject to initial condition

$$y(0) = B \tag{1.3.7}$$

where P(t), Q(t) and R(t) are known functions. For $\beta = 1$, the fractionalorder Riccati differential equation converts into the classical Riccati differential equation.

1.4 METHODS OF SOLUTION OF FRACTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Finding accurate and efficient methods for solving fractional differential equations has been an active research undertaking. In the last decade, various analytical and numerical methods have been employed to solve linear and non-linear problems. For example the **matrix method** [85, 86] which is a numerical method. Unlike other numerical methods used for solving fractional partial differential equations in which the solution is obtained step-by-step by moving from the previous time layer to the next one, here in matrix method, we consider the whole time interval. This allows us to create a net of discretization nodes. The values of the unknown function in inner nodes are to be found. The values at the boundaries are known

and these are used in construction the system of algebraic equations. Adomian decomposition method (ADM), introduced and developed by Adomian [1, 2], attacks the problem in a direct way and in straightforward fashion without using linearization, perturbation or any other restrictive assumption that may change the physical behavior of the model under discussion, **Homotopy perturbation method** (HPM), introduced by He [45, 46, 47, 48, 49], consider the solution as the sum of an infinite series which converges rapidly to the accurate solutions. **Variational iteration method** (VIM), established by He [49] gives rapidly convergent successive approximations of the exact solution if such a solution exists. The VIM does not require specific treatments for non-linear problems as in Adomian decomposition method, perturbation techniques, etc. Homotopy analysis method (HAM) introduced by Liao [63, 64, 65], is a method based on homotopy, a fundamental concept in topology and differential geometry. It is a computational method that yields analytical solutions and has certain advantages over standard numerical methods. It is free from rounding off errors as it does not involve discretization, and does not require large computer obtained memory or power. The method introduces the solution in the form of a convergent fractional series with elegantly computable terms. Generalized differential transform method (GDTM) developed by Ertuk, Momani and Odibat [22], for solving two dimensional linear and non-linear partial differential equations of fractional order is a generalization of differential transform method, it was proposed by Zhou [129] to solve linear and non-linear initial value problem in electric circuit

analysis. This method constructs an analytical solution in the form of a polynomial. It is different from the traditional higher order Taylor series method, which requires symbolic computation of the necessary derivatives of the data functions and takes long time in computation, whereas the differential transform is an iterative procedure for obtaining analytic Taylor series solution.

In Chapter 6, we apply FDTM for solving Bagley Torvik Equation, Fractional Relaxation Oscillation Equation and Fractional Order Riccati Differential Equation.

1.5 BRIEF CHAPTER BY CHAPTER SUMMARY OF THE THESIS

Now we present a brief summary of the work carried out in Chapter 2 to 6. In **Chapter–2**, First of all we give definition of the S-generalized Gauss hypergeometric function and S-generalized Beta function which was recently introduced by Srivastava et al. [113].

Next, we first present an integral representation and Mellin transform of Sgeneralized Gauss hypergeometric function. Next, we give its complex integral representation and a relationship between S-generalized Gauss hypergeometric function and H-function of two variables. Further, we introduce a new integral transform whose kernel is the S-generalized Gauss hypergeometric function and point out its three special cases which are also believed to be new. We specify that the well-known Gauss hypergeometric function transform follows as a simple special case of our integral transforms. Next, we established an inversion formula for above integral transform in theorem form. Finally, we establish image of Fox Hfunction under the S-Generalized Gauss hypergeometric function transform and also obtain the images of five useful and important functions which are special cases of Fox H-function (Generalized Bessel function, Gauss Hpergeometric function, Generalized Mittag-Leffler Function, Krätzel Function and Lorenzo Hartley G-function) under the S-generalized Gauss hypergeometric function transform. Which are also believed to be new.

In Chapter-3, we study a pair of a general class of fractional integral operators involving the Appell Polynomial, Fox H-function and S-Generalized Gauss Hypergeometric Function. First we define and give the conditions of existence of the operators of our study and then we obtain the images of certain useful functions in them. Further, we evaluate four new integrals involving Appell's Function, Multivariate generalized Mittag-Lefflet Function, generalization of the modified Bessel function and generalized hypergeometric function by the application of the images established and also gives the three unknown and two known integral of these operators. Next we develop six results wherein the first two contain the Mellin transform of these operators, the next two the corresponding inversion formulae and the last two their Mellin convolutions. Later on, we establish a theorem analogous to the well known Parseval Goldstein theorem for our unified fractional integral operators.

In **Chapter**-4, we first derive three new and interesting expressions for the composition of the two fractional integral operators, which are slight variants of the operators defined in Chapter 3. The operators of our study are quite general in

nature and may be considered as extensions of a number of simpler fractional integral operators studied from time to time by several authors. By suitably specializing the coefficients and the parameters in these functions we can get a large number of (new and known) interesting expressions for the composition of fractional integral operators involving simpler special functions. Finally, we obtain two interesting finite double integral formulae as an application of our first composition formula known results which follow as special case of our findings have also been mentioned.

In **Chapter-5**, we evaluate two unified and general finite integrals. The first integral involves the product of the Appell Polynomial $A_n(z)$, the Generalized form of the Astrophysical Thermonuclear function I_3 and Generalized Mittag -Leffler Function $E_{\alpha,\beta,\tau,\mu,\rho,p}^{\gamma,\delta}(z;s,r)$. The arguments of the functions occurring in the integral involve the product of factors of the form $x^{\lambda-1}(a-x)^{\sigma-1}(1-ux^l)^{-\rho}$. We also obtain five new special cases of our main Integral which are of interest by themselves and are believed to be new.

The second integral involves the Generalized form of the Astrophysical Thermonuclear function I_3 and \overline{H} -function. The arguments of the function occurring in the integral involve the product of factors of the form

$$t^{\lambda-1}(1-t)^{\sigma-1}(1-ut^{\ell})^{-\gamma}(1+vt^m)^{-\beta}$$

We also obtain five new special cases of our main Integral which are of interest by themselves and are believed to be new.

In Chapter-6, The object of this chapter is to find solutions of the Bagley Torvik

Equation, Fractional Relaxation Oscillation Equation and Fractional Order Riccati Differential Equation. We make use of generalized differential transform method (GDTM) to solve the equations. First of all we give definition of a Caputo fractional derivative of order α which was introduced and investigated by Caputo [12]. Then, we give the generalized differential transform method and inverse generalized differential transform which was introduce and investigated by Ertuk et al.[22] and some basic properties of GDTM. Next, we find solutions to three different fractional differential equations using GDTM technique.

In section 6.2 we find the solution of Bagley Torvik Equation using GDTM. Since the function f(t) taken in this chapter is general in nature by specializing the function f(t) and taking different values of constants A, B and C. We can obtain a large number of special cases of Bagley Torvik Equation. Here we give two numerical examples. Our findings match with the results obtained earlier by Ghorbani et al. [29] by He's variational iteration method.

In section 6.3 we find the solution of Fractional Relaxation Oscillation Equation using GDTM. Since the function f(x) taken in this chapter is general in nature by specializing the function f(x) and taking different values of constants A, we can obtain a large number of special cases of Fractional Relaxation Oscillation Equation. Here we give eight numerical examples. Furthermore these examples are also represented graphically by using the MATHEMATICA SOFTWARE.

In section 6.4, Again we find the solution of Fractional Order Riccati Differential Equation using GDTM. Since order of Fractional Riccati Differential equation is β and all function are general in nature, by specializing parameters

and functions, we can obtain a large number of special cases of Fractional Relaxation Oscillation Equation. Here we give eight numerical examples. Furthermore these examples are also represented graphically by using the MATHEMATICA SOFTWARE.

1.6 LIST OF RESEARCH PAPERS CONTRIBUTED BY THE AUTHOR

- M. K. BANSAL and R. JAIN (2017). A STUDY OF UNIFIED FRAC-TIONAL INTEGRAL OPERATORS INVOLVING S-GENERALIZED GAUSS'S HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTION AS ITS KERNEL, *Palestine Journal* of Mathematics, 6(1), 142–152.
- M. K. BANSAL and R. JAIN (2016). ANALYTICAL SOLUTION OF BAGLEY TORVIK EQUATION BY GENERALIZED DIFFERENTIAL TRANSFORM, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 110(2), 265–273.
- M. K. BANSAL and R. JAIN (2016). CERTAIN NEW RESULTS OF THE S-GENERALIZED GAUSS HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTION TRANSFORM, South East Asian J. of Math. & Math. Sci., 12(2), 115– 124.
- M. K. BANSAL and R. JAIN (2016).COMPOSITION FORMULAE FOR UNIFIED FRACTIONAL INTEGRAL OPERATORS, European Journal of Advances in Engineering and Technology. 3(4), 1–6.

- H. M. SRIVASTAVA, R. JAIN, M. K. BANSAL (2015). A STUDY OF THE S-GENERALIZED GAUSS HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTION AND ITS ASSOCIATED INTEGRAL TRANSFORMS, *Turkish Journal of Analysis and Number Theory*, 3(5), 116–119.
- M. K. BANSAL and R. JAIN (2015). APPLICATION OF GENER-ALIZED DIFFERENTIAL TRANSFORM METHOD TO FRACTIONAL RELAXATION OSCILLATION EQUATION, *Antarctica J. Math.*, 12(1), 85–95.
- M. K. BANSAL and R. JAIN (2015). APPLICATION OF GENER-ALIZED DIFFERENTIAL TRANSFORM METHOD TO FRACTIONAL ORDER RICCATI DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION AND NUMERICAL RE-SULT, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 99(3), 355– 366.
- M. K. BANSAL and R. JAIN (2015). A STUDY OF FINITE IN-TEGRAL INVOLVING GENERALIZED FORM OF THE ASTROPHYS-ICAL THERMONUCLEAR FUNCTION, Journal of Rajasthan Academy of Physical Sciences, 14(1), 51–55.
- M. K. BANSAL and R. JAIN (2014). ON UNIFIED FINITE INTE-GRALS INVOLVING I3 FUNCTION, GENERALIZED MITTAG-LEFFLER FUNCTION AND APPELL POLYNOMIALS, *The Journal of the Indian Academy of Mathematics*, 36(2), 211–219.

S-GENERALIZED GAUSS HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTION AND AN INTEGRAL TRANSFORM ASSOCIATED WITH IT

The main findings of this chapter have been published as detailed below:

- H. M. SRIVASTAVA, R. JAIN, M. K. BANSAL (2015). A STUDY OF THE S-GENERALIZED GAUSS HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTION AND ITS ASSOCIATED INTEGRAL TRANSFORMS, *Turkish Journal of Analysis and Number Theory*, 3(5), 116–119.
- M. K. BANSAL and R. JAIN (2016). CERTAIN NEW RESULTS OF THE S-GENERALIZED GAUSS HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTION TRANSFORM, South East Asian J. of Math. & Math. Sci., 12(2), 115– 124.

2. S-GENERALIZED GAUSS HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTION AND AN INTEGRAL TRANSFORM ASSOCIATED WITH IT

In this chapter, we first define all the necessary function which is used in this chapter. First of all we give definition of the S-generalized Gauss hypergeometric function and S-generalized Beta function which was recently introduced by Srivastava et al. [113]. Since S-generalized Gauss hypergeometric function is in general nature, by specializing the parameters we can obtain a number of special cases which are studied earlier by Parmar [83], Özergin [81] and [82], Chaudhry et al. [13].

Next, we present an integral representation and Mellin transform of S-generalized Gauss hypergeometric function in the theorem form 2.2.1 and 2.2.2 respectively and its complex integral representation is also discussed in theorem 2.2.3 and also we establish a relationship between S-generalized Gauss hypergeometric function and H-function of two variables and also we introduce a new integral transform whose kernel is the S-generalized Gauss hypergeometric function and point out its three special cases which are also believed to be new. We specify that the well-known Gauss hypergeometric function transform follows as a simple special case of our integral transforms. Next, we established an inversion formula for above integral transform in theorem 2.3.1. Finally, we establish image of Fox Hfunction under the S-Generalized Gauss hypergeometric function transform and also obtain the images of five useful and important functions which are special cases of Fox H-function (Generalized Bessel function, Gauss Hpergeometric function, Generalized Mittag-Leffler Function, Krätzel Function and Lorenzo Hartley G-function) under the S-generalized Gauss hypergeometric function transform. Which are also believed to be new.

2.1 INTRODUCTION

S-GENERALIZED GAUSS HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTION

The S-generalized Gauss hypergeometric function $F_p^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)}(a,b;c;z)$ was introduced and investigated by Srivastava et al. [113, p. 350, Eq. (1.12)]. It is represented in the following manner:

$$F_p^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)}(a,b;c;z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a)_n \frac{B_p^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)}(b+n,c-b)}{B(b,c-b)} \frac{z^n}{n!} \qquad (|z|<1) \qquad (2.1.1)$$

provided that $(\Re(p) \ge 0; \min\{\Re(\alpha), \Re(\beta), \Re(\tau), \Re(\mu)\} > 0; \Re(c) > \Re(b) > 0)$ in terms of the classical Beta function $B(\lambda, \mu)$ and the S-generalized Beta function $B_p^{(\alpha, \beta; \tau, \mu)}(x, y)$, which was also defined by Srivastava et al. [113, p. 350, Eq.(1.13)] as follows:

$$B_{p}^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)}(x,y) = \int_{0}^{1} t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} {}_{1}F_{1}\left(\alpha;\beta;-\frac{p}{t^{\tau}(1-t)^{\mu}}\right) dt \qquad (2.1.2)$$
$$(\Re(p) \ge 0; \quad \min\{\Re(x),\Re(y),\Re(\alpha),\Re(\beta),\Re(\tau),\Re(\mu)\} > 0)$$

If we take p = 0 in (2.1.2), it reduces to classical Beta Function and $(\lambda)_n$ denotes the Pochhammer symbol defined (for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$) by (see [115, p. 2 and pp. 4-6]; see also [114, p. 2]):

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda)_n &= \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+n)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \\ &= \begin{cases} 1, & (n=0) \\ \lambda(\lambda+1)...(\lambda+n-1), & (n \in \mathbb{N} \quad := \{1,2,3,\cdots\}) \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$
(2.1.3)

2. S-GENERALIZED GAUSS HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTION AND AN INTEGRAL TRANSFORM ASSOCIATED WITH IT

provided that the Gamma quotient exists (see, for details,[108, p. 16 et seq.] and [112, p. 22 et seq.]).

For $\tau = \mu$, the S-generalized Gauss hypergeometric function defined by (2.1.1) reduces to the following generalized Gauss hypergeometric function $F_p^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau)}(a,b;c;z)$ studied earlier by Parmar [83, p.44]:

$$F_p^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau)}(a,b;c;z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a)_n \frac{B_p^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau)}(b+n,c-b)}{B(b,c-b)} \frac{z^n}{n!} \qquad (|z|<1)$$
(2.1.4)

 $(\Re(p)\geq 0; \quad \min\{\Re(\alpha), \Re(\beta), \Re(\tau)\}>0; \quad \Re(c)>\Re(b)>0).$

which, in the *further* special case when $\tau = 1$, reduces to the following extension of the generalized Gauss hypergeometric function (see, e.g., [82, p.4606, Section 3]; see also [81, p. 39]):

$$F_p^{(\alpha,\beta)}(a,b;c;z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a)_n \frac{B_p^{(\alpha,\beta)}(b+n,c-b)}{B(b,c-b)} \frac{z^n}{n!} \qquad (|z|<1)$$
(2.1.5)

$$(\Re(p) \ge 0; \quad \min\{\Re(\alpha), \Re(\beta)\} > 0; \quad \Re(c) > \Re(b) > 0)$$

Upon setting $\alpha = \beta$ in (2.1.5), we arrive at the following Extended Gauss hypergeometric function (see [13, p.591, Eqs. (2.1) and (2.2)]:

$$F_p(a,b;c;z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a)_n \frac{B_p(b+n,c-b)}{B(b,c-b)} \frac{z^n}{n!} \qquad (|z|<1)$$
(2.1.6)

$$(\Re(p) \ge 0; \quad \Re(c) > \Re(b) > 0)$$

Fox H-Function

A single Mellin-Barnes contour integral, occurring in the present work, is now popularly known as the *H*-function of Charles Fox (1897-1977). It will be defined and represented here in the following manner (see, for example, [109, p. 10]):

$$H_{P,Q}^{M,N}[z] = H_{P,Q}^{M,N} \left[z \left| \begin{array}{c} (a_j, \alpha_j)_{1,P} \\ (b_j, \beta_j)_{1,Q} \end{array} \right] = H_{P,Q}^{M,N} \left[z \left| \begin{array}{c} (a_1, \alpha_1), \cdots, (a_P, \alpha_P) \\ (b_1, \beta_1), \cdots, (b_Q, \beta_Q) \end{array} \right] \right] \\ := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathfrak{L}} \Theta(\mathfrak{s}) z^{\mathfrak{s}} d\mathfrak{s},$$
(2.1.7)

where $\mathbf{i} = \sqrt{-1}, z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \mathbb{C}$ being the set of complex numbers,

$$\Theta(\mathfrak{s}) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{M} \Gamma(b_j - \beta_j \mathfrak{s}) \prod_{j=1}^{N} \Gamma(1 - a_j + \alpha_j \mathfrak{s})}{\prod_{j=M+1}^{Q} \Gamma(1 - b_j + \beta_j \mathfrak{s}) \prod_{j=N+1}^{P} \Gamma(a_j - \alpha_j \mathfrak{s})},$$
(2.1.8)

and

$$1 \leq M \leq Q$$
 and $0 \leq N \leq P$
 $(M, Q \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}; N, P \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}),$ (2.1.9)

an empty product being interpreted to be 1. Here \mathfrak{L} is a Mellin-Barnes type contour in the complex \mathfrak{s} -plane with appropriate indentations in order to separate the two sets of poles of the integrand $\Theta(\mathfrak{s})$ (see, for details, [58] and [109]).

2.2 MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we first give the integral representation, Mellin Transform and complex integral representation of S-generalized gauss hypergeometric function.

2. S-GENERALIZED GAUSS HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTION AND AN INTEGRAL TRANSFORM ASSOCIATED WITH IT

Next, we establish a relationship between S-generalized gauss hypergeometric function and H-function of two variables.

INTEGRAL REPRESENTATION OF THE S-GENERALIZED GAUSS HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTION

Theorem 2.2.1. Suppose that $\Re(p) \ge 0$, $|arg(1-z)| < \pi$, $\min\{\Re(\tau), \Re(\mu), \Re(b+\tau\alpha), \Re(c-b+\mu\alpha)\} > 0$ and $\Re(c) > \Re(b) > 0$. Then the following integral representation holds true :

$$F_p^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)}(a,b;c;z) = \frac{1}{B(b,c-b)} \int_0^1 t^{b-1} (1-t)^{c-b-1} (1-zt)^{-a} F_1\left(\alpha;\beta;-\frac{p}{t^\tau(1-t)^\mu}\right) dt \quad (2.2.1)$$

where the S-generalized Gauss hypergeometric function $F_p^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)}(a,b;c;z)$ is given by (2.1.1).

Proof. Using Eq. (2.1.1) on the left hand side of (2.2.1), we have

$$\begin{split} F_p^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)}(a,b;c;z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a)_n \frac{B_p^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)}(b+n,c-b)}{B(b,c-b)} \frac{z^n}{n!} \\ &= \frac{1}{B(b,c-b)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a)_n \int_0^1 t^{b+n-1} (1-t)^{c-b-1} {}_1F_1\left(\alpha;\beta;-\frac{p}{t^{\tau}(1-t)^{\mu}}\right) \frac{z^n}{n!} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{B(b,c-b)} \int_0^1 t^{b-1} (1-t)^{c-b-1} {}_1F_1\left(\alpha;\beta;-\frac{p}{t^{\tau}(1-t)^{\mu}}\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a)_n \frac{(zt)^n}{n!} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{B(b,c-b)} \int_0^1 t^{b-1} (1-t)^{c-b-1} (1-zt)^{-a} {}_1F_1\left(\alpha;\beta;-\frac{p}{t^{\tau}(1-t)^{\mu}}\right) dt \end{split}$$

which proves Theorem (2.2.1)

THE MELLIN TRANSFORM OF THE S-GENERALIZED GAUSS HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTION

As usual, the Mellin transform of a function f(t) is defined by (see, for example,[19, p. 340, Eq. (8.2.5)])

$$\mathfrak{M}[f(z)](s) = \int_0^\infty z^{s-1} f(z) dz \qquad \mathfrak{R}(s) > 0 \qquad (2.2.2)$$

provided that the improper integral exists.

Theorem 2.2.2. If $\Re(p) \ge 0$, $\min{\{\Re(\tau), \Re(\mu), \Re(b + \tau\alpha), \Re(c - b + \mu\alpha)\}} > 0$ and $\Re(c) > \Re(s) < \min{\{\Re(a), \Re(b)\}}$, then

$$\mathfrak{M}[F_p^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)}(a,b;c;z)](s) = (-1)^s \frac{B(s,a-s)B_p^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)}(b-s,c-b)}{B(b,c-b)}$$
(2.2.3)

Proof. : In order to prove the assertion (2.2.3), by taking the Mellin transform of (2.2.1), we obtain

$$\Delta(s) := \int_0^\infty z^{s-1} \left[\frac{1}{B(b,c-b)} \int_0^1 t^{b-1} (1-t)^{c-b-1} (1-zt)^{-a} F_1\left(\alpha;\beta;-\frac{p}{t^\tau(1-t)^\mu}\right) dt \right] dz$$

Upon interchanging the order of t and z-integrals (which is permissible under the conditions stated), if we evaluate the resulting z-integral first, we get

$$\Delta(s) = \frac{1}{B(b,c-b)} \int_0^1 t^{b-1} (1-t)^{c-b-1} {}_1F_1\left(\alpha;\beta; -\frac{p}{t^\tau(1-t)^\mu}\right) \frac{\Gamma(s)\Gamma(a-s)}{(-t)^s\Gamma(a)} dt$$

Now with the help of (2.1.2), we get the desired result (2.2.3) after a little simplification.

A COMPLEX INTEGRAL REPRESENTATION OF THE S-GENERALIZED GAUSS HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTION

Theorem 2.2.3. If $\Re(p) \ge 0$, $\min\{\Re(\tau), \Re(\mu), \Re(b + \tau\alpha), \Re(c - b + \mu\alpha)\} > 0$ and $\Re(c) > \Re(b) > 0$ then complex integral representation for the s-generalized

2. S-GENERALIZED GAUSS HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTION AND AN INTEGRAL TRANSFORM ASSOCIATED WITH IT

Gauss Hypergeometric function $F_p^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)}(a,b;c;z)$ is defined in the following manner:

$$F_{p}^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)}(a,b;c;z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{+i\infty} (-z)^{-s} \frac{B(s,a-s)B_{p}^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)}(b-s,c-b)}{B(b,c-b)} ds$$
(2.2.4)

Proof. If we take the inverse Mellin transform of (2.2.3), we easily arrive the desired result

RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN S-GENERALIZED GAUSS HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTION AND H-FUNCTION OF TWO VARIABLES

we give the following representation of $F_p^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\gamma)}(a,b;c,z)$ in terms of H-function of two variables:

$$F_{p}^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\gamma)}(a,b;c,z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} (-z)^{-\xi} \frac{B(\xi,a-\xi)B_{p}^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)}(b-\xi,c-b)}{B(b,c-b)} d\xi$$
$$= \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(a)B(b,c-b)} H_{1,1:3,1;1,1}^{0,1:1,2;1,1} \begin{bmatrix} p^{-1} & (1-b;\tau,1):A^{*} \\ -z & (1-c;\tau+\mu,1):B^{*} \end{bmatrix}$$
(2.2.5)

where

$$A^* = (1,1), (1-c+b,\mu), (\beta,1); (1-a,1) \qquad B^* = (\alpha,1); (0,1)$$

provided that the existence conditions in (2.1.1) for the S-generalized Gauss hypergeometric function.

Proof. To evaluate the contour integral (2.2.5), we first express the term $B_p^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)}(b-\xi,c-b)$ occurring in it's integrand in terms of integral with the help of equation (2.1.2). Thus left hand side of (2.2.5) takes the following form (say Δ):

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathfrak{L}_1} (-z)^{-\xi} \frac{B(\xi, a-\xi)}{B(b, c-b)} \left[\int_0^1 t^{b-\xi-1} (1-t)^{c-b-1} {}_1F_1\left(\alpha; \beta; \frac{-p}{t^\tau (1-t)^\mu}\right) dt \right] d\xi$$
(2.2.6)

Now we convert ${}_1F_1$ function into its contour integral form, then we change the order of contour η - integral with t-integral (which is permissible under the conditions stated). Thus right hand side of (2.2.6) takes the following form :

$$\Delta = \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(a)B(b,c-b)} \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{\mathfrak{L}_1} \int_{\mathfrak{L}_2} \frac{\Gamma(\xi)\Gamma(a-\xi)\Gamma(-\eta)\Gamma(\alpha+\eta)}{\Gamma(\beta+\eta)} \\ \left[\int_0^1 t^{b-\xi-\tau\eta-1}(1-t)^{c-b-\mu\eta-1} dt \right] (-z)^{-\xi} d\xi d\eta \qquad (2.2.7)$$

Further, we evaluate the t-integral occurring in (2.2.7) with the help of well known Beta function. Thus we get the following equation

$$\Delta = \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(a)B(b,c-b)} \\ \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{\mathfrak{L}_1} \int_{\mathfrak{L}_2} \frac{\Gamma(\xi)\Gamma(a-\xi)\Gamma(-\eta)\Gamma(\alpha+\eta)\Gamma(b-\xi-\tau\eta)\Gamma(c-b-\mu\eta)}{\Gamma(\beta+\eta)\Gamma(c-\xi-(\tau+\mu)\eta)} (-z)^{-\xi} p^{\eta} d\xi d\eta$$
(2.2.8)

finally reinterpret the result thus obtained in terms of H-function of two variable. We easily arrive at the right hand side of (2.2.5) after a little simplification. \Box

2.3 THE S-GENERALIZED GAUSS HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTION TRANSFORM

We define the S-generalized Gauss hypergeometric transform by the following equation (see also a recent work [110] dealing with several new families of integral

2. S-GENERALIZED GAUSS HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTION AND AN INTEGRAL TRANSFORM ASSOCIATED WITH IT

transforms):

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}[f(z);s] = \varphi(s) = \int_0^\infty F_p^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)}(a,b;c;sz)f(z)dz \qquad (2.3.1)$$

where $f(z) \in \Lambda$, and Λ denotes the class of functions for which

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} O\{z^{\zeta}\}, & (z \to 0) \\ O\{z^{w_1} e^{-w_2 z}\}, & (|z| \to \infty) \end{cases}$$
(2.3.2)

provided that the existence conditions in (2.1.1) for the S- generalized Gauss hypergeometric function $F_p^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)}(.)$ are satisfied and

$$\mathfrak{R}(\zeta) + 1 > 0$$

$$\mathfrak{R}(w_2) > 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \mathfrak{R}(w_2) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{R}(w_1 - a + 1) < 0$$
(2.3.3)

SPECIAL CASES

In this section, we give three special cases of our integral transform defined by (2.3.1).

(i) GENERALIZED GAUSS HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTION TRANSFORM

If we put $\tau = \mu$ in (2.3.1), the transform in (2.3.1) reduces to the generalized Gauss hypergeometric function transform given by

$$\varphi_1(s) = \int_0^\infty F_p^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\tau)}(a,b;c;sz)f(z)dz \qquad (2.3.4)$$

(ii) EXTENSION OF THE GENERALIZED GAUSS HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTION TRANSFORM

By taking $\tau = \mu = 1$ in (2.3.4), we get the following extension of the generalized gauss hypergeometric function transform :

$$\varphi_2(s) = \int_0^\infty F_p^{(\alpha,\beta)}(a,b;c;sz)f(z)dz \qquad (2.3.5)$$

(iii) EXTENDED GAUSS HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTION TRANSFORM

Moreover, if we take $\alpha = \beta$ in (2.3.5), it reduces to the extended Gauss hypergeometric function transform given below:

$$\varphi_3(s) = \int_0^\infty F_p(a,b;c;sz)f(z)dz \qquad (2.3.6)$$

if we set p = 0 in the integral transforms defined by (2.3.4), (2.3.5) and (2.3.6), we easily get the Gauss hypergeometric transform (see, for details, [109]).

INVERSION FORMULA FOR THE S-GENERALIZED GAUSS HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTION TRANSFORM

Theorem 2.3.1. If $y^{\kappa-1}f(y) \in L(0,\infty)$, the function f(y) is of bounded variation in the neighborhood of the point y = z, and

$$\varphi(s) = \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}[f(z); s] = \int_0^\infty F_p^{(\alpha, \beta; \tau, \mu)}(a, b; c; sz) f(z) dz \qquad (2.3.7)$$

then

$$\frac{1}{2} \{ f(t+0) + f(t-0) \}
= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathfrak{L}} \frac{(-1)^{\kappa-1} B(b,c-b)}{B(1-\kappa,a+\kappa-1) B_p^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)}(b+\kappa-1,c-b)} z^{-\kappa} \Omega(\kappa) d\kappa \qquad (2.3.8)$$

2. S-GENERALIZED GAUSS HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTION AND AN INTEGRAL TRANSFORM ASSOCIATED WITH IT

where

$$\Omega(\kappa) = \int_0^\infty s^{-\kappa} \varphi(s) ds \qquad (2.3.9)$$

provided that existence conditions for the S-generalized Gauss hypergeometric function $F_p^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)}(a,b;c;z)$ given by (2.1.1) are satisfied, the S-generalized Gauss hypergeometric function transform of |f(z)| exists, and

$$\Re(1-\kappa) > 0, \qquad \Re(1-a-\kappa) < 0$$

Proof. : In order to prove the inversion formula (2.3.8), we substitute the value of $\varphi(s)$ from (2.3.7) in the right hand side of (2.3.9), We thus find that

$$\Omega(\kappa) := \int_0^\infty s^{-\kappa} \varphi(s) ds$$

=
$$\int_0^\infty s^{-\kappa} \left(\int_0^\infty F_p^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)}(a,b;c;sz) f(z) dz \right) ds$$
(2.3.10)

Upon interchanging the order of the z and s- integrals in (2.3.10) (which is permissible under the given conditions), if we evaluate the s-integral by using (2.2.3), we obtain

$$\Omega(\kappa) = \int_0^\infty \frac{B(1-\kappa, a+\kappa-1)B_p^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)}(b+\kappa-1, c-b)}{B(b, c-b)}f(z)(-z)^{\kappa-1}dz$$
(2.3.11)

Finally, by applying the Mellin Inversion Formula to the above integral (2.3.11), we get the desired result (2.3.8), after a little simplification.

2.4 THE S-GENERALIZED GAUSS HYPERGEOMETRIC TRANSFORM OF THE H-FUNCTION

The S-Generalized Gauss hypergeometric Transform (2.3.1) of Fox H-function (2.1.7) defined as follows :

where

$$A^* = (1 - a, 1), (1 - b_j - \beta_j \frac{(\kappa + 1)}{\sigma}, \frac{\beta_j}{\sigma})_{1,Q}; (1, 1), (1 - c + b, \mu), (\beta, 1)$$
$$B^* = (0, 1), (1 - a_j - \alpha_j \frac{(\kappa + 1)}{\sigma}, \frac{\alpha_j}{\sigma})_{1,P}; (\alpha, 1)$$

provided that the existence conditions in (2.1.1) for the S-Generalized Gauss hypergeometric function $F_p^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)}(a,b;c;z)$ are satisfied and

(i) $\min_{1 \le j \le M} \Re\left(\kappa + \frac{\sigma b_j}{\beta_j}\right) + 1 > 0$ (ii) $\max_{1 \le j \le N} \Re\left(\kappa - a + \frac{\sigma(a_j - 1)}{\alpha_j}\right) + 1 < 0$

Proof. To prove the result (2.4.1), we first write the complex integral representation of S-generalized Gauss hypergeometric function defined in (2.2.4) and then change the order of ξ -integral with z-integral (which is permissible under the conditions stated), we obtain (say Δ)

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathfrak{L}} \frac{(-s)^{-u} B(u, a - u) B_p^{(\alpha, \beta; \tau, \mu)}(b - u, c - b)}{B(b, c - b)} \left\{ \int_0^\infty z^{\kappa - u} H_{P,Q}^{M,N}[A z^{\sigma}] dz \right\} du$$
(2.4.2)

2. S-GENERALIZED GAUSS HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTION AND AN INTEGRAL TRANSFORM ASSOCIATED WITH IT

Now we evaluate the z-integral involved in (2.4.2) with the help of [109, p.15, Eq. (2.4.1)], we have

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathfrak{L}} \frac{(-s)^{-u} B(u, a-u) B_p^{(\alpha, \beta; \tau, \mu)}(b-u, c-b)}{B(b, c-b)}$$

$$\frac{1}{\sigma} A^{-(\frac{\kappa-u+1}{\sigma})} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^M \Gamma(b_j + \beta_j \frac{(\kappa+1)}{\sigma} - \frac{\beta_j}{\sigma} u) \prod_{j=1}^N \Gamma(1-a_j - \alpha_j \frac{(\kappa+1)}{\sigma} + \frac{\alpha_j}{\sigma} u)}{\prod_{j=M+1}^Q \Gamma(1-b_j - \beta_j \frac{(\kappa+1)}{\sigma} + \frac{\beta_j}{\sigma} u) \prod_{j=N+1}^Q \Gamma(a_j + \alpha_j \frac{(\kappa+1)}{\sigma} - \frac{\alpha_j}{\sigma} u)} du$$

$$(2.4.3)$$

Next, we express S-generalized Beta function in terms of complex integral form. Finally, we get the right hand side of (2.4.1) by reinterpreting the result in terms of H-function of two variables.

2.4.1 SPECIAL CASES

Here we give S-generalized Gauss hypergeometric function Transform of the some important special cases of Fox H-Function involving Generalized Bessel function, Gauss Hpergeometric function, Generalized Mittag-Leffler Function, Krätzel Function and Lorenzo Hartley G-function.

1. S-generalized Gauss hypergeometric function Transform of

Generalized Bessel Function: In (2.4.1), if we reduce Fox H-Function to the Generalized Bessel function [109, p.19, Eq.(2.6.10)] by taking M = 1, N = P = 0, Q = 2, $b_1 = 0, \beta_1 = 1, b_2 = -\lambda, \beta_2 = \rho$, we can easily get the following S-generalized Gauss hypergeometric function Transform of Generalized Bessel Function after a little simplification.

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}\left[z^{\kappa}J_{\lambda}^{\rho}[Az^{\sigma}];s\right] = \int_{0}^{\infty} F_{p}^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)}(a,b;c;sz)z^{\kappa}J_{\lambda}^{\rho}[Az^{\sigma}]dz = \frac{A^{-(\frac{\kappa+1}{\sigma})}\Gamma(\beta)}{\sigma\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(a)B(b,c-b)}H_{1,1:3,1;3,1}^{0,1:1,2;1,2} \begin{bmatrix} -s & (1-b;1,\tau):A^{*} \\ \frac{1}{p} & (1-c;1,\tau+\mu):B^{*} \\ (2.4.4) \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$A^* = (1 - a, 1), (1 - (\frac{\kappa + 1}{\sigma}), \frac{1}{\sigma}), (1 + \lambda - \rho(\frac{\kappa + 1}{\sigma}), \frac{\rho}{\sigma}); (1, 1), (1 - c + b, \mu), (\beta, 1)$$

$$B^* = (0, 1); (\alpha, 1)$$

provided that the conditions are easily obtainable from the existing

conditions of (2.4.1) are satisfied.

2. S-generalized Gauss hypergeometric function Transform of Gauss Hypergeometric Function: Next, if we reduce Fox H-Function to the Gauss Hpergeometric function [109, p.19, Eq.(2.6.8)] by taking M = 1, N = P = Q = 2, a₁ = 1 - u, a₂ = 1 - v, b₁ = 0, b₂ = 1 - w, α₁ = α₂ = β₁ = β₂ = 1 in (2.4.1), we can easily get the following S-generalized Gauss hypergeometric function Transform of Gauss Hpergeometric Function after a little simplification.

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}\left[z^{\kappa}{}_{2}F_{1}[u,v;w;-Az^{\sigma}];s\right] = \int_{0}^{\infty} F_{p}^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)}(a,b;c;sz)z^{\kappa}{}_{2}F_{1}[u,v;w;-Az^{\sigma}]dz$$
$$= \frac{A^{-(\frac{\kappa+1}{\sigma})}\Gamma(w)\Gamma(\beta)}{\sigma\Gamma(u)\Gamma(v)\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(a)B(b,c-b)}H^{0,1:3,2;1,2}_{1,1:3,3;3,1}\left[\begin{array}{c|c}-s\\1\\p\end{array}\right|\left(1-b;1,\tau\right):A^{*}\\\frac{1}{p}\end{array}\right|(1-c;1,\tau+\mu):B^{*}\right]$$
(2.4.5)

where

$$A^* = (1 - a, 1), (1 - (\frac{\kappa + 1}{\sigma}), \frac{1}{\sigma}), (w - (\frac{\kappa + 1}{\sigma}), \frac{1}{\sigma}); (1, 1), (1 - c + b, \mu), (\beta, 1)$$

2. S-GENERALIZED GAUSS HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTION AND AN INTEGRAL TRANSFORM ASSOCIATED WITH IT

$$B^* = (0,1), (u - (\frac{\kappa+1}{\sigma}), \frac{1}{\sigma}), (v - (\frac{\kappa+1}{\sigma}); \frac{1}{\sigma}); (\alpha, 1)$$

provided that the conditions are easily obtainable from the existing conditions of (2.4.1) are satisfied.

3. S-generalized Gauss hypergeometric function Transform of Generalized Mittag-Leffler Function : Again, if we reduce Fox H-Function to the Generalized Mittag-Leffler function [73, p.25, Eq.(1.137)] by taking M = N = P = 1, Q = 2 and a₁ = 1-δ, b₁ = 0, b₂ = 1-σ, α₁ = β₁ = 1, β₂ = ρ in (2.4.1), we can easily get the following S-generalized Gauss hypergeometric function Transform of Generalized Mittag-Leffler Function after a little simplification.

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}\left[z^{\kappa}E_{\rho,\gamma}^{\delta}[Az^{\sigma}];s\right] &= \int_{0}^{\infty}F_{p}^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)}(a,b;c;sz)z^{\kappa}E_{\rho,\gamma}^{\delta}[Az^{\sigma}]dz \\ &= \frac{A^{-(\frac{\kappa+1}{\sigma})}\Gamma(\beta)}{\sigma\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(a)B(b,c-b)}H_{1,1:3,2;3,1}^{0,1:2,2;1,2} \begin{bmatrix} -s & (1-b;1,\tau):A^{*} \\ \frac{1}{p} & (1-c;1,\tau+\mu):B^{*} \\ (2.4.6) \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

where

$$A^* = (1 - a, 1), (-\kappa, 1), (\gamma - \rho(\kappa + 1), \rho); (1, 1), (1 - c + b, \mu), (\beta, 1)$$
$$B^* = (0, 1), (\delta - \kappa - 1, 1); (\alpha, 1)$$

provided that the conditions are easily obtainable from the existing conditions of (2.4.1) are satisfied.

4. S-generalized Gauss hypergeometric function Transform of Krätzel Function: In (2.4.1), if we reduce Fox H-Function to the Krätzel function [73, p.25, Eq.(1.141)] by taking M = Q = 2, N = P = 0, b₁ = 0, β₁ = 1, b₂ =
$\frac{\nu}{\rho}$, $\beta_2 = \frac{1}{\rho}$, we can easily get the following S-generalized Gauss hypergeometric function Transform of Krätzel Function after a little simplification.

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\mathfrak{S}} \left[z^{\kappa} Z^{\nu}_{\rho}(A z^{\sigma}); s \right] &= \int_{0}^{\infty} F^{(\alpha, \beta; \tau, \mu)}_{p}(a, b; c; s z) z^{\kappa} Z^{\nu}_{\rho}(A z^{\sigma}) dz \\ &= \frac{A^{-(\frac{\kappa+1}{\sigma})} \Gamma(\beta)}{\sigma \rho \Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(a) B(b, c-b)} H^{0,1:1,3;1,2}_{1,1:3,1;3,1} \left[\begin{array}{c|c} -s & (1-b; 1, \tau) : A^{*} \\ \frac{1}{p} & (1-c; 1, \tau+\mu) : B^{*} \\ (2.4.7) \end{array} \right] \end{split}$$

where

$$A^* = (1 - a, 1), (1 - (\frac{\kappa + 1}{\sigma}), \frac{1}{\sigma}), (1 - \frac{\nu}{\rho} - (\frac{\kappa + 1}{\sigma\rho}), \frac{1}{\sigma\rho}); (1, 1), (1 - c + b, \mu), (\beta, 1)$$
$$B^* = (0, 1); (\alpha, 1)$$

provided that the conditions are easily obtainable from the existing conditions of (2.4.1) are satisfied.

5. S-generalized Gauss hypergeometric function Transform of Lorenzo Hartley G-function : In (2.4.1), if we reduce Fox H-Function to the Lorenzo Hartley G-function [34, p.64, Eq.(2.3)] by taking M = N = P = 1, Q = 2, a₁ = 1 - r, α₁ = 1, b₁ = 0, β₁ = β₂ = 1, b₂ = 1 + ν - r, we can easily get the following S-generalized Gauss hypergeometric function Transform of Lorenzo Hartley G-function after a little simplification.

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}\left[z^{\kappa}G_{\sigma,\nu,r}[-A,t];s\right] = \int_{0}^{\infty} F_{p}^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)}(a,b;c;sz)z^{\kappa}G_{\sigma,\nu,r}[-A,t]dz$$

$$= \frac{A^{-(\frac{\kappa-\nu+r\sigma}{\sigma})}\Gamma(\beta)}{\sigma\Gamma(r)\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(a)B(b,c-b)}H_{1,1:3,2;3,1}^{0,1:2,2;1,2} \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{s}{A^{\sigma}} & (1-b;1,\tau):A^{*} \\ \frac{1}{p} & (1-c;1,\tau+\mu):B^{*} \end{bmatrix}$$
(2.4.8)

where

$$A^* = (1 - a, 1), \left(\frac{\sigma - \kappa + \nu - r\sigma}{\sigma}, \frac{1}{\sigma}\right), (-\kappa, 1); (1, 1), (1 - c + b, \mu), (\beta, 1)$$

2. S-GENERALIZED GAUSS HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTION AND AN INTEGRAL TRANSFORM ASSOCIATED WITH IT

 $B^* = (0,1), (\tfrac{\nu-\kappa}{\sigma}, \tfrac{1}{\sigma}); (\alpha,1)$

provided that the conditions are easily obtainable from the existing

conditions of (2.4.1) are satisfied.

The main findings of this chapter have been published as detailed below:

 M. K. BANSAL and R. JAIN (2017). A STUDY OF UNIFIED FRAC-TIONAL INTEGRAL OPERATORS INVOLVING S-GENERALIZED GAUSS'S HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTION AS ITS KERNEL, *Palestine Journal* of Mathematics, 6(1), 142–152.

In this chapter we study a pair of a general class of fractional integral operators involving the Appell Polynomial, Fox H-function and S-Generalized Gauss Hypergeometric Function. First we define and give the conditions of existence of the operators of our study and then we obtain the images of certain useful functions in them. Further, we evaluate four new integrals involving Appell's Function, Multivariate generalized Mittag-Lefflet Function, generalization of the modified Bessel function and generalized hypergeometric function by the application of the images established and also gives the three unknown and two known integral of these operators. Next we develop six results wherein the first two contain the Mellin transform of these operators, the next two the corresponding inversion formulae and the last two their Mellin convolutions. Later on, we establish a theorem analogous to the well known Parseval Goldstein theorem for our unified fractional integral operators.

3.1 INTRODUCTION

APPELL POLYNOMIAL

A class of polynomials over the field of complex numbers which contains many classical polynomial systems. The Appell Polynomials were introduced by Appell [6]. The series of Appell Polynomials is defined by :

$$A_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{a_{n-k}}{k!} z^k \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
(3.1.1)

where a_{n-k} is the complex coefficient and $a_0 \neq 0$.

FOX H-FUNCTION

A single Mellin-Barnes contour integral, occurring in the present work, is now popularly known as the H-function of Charles Fox (1897-1977). It will be defined and represented here in the following manner [109]:

$$H_{P,Q}^{M,N}[z] = H_{P,Q}^{M,N} \left[z \left| \begin{array}{c} (g_j, G_j)_{1,P} \\ (h_j, H_j)_{1,Q} \end{array} \right] = H_{P,Q}^{M,N} \left[z \left| \begin{array}{c} (g_1, G_1), \cdots, (g_P, G_P) \\ (h_1, H_1), \cdots, (h_Q, H_Q) \end{array} \right] \right] \\ := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathfrak{L}} \Theta(\xi) z^{\xi} d\xi,$$
(3.1.2)

where $\mathbf{i} = \sqrt{-1}, \ z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \ \mathbb{C}$ being the set of complex numbers,

$$\Theta(\xi) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{M} \Gamma(h_j - H_j \xi) \prod_{j=1}^{N} \Gamma(1 - g_j + G_j \xi)}{\prod_{j=M+1}^{Q} \Gamma(1 - h_j + H_j \xi) \prod_{j=N+1}^{P} \Gamma(g_j - G_j \xi)},$$
(3.1.3)

M, N, P and Q are non-negative integers satisfying $1 \leq M \leq Q$, $0 \leq N \leq P; G_j (j = 1, \dots, P)$ and $H_j (j = 1, \dots, Q)$ are assumed to be positive quantities for standardization purpose.

The definition of the H-function given by (3.1.2) will, However, have meaning even if some of these quantities are zero, giving us in turn simple transformation formulas.

The nature of contour \mathfrak{L} in (3.1.2), a set of sufficient conditions for the convergence of this integral, the asymptotic expansion of the H-function, some of its properties and special cases can be referred to in a book by Srivastava et al.[109]

THE MULTIVARIABLE H-FUNCTION

The Multivariable H-Function is defined and represented in the following manner [109, p. 251–250, Eqs. (C.1–C.3)]:

$$H^{0,B:A_{1},B_{1};...;A_{r},B_{r}}_{C,D:C_{1},D_{1};...;C_{r},D_{r}} \begin{bmatrix} z_{1} & (a_{j};\alpha_{j}^{(1)},...,\alpha_{j}^{(r)})_{1,C}:(c_{j}^{(1)},\gamma_{j}^{(1)})_{1,C_{1}};...;(c_{j}^{(r)},\gamma_{j}^{(r)})_{1,C_{r}} \\ \cdot & \\ \cdot & \\ \cdot & \\ z_{r} & (b_{j};\beta_{j}^{(1)},...,\beta_{j}^{(r)})_{1,D}:(d_{j}^{(1)},\delta_{j}^{(1)})_{1,D_{1}};...;(d_{j}^{(r)},\delta_{j}^{(r)})_{1,D_{r}} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi\omega)^r} \int_{\mathfrak{L}_1} \int_{\mathfrak{L}_2} \dots \int_{\mathfrak{L}_r} \Phi(\xi_1, \xi_2, ..., \xi_{\mathfrak{r}}) \prod_{i=1}^r \Theta_i(\xi_i) z^{\xi_i} d\xi_1 d\xi_2, ..., d\xi_{\mathfrak{r}}, \qquad (3.1.4)$$

where $\omega = \sqrt{-1}$,

$$\Phi(\xi_1, \xi_2, ..., \xi_r) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^B \Gamma(1 - a_j + \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_j^{(i)} \xi_i)}{\prod_{j=1}^D \Gamma(1 - b_j + \sum_{i=1}^r \beta_j^{(i)} \xi_i) \prod_{j=B+1}^C \Gamma(a_j - \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_j^{(i)} \xi_i)}$$

$$\Theta_{i}(\xi_{i}) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{A_{i}} \Gamma(d_{j}^{(i)} - \delta_{j}^{(i)}\xi_{i}) \prod_{j=1}^{B_{i}} \Gamma(1 - c_{j}^{(i)} + \gamma_{j}^{(i)}\xi_{i})}{\prod_{j=B_{i}+1}^{C_{i}} \Gamma(c_{j}^{(i)} - \gamma_{j}^{(i)}\xi_{i}) \prod_{j=A_{i}+1}^{D_{i}} \Gamma(1 - d_{j}^{(i)} + \delta_{j}^{(i)}\xi_{i})} (i = 1, 2, ..., r), \quad (3.1.5)$$

All the Greek letters occurring on the left and side of (3.1.4) are assumed to be positive real numbers for standardization purposes. The definition of the multivariable H-function will however be meaningful even if some of these quantities are zero. The details about the nature of contour $\mathfrak{L}_1, ..., \mathfrak{L}_r$, conditions of convergence of the integral given by (3.1.4). Throughout the work it is assumed that this function always satisfied its appropriate conditions of convergence [109, p. 251, Eqs. (C.4–C.6)].

S-GENERALIZED GAUSS HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTION

The S-generalized Gauss hypergeometric function $F_p^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)}(a,b;c;z)$ was introduced and investigated by Srivastava et al. [113, p. 350, Eq. (1.12)]. It is represented in the following manner:

$$F_p^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)}(a,b;c;z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a)_n \frac{B_p^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)}(b+n,c-b)}{B(b,c-b)} \frac{z^n}{n!} \qquad (|z|<1) \qquad (3.1.6)$$

provided that $(\Re(p) \ge 0; \min\{\Re(\alpha), \Re(\beta), \Re(\tau), \Re(\mu)\} > 0; \Re(c) > \Re(b) > 0)$ in terms of the classical Beta function $B(\lambda, \mu)$ and the S-generalized Beta function $B_p^{(\alpha, \beta; \tau, \mu)}(x, y)$ was also defined by Srivastava et al. [113, p. 350, Eq.(1.13)] as follows:

$$B_{p}^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)}(x,y) = \int_{0}^{1} t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} {}_{1}F_{1}\left(\alpha;\beta;\frac{-p}{t^{\tau}(1-t)^{\mu}}\right) dt \qquad (3.1.7)$$
$$(\Re(p) \ge 0; \quad \min\{\Re(x), \Re(y), \Re(\alpha), \Re(\beta), \Re(\tau), \Re(\mu)\} > 0)$$

If we take p = 0 in (3.1.7), it reduces to Classical Beta Function and $(\lambda)_n$ denotes the pochhammer symbol defined (for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$) by (see [115, p. 2 and pp. 4–6]; see also [114, p. 2]):

$$(\lambda)_n := \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+n)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} = \begin{cases} 1, & (n=0)\\ \lambda(\lambda+1)\dots(\lambda+n-1), & (n\in\mathbb{N} \\ \end{array} := \{1,2,3\}) \end{cases}$$
(3.1.8)

provided that the Gamma quotient exists (see, for details,[108, et seq.] and [112, p. 22 et seq.]).

COMPLEX INTEGRAL REPRESENTATION OF S-GENERALIZED GAUSS HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTION

A complex integral representation of S-Generalized Gauss Hypergeometric function $F_p^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)}(a,b;c,z)$ was introduced and investigated by Srivastava et al.[111]. It is represented in the following manner:

$$F_p^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)}(a,b;c,z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathfrak{L}} (-z)^{-\xi} \frac{B(\xi,a-\xi) B_p^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)}(b-\xi,c-b)}{B(b,c-b)} d\xi \quad (3.1.9)$$

Now we give the following representation of $F_p^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\gamma)}(a,b;c,z)$ in terms of H-function of two variables:

$$F_{p}^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\gamma)}(a,b;c,z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathfrak{L}} (-z)^{-\xi} \frac{B(\xi,a-\xi)B_{p}^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)}(b-\xi,c-b)}{B(b,c-b)} d\xi$$
$$= \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(a)B(b,c-b)} H_{1,1:3,1;1,1}^{0,1:1,2;1,1} \begin{bmatrix} p^{-1} & (1-b;\tau,1):A^{*} \\ -z & (1-c;\tau+\mu,1):B^{*} \end{bmatrix}$$
(3.1.10)

where

 $A^* = (1,1), (1-c+b,\mu), (\beta,1); (1-a,1) \qquad B^* = (\alpha,1); (0,1)$

GENERALIZED INCOMPLETE HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTION

The generalized incomplete hypergeometric function introduced and defined by Srivastava et al. [116, p.675, Eq.(4.1)] is represented in the following manner:

$${}_{\mathfrak{p}}\gamma_{\mathfrak{q}} \begin{bmatrix} (E_{\mathfrak{p}};\sigma); \\ F_{\mathfrak{q}}; \end{bmatrix} = {}_{\mathfrak{p}}\gamma_{\mathfrak{q}} \begin{bmatrix} (e_{1};\sigma), e_{2}, \dots, e_{\mathfrak{p}}; \\ f_{1}, \dots, f_{\mathfrak{q}}; \end{bmatrix}$$
$$:= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(E_{\mathfrak{p}};\sigma)_{n}}{(F_{\mathfrak{q}};0)_{n}} \frac{z^{n}}{n!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(e_{1};\sigma)_{n}, (e_{2})_{n}, \dots, (e_{\mathfrak{p}})_{n}}{(f_{1})_{n}, (f_{2})_{n}, \dots, (f_{\mathfrak{q}})_{n}} \frac{z^{n}}{n!} \quad (3.1.11)$$

where the incomplete Pochhammer symbols are defined as follows:

$$(e;\sigma)_n = \frac{\gamma(e+n,\sigma)}{\Gamma(e)} \qquad (a,n \in \mathbb{C}; x \ge 0)$$
(3.1.12)

and the familiar incomplete gamma function $\gamma(s, x)$ is

$$\gamma(s,x) = \int_{0}^{x} t^{s-1} e^{-t} dt \qquad (\Re(s) > 0; x \ge 0)$$
(3.1.13)

provided that the defining of infinite series in each case is absolutely convergent.

FRACTIONAL INTEGRAL OPERATORS

We study two unified fractional integral operators involving the Appell Polynomial, Fox H-function and S-Generalized Gauss's Hypergeometric Function having general arguments defined and represented in the following manner:

$$I_{x}^{\nu,\lambda}\{A_{n},H,F_{p};f(t)\} = x^{-\nu-\lambda-1} \int_{0}^{x} t^{\nu}(x-t)^{\lambda} A_{n} \left[z_{1} \left(\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\nu_{1}} \left(1-\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\lambda_{1}}\right]$$
$$H_{P,Q}^{M,N} \left[z_{2} \left(\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\nu_{2}} \left(1-\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\lambda_{2}} \left|\begin{array}{c} (g_{j},G_{j})_{1,P} \\ (h_{j},H_{j})_{1,Q} \end{array}\right] F_{p}^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)} \left[a,b;c;z_{3} \left(\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\nu_{3}} \left(1-\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\lambda_{3}}\right] f(t) dt$$
(3.1.14)

where $f(t) \in \Lambda$ and Λ denotes the class of functions for which

$$f(t) := \begin{cases} O\{|t|^{\zeta}\}; & \max\{|t|\} \to 0\\ \\ O\{|t|^{w_1} e^{-w_2|t|}\}; & \min\{|t|\} \to \infty \end{cases}$$
(3.1.15)

provided that

$$\min_{1 \le j \le M} \Re\left(\nu + \nu_2 \frac{h_j}{H_j} + \zeta + 1, \lambda + \lambda_2 \frac{h_j}{H_j} + 1\right) > 0$$

$$\min\{\nu_1, \nu_3, \lambda_1, \lambda_3\} \ge 0$$
(3.1.16)

$$J_{x}^{\nu,\lambda}\{A_{n}, H, F_{p}; f(t)\} = x^{\nu} \int_{x}^{\infty} t^{-\nu-\lambda-1} (t-x)^{\lambda} A_{n} \left[z_{1} \left(\frac{x}{t} \right)^{\nu_{1}} \left(1 - \frac{x}{t} \right)^{\lambda_{1}} \right]$$
$$H_{P,Q}^{M,N} \left[z_{2} \left(\frac{x}{t} \right)^{\nu_{2}} \left(1 - \frac{x}{t} \right)^{\lambda_{2}} \middle| \begin{array}{c} (g_{j}, G_{j})_{1,P} \\ (h_{j}, H_{j})_{1,Q} \end{array} \right] F_{p}^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)} \left[a, b; c; z_{3} \left(\frac{x}{t} \right)^{\nu_{3}} \left(1 - \frac{x}{t} \right)^{\lambda_{3}} \right] f(t) dt$$
(3.1.17)

provided that

$$\Re(w_2) > 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \Re(w_2) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \min_{1 \le j \le M} \Re\left(\nu - w_1 + \nu_2 \frac{h_j}{H_j}\right) > 0 \\ \min_{1 \le j \le M} \Re\left(\lambda + \lambda_2 \frac{h_j}{H_j} + 1\right) > 0, \min\{\nu_1, \nu_3, \lambda_1, \lambda_3\} \ge 0$$

$$(3.1.18)$$

3.2 IMAGES

In this section, we will find the images of some useful functions under the our operators define by (3.1.14) and (3.1.17). We have:

(i)

$$I_{x}^{\nu,\lambda} \left[A_{n}, H, F_{p}; t^{\rho} H_{C,D;C_{1},D_{1};...;C_{r},D_{r}}^{0,B;A_{1},B_{1};...;A_{r},B_{r}} \left[\begin{array}{c} z^{(1)} t^{\nu^{(1)}} (x-t)^{\lambda^{(1)}} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ z^{(r)} t^{\nu^{(r)}} (x-t)^{\lambda^{(r)}} \end{array} \right] \right] = \frac{\Gamma(\beta)x^{\rho}}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(\alpha)B(b,c-b)}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{a_{n-k} z_{1}^{k}}{k!} H_{C+3,D+2;C_{1},D_{1};...;C_{r},D_{r};3,1;P,Q;1,1}^{0,B+3;A_{1},B_{1};...;A_{r},B_{r};1,2;M,N;1,1} \begin{bmatrix} z^{(1)} x^{\nu^{(1)}+\lambda^{(1)}} & A^{*}:C^{*} \\ \vdots \\ z^{(r)} x^{\nu^{(r)}+\lambda^{(r)}} & \\ p^{-1} & \\ z_{2} & \\ z_{3} & B^{*}:D^{*} \end{bmatrix}$$
(3.2.1)

where

$$\begin{aligned} A^* &= (-\rho - \nu - \nu_1 k; \nu^{(1)}, \cdots, \nu^{(r)}, \nu_2, \nu_3, 0), (-\lambda - \lambda_1 k; \lambda^{(1)}, \cdots, \lambda^{(r)}, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, 0), \\ (1 - b; \underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{r+1}, \tau, 1), (a_j; \alpha_j^{(1)}, \cdots, \alpha_j^{(r)}, 0, 0, 0)_{1,C} \\ B^* &= (-1 - \rho - (\lambda + \nu) - (\lambda_1 + \nu_1)k; (\lambda^{(1)} + \nu^{(1)}), \cdots, (\lambda^{(r)} + \nu^{(r)}), (\lambda_2 + \nu_2), (\lambda_3 + \nu_3), 0), \\ (1 - c; \underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{r+1}, \tau + \mu, 1), (b_j; \beta_j^{(1)}, \cdots, \beta_j^{(r)}, 0, 0, 0)_{1,D} \\ C^* &= (c_j^{(1)}, \gamma_j^{(1)})_{1,C_1}; \cdots; (c_j^{(r)}, \gamma_j^{(r)})_{1,C_r}; (1, 1), (1 - c + b, \mu), (\beta, 1); (g_j, G_j)_{1,P}; (1 - a, 1) \\ D^* &= (d_j^{(1)}, \delta_j^{(1)})_{1,D_1}; \cdots; (d_j^{(r)}, \delta_j^{(r)})_{1,D_r}; (\alpha, 1); (h_j, H_j)_{1,Q}; (0, 1) \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.2.2)$$

provided that conditions given by (3.1.16) are satisfied.

(ii)

$$J_{x}^{\nu,\lambda} \left[A_{n}, H, F_{p}; t^{\rho} H_{C,D;C_{1},D_{1};...;C_{r},D_{r}}^{0,B;A_{1},B_{1};...;A_{r},B_{r}} \begin{bmatrix} z^{(1)}t^{-\nu^{(1)}}(1-\frac{x}{t})^{\lambda^{(1)}} \\ \vdots \\ z^{(r)}t^{-\nu^{(r)}}(1-\frac{x}{t})^{\lambda^{(r)}} \end{bmatrix} \right] = \frac{\Gamma(\beta)x^{\rho}}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(\alpha)B(b,c-b)}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{a_{n-k}z_{1}^{k}}{k!} H_{C+3,D+2;C_{1},D_{1};...;C_{r},D_{r};3,1;P,Q;1,1}^{0,B+3;A_{1},B_{1};...;A_{r},B_{r};1,2;M,N;1,1} \begin{bmatrix} z^{(1)}x^{-\nu^{(1)}} \\ \vdots \\ z^{(r)}x^{-\nu^{(r)}} \\ p^{-1} \\ z_{2} \\ z_{3} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A^{**}: C^{*} \\ B^{**}: D^{*} \end{bmatrix}$$
(3.2.3)

where A^{**} and B^{**} can be obtained from A^* and B^* defined in (3.2.2) by replacing ρ by $-1 - \rho$, and provided that conditions given by (3.1.18) are satisfied. (iii)

$$I_x^{\nu,\lambda} \left[A_n, H, F_p; t^{\rho}{}_{\mathfrak{p}} \gamma_{\mathfrak{q}} \left[\begin{array}{c} (E_{\mathfrak{p}}; \sigma); \\ z_4 \left(\frac{t}{x} \right)^{\nu_4} \left(1 - \frac{t}{x} \right)^{\lambda_4} \right] \right] =$$

$$\frac{\Gamma(\beta)x^{\rho}}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(\alpha)B(b,c-b)} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{a_{n-k}}{k!} \frac{(e_{1};\sigma)_{i}, (e_{2})_{i}, \dots, (e_{p})_{i}}{(f_{1})_{i}, (f_{2})_{i}, \dots, (f_{q})_{i}i!} z_{1}^{k} z_{4}^{i}$$

$$H_{3,2:P,Q;1,1;3,1}^{0,3:M,N;1,1;1,2} \begin{bmatrix} z_{2} & E^{*}; & (g_{j},G_{j})_{1,P}; & (1-a,1); & (1,1), (1-c+b,\mu), (\beta,1) \\ z_{3} & & \\ p^{-1} & F^{*}; & (h_{j},H_{j})_{1,Q}; & (0,1); & (\alpha,1) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(3.2.4)$$

$$E^{*} = (-\rho - \nu - \nu_{1}k - \nu_{4}i, \nu_{2}, \nu_{3}, 0), (-\lambda - \lambda_{1}k - \lambda_{4}i, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, 0), (1 - b; 0, 1, \tau)$$

$$F^{*} = (-1 - \rho - (\lambda + \nu)) - (\lambda_{1} + \nu_{1})k - (\lambda_{4} + \nu_{4})i, (\lambda_{2} + \nu_{2}), (\lambda_{3} + \nu_{3}), 0), (1 - c; 0, 1, \tau + \mu)$$
(3.2.5)

provided that the conditions given by (3.1.16) are satisfied.

(iv)

$$J_x^{\nu,\lambda} \left[A_n, H, F_p; t^{\rho}{}_{\mathfrak{p}} \gamma_{\mathfrak{q}} \left[\begin{array}{c} (E_{\mathfrak{p}}; \sigma); \\ z_4 t^{-\nu_4} \left(1 - \frac{x}{t} \right)^{\lambda_4} \\ F_{\mathfrak{q}}; \end{array} \right] \right] =$$

$$\frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(\alpha)B(b,c-b)}\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{n}\frac{a_{n-k}}{k!}\frac{(e_{1};\sigma)_{i},(e_{2})_{i},...,(e_{\mathfrak{p}})_{i}}{(f_{1})_{i},(f_{2})_{i},...,(f_{\mathfrak{q}})_{i}i!}z_{1}^{k}z_{4}^{i}x^{\rho-\nu_{4}i}$$

$$H_{3,2:P,Q;1,1;3,1}^{0,3:M,N;1,1;1,2} \begin{bmatrix} z_2 & E^{**}; & (g_j, G_j)_{1,P}; & (1-a,1); & (1,1), (1-c+b,\mu), (\beta,1) \\ z_3 & & \\ p^{-1} & F^{**}; & (h_j, H_j)_{1,Q}; & (0,1); & (\alpha,1) \\ & & & (3.2.6) \end{bmatrix}$$

where E^{**} and F^{**} can be obtained from E^* and F^* defined in (3.2.5) by replacing ρ by $-1 - \rho$, and provided that conditions given by (3.1.18) are satisfied.

Proof:

To prove (3.2.1), first of all express the I-operator involved in its left hand side, in the integral form with the help of equation (3.1.14), we have

$$\begin{split} I_{x}^{\nu,\lambda} \left[A_{n}, H, F_{p}; t^{\rho} H_{C,D;C_{1},D_{1};...;C_{r},D_{r}}^{0,B;A_{1},B_{1};...;A_{r},B_{r}} \left[\begin{array}{c} z^{(1)}t^{\nu^{(1)}}(x-t)^{\lambda^{(1)}} \\ \vdots \\ z^{(r)}t^{\nu^{(r)}}(x-t)^{\lambda^{(r)}} \end{array} \right] \right] &= x^{-\nu-\lambda-1} \\ \vdots \\ z^{(r)}t^{\nu^{(r)}}(x-t)^{\lambda^{(r)}} \\ \int_{0}^{x} t^{\nu+\rho}(x-t)^{\lambda}A_{n} \left[z_{1} \left(\frac{t}{x} \right)^{\nu_{1}} \left(1 - \frac{t}{x} \right)^{\lambda_{1}} \right] H_{P,Q}^{M,N} \left[z_{2} \left(\frac{t}{x} \right)^{\nu_{2}} \left(1 - \frac{t}{x} \right)^{\lambda_{2}} \right] \left| \begin{array}{c} (g_{j},G_{j})_{1,P} \\ (h_{j},H_{j})_{1,Q} \end{array} \right] \\ F_{p}^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)} \left[a,b;c;z_{3} \left(\frac{t}{x} \right)^{\nu_{3}} \left(1 - \frac{t}{x} \right)^{\lambda_{3}} \right] H_{C,D;C_{1},D_{1};...;C_{r},D_{r}}^{0,B;A_{1},B_{1};...;A_{r},B_{r}} \left[\begin{array}{c} z^{(1)}t^{\nu^{(1)}}(x-t)^{\lambda^{(1)}} \\ \vdots \\ z^{(r)}t^{\nu^{(r)}}(x-t)^{\lambda^{(r)}} \end{array} \right] dt \\ \vdots \\ z^{(r)}t^{\nu^{(r)}}(x-t)^{\lambda^{(r)}} \end{array} \right] dt \\ (3.2.7) \end{split}$$

Now, we replace the Appell polynomial occurring in the above expression in terms of its series with the help of equation (3.1.1) and change the order of the series and the t-integral. Next, we express the S-Generalized Gauss Hypergeometric Function in terms of H-function of two variable with the help of (3.1.10). Further, we express Fox H-function, H-function of two variable and Multivariable H-function in terms of the Mellin-Barnes type contour integrals with the help of equation (3.1.2) and (3.1.4) respectively. Then changing the order of ξ_{j} - and tintegrals (j= 1,2,3,...,r + 3) (which is permissible under the conditions stated), we have

$$I_{x}^{\nu,\lambda}\left[A_{n},H,F_{p};t^{\rho}H_{C,D;C_{1},D_{1};...;C_{r},D_{r}}^{0,B;A_{1},B_{1};...;A_{r},B_{r}}\left[\begin{array}{c}z^{(1)}t^{\nu^{(1)}}(x-t)^{\lambda^{(1)}}\\\vdots\\\vdots\\z^{(r)}t^{\nu^{(r)}}(x-t)^{\lambda^{(r)}}\end{array}\right]\right]=\frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(a)B(b,c-b)}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{a_{n-k}}{k!} z_{1}^{k} x^{\rho-(\nu+\lambda)-(\nu_{1}+\lambda_{1})k-(\nu_{2}+\lambda_{2})\xi_{r+1}-(\nu_{3}+\lambda_{3})\xi_{r+2}-1} \frac{1}{(2\pi\omega)^{r+3}} \iint_{\mathfrak{L}_{1}} \iint_{\mathfrak{L}_{2}} \dots \iint_{\mathfrak{L}_{r+3}} \Phi(\xi_{1},\xi_{2},...,\xi_{r})$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^{r} \Theta_{i}(\xi_{i})\varphi(\xi_{r+2},\xi_{r+3}) \prod_{j=r+1}^{r+3} \Theta_{j}(\xi_{j}) z^{(i)\xi_{i}} z_{2}^{\xi_{r+1}} z_{3}^{\xi_{r+2}} p^{-\xi_{r+3}}$$

$$\int_{0}^{x} t^{\rho+\nu+\nu_{1}k+\sum_{i=1}^{r} \nu^{(i)}\xi_{i}+\nu_{2}\xi_{r+1}+\nu_{3}\xi_{r+2}} (x-t)^{\lambda+\lambda_{1}k+\sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda^{(i)}\xi_{i}+\lambda_{2}\xi_{r+1}+\nu_{3}\xi_{r+2}} dt d\xi_{1}d\xi_{2},...,d\xi_{r+3}$$

$$(3.2.8)$$

Finally, evaluating the t-integral and reinterpreting the result thus obtained in terms of the H-function of r+3 variables, we easily arrive at the desired result (3.2.1) after a little simplification.

The proof of (3.2.3), (3.2.4) and (3.2.6) can be obtained by proceeding on similar lines to those given above.

3.3 APPLICATIONS

Now we shall establish four interesting integrals with the application of images: (I) In the image (i), if we reduce Multivariable H-function into Appell's Function [109, p.89,Eq.(6.4.6)] and take $\rho = 0$. We obtain the following integral after a little simplification:

$$\frac{\Gamma(c_{1})\Gamma(c_{2})\Gamma(c_{3})}{\Gamma(d_{1})\Gamma(d_{2})}\frac{1}{x}\int_{0}^{x}\left(\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\nu}\left(1-\frac{x}{t}\right)^{\lambda}A_{n}\left[z_{1}\left(\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\nu_{1}}\left(1-\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\lambda_{1}}\right]$$
$$H_{P,Q}^{M,N}\left[z_{2}\left(\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\nu_{2}}\left(1-\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\lambda_{2}}\left|\begin{array}{c}(g_{j},G_{j})_{1,P}\\(h_{j},H_{j})_{1,Q}\end{array}\right]F_{p}^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)}\left[a,b;c;z_{3}\left(\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\nu_{3}}\left(1-\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\lambda_{3}}\right]$$
$$F_{3}\left[c_{1},c_{2},c_{3},c_{4};b_{1};z^{(1)}t^{\nu^{(1)}}(x-t)^{\lambda^{(1)}},z^{(2)}t^{\nu^{(2)}}(x-t)^{\lambda^{(2)}}\right]dt$$
(3.3.1)

$$= \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(\alpha)B(b,c-b)} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{a_{n-k}z_{1}^{k}}{k!} H_{3,3;2,1;2,1;3,1;P,Q;1,1}^{0,3;1,2;1,2;1,2;N,N;1,1} \begin{bmatrix} -z^{(1)}x^{\nu^{(1)}+\lambda^{(1)}} \\ -z^{(2)}x^{\nu^{(2)}+\lambda^{(2)}} \\ p^{-1} \\ z_{2} \\ z_{3} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{n-k}z_{1}^{k} \\ B^{-1} \\$$

where

$$\begin{split} A^* &= (-\nu - \nu_1 k; \nu^{(1)}, \nu^{(2)}, \nu_2, \nu_3, 0), (-\lambda - \lambda_1 k; \lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)}, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, 0), (1 - b; 0, 0, 0, \tau, 1) \\ B^* &= (-1 - (\lambda + \nu) - (\lambda_1 + \nu_1)k; (\lambda^{(1)} + \nu^{(1)}), (\lambda^{(2)} + \nu^{(2)}), (\lambda_2 + \nu_2), (\lambda_3 + \nu_3), 0), \\ (1 - c; 0, 0, 0, \tau + \mu, 1), (1 - b_1; 1, 1, 0, 0, 0) \\ C^* &= (1 - c_1, 1), (1 - c_2, 1); (1 - c_3, 1), (1 - c_4, 1); (1, 1), (1 - c + b, \mu), (\beta, 1); \\ (g_j, G_j)_{1,P}; (1 - a, 1) \\ D^* &= (0, 1); (0, 1); (\alpha, 1); (h_j, H_j)_{1,Q}; (0, 1) \end{split}$$

(II) On taking in image (ii), if we reduce Multivariable H-function into Multivariate generalized Mittag-Leffler Function [99, p.5,Eq.(2.1)] and take $\rho = 0$. We easily get the following integral after a little simplification:

$$\prod_{j=1}^{r} \Gamma(\gamma_{j}) \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t} \left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{\nu} \left(1 - \frac{x}{t}\right)^{\lambda} A_{n} \left[z_{1} \left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{\nu_{1}} \left(1 - \frac{x}{t}\right)^{\lambda_{1}}\right] \\
H_{P,Q}^{M,N} \left[z_{2} \left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{\nu_{2}} \left(1 - \frac{x}{t}\right)^{\lambda_{2}} \left| \begin{array}{c} (g_{j}, G_{j})_{1,P} \\ (h_{j}, H_{j})_{1,Q} \end{array} \right] F_{p}^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)} \left[a, b; c; z_{3} \left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{\nu_{3}} \left(1 - \frac{x}{t}\right)^{\lambda_{3}}\right] \\
E_{\beta_{j},b_{1}}^{\gamma_{j}} \left(-z^{(1)}t^{-\nu^{(1)}} (1 - \frac{x}{t})^{\lambda^{(1)}}, ..., -z^{(r)}t^{-\nu^{(r)}} (1 - \frac{x}{t})^{\lambda^{(r)}}\right) dt \qquad (3.3.3)$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(\beta)x^{-1}}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(\alpha)B(b,c-b)} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{a_{n-k}z_{1}^{k}}{k!} H_{3,3;1,1;...;1,1;3,1;P,Q;1,1}^{(0,3;1,1;...;1,1;3,1;P,Q;1,1)} \begin{bmatrix} z^{(1)}x^{-\nu^{(1)}} & E^{*}:G^{*} \\ \vdots \\ z^{(r)}x^{-\nu^{(r)}} & p^{-1} \\ z_{2} \\ z_{3} \end{bmatrix} \\ F^{*}:H^{*} \end{bmatrix}$$
(3.3.4)
$$E^{*} = (1 - \nu - \nu_{1}k;\nu^{(1)}, ..., \nu^{(r)}, \nu_{2}, \nu_{3}, 0), (-\lambda - \lambda_{1}k;\lambda^{(1)}, ..., \lambda^{(r)}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, 0), (1 - b; \underbrace{0, \cdots, 0, \tau, 1}_{r+1}) \\ F^{*} = (-(\lambda + \nu)) - (\lambda_{1} + \nu_{1})k; (\lambda^{(1)} + \nu^{(1)}), ..., (\lambda^{(r)} + \nu^{(r)}), (\lambda_{2} + \nu_{2}), (\lambda_{3} + \nu_{3}), 0), \\ (1 - c; \underbrace{0, ..., 0}_{r+1}, \tau + \mu, 1), (1 - b_{1}; \beta_{1}, ..., \beta_{r}, 0, 0, 0) \\ G^{*} = (1 - c_{1}, 1); ...; (1 - c_{r}, 1); (1, 1), (1 - c + b, \mu), (\beta, 1); (g_{j}, G_{j})_{1,P}; (1 - a, 1) \\ H^{*} = (0, 1); ...; (0, 1); (\alpha, 1); (h_{j}, H_{j})_{1,Q}; (0, 1) \end{cases}$$
(3.3.5)

(III) Further, in the image (i), if we reduce Multivariable H-function into generalization of the modified Bessel function of the third kind [30, p.155,Eq.(2.6)] and take $\rho = 0$. We arrive at the following integral after a little simplification:

$$\frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} \left(\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\nu} \left(1 - \frac{t}{x}\right)^{\lambda} A_{n} \left[z_{1} \left(\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\nu_{1}} \left(1 - \frac{t}{x}\right)^{\lambda_{1}}\right] H_{P,Q}^{M,N} \left[z_{2} \left(\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\nu_{2}} \left(1 - \frac{t}{x}\right)^{\lambda_{2}} \left| \begin{array}{c} (g_{j}, G_{j})_{1,P} \\ (h_{j}, H_{j})_{1,Q} \end{array} \right] \\ F_{p}^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)} \left[a, b; c; z_{3} \left(\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\nu_{3}} \left(1 - \frac{t}{x}\right)^{\lambda_{3}}\right] \lambda_{\gamma,\sigma}^{(\eta)} \left[z^{(1)} t^{\nu^{(1)}} (x - t)^{\lambda^{(1)}}\right] dt \qquad (3.3.6)$$

_

$$= \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(\alpha)B(b,c-b)} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{a_{n-k}z_{1}^{k}}{k!} H^{0,3;2,0;1,2;M,N;1,1}_{3,2;1,2;3,1;P,Q;1,1} \begin{bmatrix} x^{(1)}x^{\nu^{(1)}+\lambda^{(1)}} & M^{*}:P^{*} \\ p^{-1} & \\ z_{2} & \\ z_{3} & \\ & & N^{*}:Q^{*} \end{bmatrix}_{(3.3.7)}$$

where

$$M^{*} = (-\nu - \nu_{1}k; \nu^{(1)}, \nu_{2}, \nu_{3}, 0), (-\lambda - \lambda_{1}k; \lambda^{(1)}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, 0), (1 - b; 0, 0, \tau, 1)$$

$$N^{*} = (-1 - (\lambda + \nu) - (\lambda_{1} + \nu_{1})k; (\lambda^{(1)} + \nu^{(1)}), (\lambda_{2} + \nu_{2}), (\lambda_{3} + \nu_{3}), 0),$$

$$(1 - c; 0, 0, \tau + \mu, 1)$$

$$P^{*} = (1 - (\sigma + 1)/\eta; 1/\eta); (1, 1), (1 - c + b, \mu), (\beta, 1); (g_{j}, G_{j})_{1,P}; (1 - a, 1)$$

$$Q^{*} = (0, 1), (-\gamma - \sigma/\eta, 1/\eta); (\alpha, 1); (h_{j}, H_{j})_{1,Q}; (0, 1)$$

$$(3.3.8)$$

(IV) Again if we take in image (ii) $\rho = 0$ and reduce Multivariable H-function into generalized hypergeometric Function [52, p.xi,Eq.(A.18)]. We get the following integral after a little simplification:

$$\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{p'} \Gamma(1-a_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^{q'} \Gamma(1-b_j)} \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t} \left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{\nu} \left(1-\frac{x}{t}\right)^{\lambda} A_n \left[z_1 \left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{\nu_1} \left(1-\frac{x}{t}\right)^{\lambda_1}\right] \\
H_{P,Q}^{M,N} \left[z_2 \left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{\nu_2} \left(1-\frac{x}{t}\right)^{\lambda_2} \left| \begin{array}{c} (g_j, G_j)_{1,P} \\ (h_j, H_j)_{1,Q} \end{array} \right] F_p^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)} \left[a, b; c; z_3 \left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{\nu_3} \left(1-\frac{x}{t}\right)^{\lambda_3}\right] \\
{p'}F{q'} \left[\begin{array}{c} (1-a_{p'}); \\ (1-b_p); \end{array} - \left(z^{(1)}t^{-\nu^{(1)}} \left(1-\frac{x}{t}\right)^{\lambda^{(1)}} + \ldots + z^{(r)}t^{-\nu^{(r)}} \left(1-\frac{x}{t}\right)^{\lambda^{(r)}}\right) \right] dt \\ (3.3.9)$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(\alpha)B(b,c-b)} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{a_{n-k}z_{1}^{k}}{k!} H_{p'+3,q'+2;0,1;\dots;0,1;3,1;P,Q;1,1}^{0,p'+3;1,0;\dots;1,0;1,2;M,N;1,1} \begin{bmatrix} z^{(1)}x^{-\nu^{(1)}} & S^{*}:U^{*} \\ \vdots \\ z^{(r)}x^{-\nu^{(r)}} & p^{-1} \\ z_{2} \\ z_{3} & T^{*}:V^{*} \end{bmatrix}$$
(3.3.10)

$$S^{*} = (1 - \nu - \nu_{1}k; \nu^{(1)}, ..., \nu^{(r)}, \nu_{2}, \nu_{3}, 0), (-\lambda - \lambda_{1}k; \lambda^{(1)}, ..., \lambda^{(r)}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, 0),$$

$$(1 - b; \underbrace{0, ..., 0}_{r+1}, \tau, 1), (1 - a_{j}; \underbrace{1, ..., 1}_{r}, 0, 0, 0)_{1,p'}$$

$$T^{*} = (-(\lambda + \nu) - (\lambda_{1} + \nu_{1})k; (\lambda^{(1)} + \nu^{(1)}), ..., (\lambda^{(r)} + \nu^{(r)}), (\lambda_{2} + \nu_{2}), (\lambda_{3} + \nu_{3}), 0),$$

$$(1 - c; \underbrace{0, ..., 0}_{r+1}, \tau + \mu, 1), (1 - b_{j}; \underbrace{1, ..., 1}_{r}, 0, 0, 0)_{1,q'}$$

$$U^{*} = \underbrace{-; ...; -}_{r}; (1, 1), (1 - c + b, \mu), (\beta, 1); (g_{j}, G_{j})_{1,P}; (1 - a, 1)$$

$$V^{*} = \underbrace{(0, 1); ...; (0, 1)}_{r}; (\alpha, 1); (h_{j}, H_{j})_{1,Q}; (0, 1)$$

$$(3.3.11)$$

3.4 SPECIAL CASES OF FRACTIONAL INTEGRAL OPERATORS

(i) In (3.1.14) and (3.1.17), if we reduce Appell polynomial to Laguerre polynomial [121, p.101, Eq.(5.1.6)] and Fox H-function reduced to Lorenzo Hartley G-function [34, p.64, Eq.(2.3)], we obtain the following integral:

$$I_{x}^{\nu,\lambda}\{L_{n}, G_{q,\sigma,r}, F_{p}; f(t)\} = x^{-\nu-\lambda-1} \int_{0}^{x} t^{\nu} (x-t)^{\lambda} L_{n}^{(\rho)} \left[z_{1} \left(\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\nu_{1}} \left(1-\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\lambda_{1}} \right]$$
$$G_{q,\sigma,r} \left[z_{2}, \left(\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\nu_{2}} \left(1-\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\lambda_{2}} \right] F_{p}^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)} \left[a, b; c; z_{3} \left(\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\nu_{3}} \left(1-\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\lambda_{3}} \right] f(t) dt$$
(3.4.1)

and

$$J_{x}^{\nu,\lambda}\{L_{n}, G_{q,\sigma,r}, F_{p}; f(t)\} = x^{\nu} \int_{x}^{\infty} t^{-\nu-\lambda-1} (t-x)^{\lambda} L_{n}^{(\rho)} \left[z_{1} \left(\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\nu_{1}} \left(1-\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\lambda_{1}} \right]$$
$$G_{q,\sigma,r} \left[z_{2}, \left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{\nu_{2}} \left(1-\frac{x}{t}\right)^{\lambda_{2}} \right] F_{p}^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)} \left[a, b; c; z_{3} \left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{\nu_{3}} \left(1-\frac{x}{t}\right)^{\lambda_{3}} \right] f(t) dt$$
(3.4.2)

(ii) In (3.1.14) and (3.1.17), if we reduce Appell polynomial to Bessel polynomial [60, p.108, Eq.(34)] and Fox H-function reduced to Generalized Mittag Leffler function [73, p.25, Eq.(1.137)], we obtain the following integral:

$$I_{x}^{\nu,\lambda}\{y_{n}, E_{\gamma,\delta}^{\eta}, F_{p}; f(t)\} = x^{-\nu-\lambda-1} \int_{0}^{x} t^{\nu} (x-t)^{\lambda} y_{n} \left[z_{1} \left(\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\nu_{1}} \left(1-\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\lambda_{1}}, \rho, \sigma\right]$$
$$E_{\gamma,\delta}^{\eta} \left[z_{2} \left(\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\nu_{2}} \left(1-\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\lambda_{2}}\right] F_{p}^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)} \left[a, b; c; z_{3} \left(\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\nu_{3}} \left(1-\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\lambda_{3}}\right] f(t) dt$$
(3.4.3)

and

$$J_x^{\nu,\lambda}\{y_n, E_{\gamma,\delta}^{\eta}, F_p; f(t)\} = x^{\nu} \int_x^{\infty} t^{-\nu-\lambda-1} (t-x)^{\lambda} y_n \left[z_1 \left(\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\nu_1} \left(1-\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\lambda_1}, \rho, \sigma \right]$$
$$E_{\gamma,\delta}^{\eta} \left[z_2 \left(\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\nu_2} \left(1-\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\lambda_2} \right] F_p^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)} \left[a, b; c; z_3 \left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{\nu_3} \left(1-\frac{x}{t}\right)^{\lambda_3} \right] f(t) dt$$
(3.4.4)

(iii) In (3.1.14) and (3.1.17), if we reduce Appell polynomial to Cesaro polynomial [112, p.449, Eq.(20)] and Fox H-function reduced to Bessel Maitland function [73, p.25, Eq.(1.139)], we obtain the following integral

$$I_{x}^{\nu,\lambda}\{g_{n}^{\rho}, J_{\gamma,\delta}^{\eta}, F_{p}; f(t)\} = x^{-\nu-\lambda-1} \int_{0}^{x} t^{\nu} (x-t)^{\lambda} g_{n}^{(\rho)} \left[z_{1} \left(\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\nu_{1}} \left(1-\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\lambda_{1}} \right]$$
$$J_{\gamma,\delta}^{\eta} \left[z_{2} \left(\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\nu_{2}} \left(1-\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\lambda_{2}} \right] F_{p}^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)} \left[a, b; c; z_{3} \left(\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\nu_{3}} \left(1-\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\lambda_{3}} \right] f(t) dt$$
(3.4.5)

and

$$J_x^{\nu,\lambda}\{g_n^{\rho}, J_{\gamma,\delta}^{\eta}, F_p; f(t)\} = x^{\nu} \int_x^{\infty} t^{-\nu-\lambda-1} (t-x)^{\lambda} g_n^{(\rho)} \left[z_1 \left(\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\nu_1} \left(1-\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\lambda_1} \right]$$
$$J_{\gamma,\delta}^{\eta} \left[z_2 \left(\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\nu_2} \left(1-\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\lambda_2} \right] F_p^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)} \left[a, b; c; z_3 \left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{\nu_3} \left(1-\frac{x}{t}\right)^{\lambda_3} \right] f(t) dt$$
(3.4.6)

If we reduce Appell polynomial $A_n(z)$ and Fox's H-function to unity, S-generalized hypergeometric function into gauss hypergeometric function and $\lambda_3 = 1, \nu_3 = 0$ in our fractional integral operators defined by (3.1.14) and (3.1.17), we easily arrive at the results which are same in essence as those obtained by Saxena and Kumbhat [98].

If we reduce Appell polynomial $A_n(z)$ and Fox's H-function to unity, S-generalized hypergeometric function into gauss hypergeometric function and $\lambda_3 = 1, \nu_3 = \nu =$ 0 in our fractional integral operators defined by (3.1.14) and (3.1.17), we easily arrive at the results which are same in essence as those obtained by Saigo [96].

3.5 MELLIN TRANSFORM, INVERSION FORMULAS AND MELLIN CONVOLUTIONS

The Mellin transform of a function f(t) is defined as usual by the following equation:

$$\mathfrak{M}{f(t);\mathfrak{s}} = \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\mathfrak{s}-1} f(t) dt \qquad (3.5.1)$$

provided that the integral on the right hand side exists.

Now we obtain the following results which give the Mellin transforms of the fractional integral operators defined by (3.1.14) and (3.1.17), their corresponding inversion formulae and convolutions.

Theorem 3.5.1. If $\mathfrak{M}\{[f(t)];\mathfrak{s}\}, \quad \mathfrak{M}\{I_x^{\nu,\lambda}[A_n, H, F_p; f(t)];\mathfrak{s}\}$ exist, $\mathfrak{R}(1+\lambda) > 0, \quad \mathfrak{R}(1+\nu-s) > 0$ and the conditions of the existence of the operator $I_x^{\nu,\lambda}[A_n, H, F_p; f(t)]$ are satisfied then

$$\mathfrak{M}\{I_x^{\nu,\lambda}[A_n, H, F_p; f(t)]; \mathfrak{s}\} = \mathfrak{M}\{f(t); \mathfrak{s}\}G(\mathfrak{s})$$
(3.5.2)

Theorem 3.5.2. If $\mathfrak{M}\{[f(t)];\mathfrak{s}\}, \quad \mathfrak{M}\{J_x^{\nu,\lambda}[A_n, H, F_p; f(t)];\mathfrak{s}\}$ exist, $\mathfrak{R}(1+\lambda) > 0, \quad \mathfrak{R}(\nu+s) > 0$ and the conditions of the existence of the operator $J_x^{\nu,\lambda}[A_n, H, F_p; f(t)]$ are satisfied then

$$\mathfrak{M}\{J_x^{\nu,\lambda}[A_n, H, F_p; f(t)]; \mathfrak{s}\} = \mathfrak{M}\{f(t); \mathfrak{s}\}G((1-\mathfrak{s}))$$
(3.5.3)

where

$$G(\mathfrak{s}) = \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(\alpha)B(b,c-b)} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{a_{n-k}z_{1}^{k}}{k!}$$

$$H_{3,2:P,Q;1,1;3,1}^{0,3:M,N;1,1;1,2} \begin{bmatrix} z_{2} & G^{*}; & (g_{j},G_{j})_{1,P}; & (1-a,1); & (1,1), (1-c+b,\mu), (\beta,1) \\ z_{3} & & \\ p^{-1} & H^{*}; & (h_{j},H_{j})_{1,Q}; & (0,1); & (\alpha,1) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$G^{*} = (-\lambda - \lambda_{1}k; \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, 0), (\mathfrak{s} - \nu - \nu_{1}k; \nu_{2}, \nu_{3}, 0), (1 - b; 0, 1, \tau)$$

$$H^{*} = (\mathfrak{s} - (\nu + \lambda) - (\nu_{1} + \lambda_{1})k - 1; (\nu_{2} + \lambda_{2}), (\nu_{3} + \lambda_{3}), 0), (1 - c; 0, 1, \tau + \mu)$$

$$(3.5.4)$$

provided that conditions given by (3.1.16) and (3.1.18) are satisfied.

Proof: To prove Theorem 3.5.1, first we write the Mellin transform of the I-operator defined by (3.1.14) with the help of (3.5.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{M}\{I_{x}^{\nu,\lambda}[A_{n},H,F_{p};f(t)];\mathfrak{s}\} &= \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{\mathfrak{s}-1}I_{x}^{\nu,\lambda}[f(t)]dx \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{\mathfrak{s}-1}x^{-\nu-\lambda-1}\int_{0}^{x} t^{\nu}(x-t)^{\lambda}A_{n}\left[z_{1}\left(\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\nu_{1}}\left(1-\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\lambda_{1}}\right] \\ H_{P,Q}^{M,N}\left[z_{2}\left(\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\nu_{2}}\left(1-\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\lambda_{2}} \middle| \begin{array}{c} (g_{j},G_{j})_{1,P} \\ (h_{j},H_{j})_{1,Q} \end{array}\right] F_{p}^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)}\left[a,b;c;z_{3}\left(\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\nu_{3}}\left(1-\frac{t}{x}\right)^{\lambda_{3}}\right]f(t)dtdx \end{aligned}$$

Next, we change the order of x- and t-integrals. Now, we replace the Fox H-function and S-generalized hypergeometric function occuring in it in terms of Mellin Barnes Contour integral with the help of equation (3.1.2) and (3.1.10) respectively and Appell polynomial in terms of series with the help of equation (3.1.1) and interchange the order of summation and integration in the result thus obtained. Next we evaluate the t-integral and interpret the result in terms of multivariable H-function and finally with the help of (3.5.1), we easily arrive at the desired result (3.5.2) after a little simplification.

The proof of Theorem 3.5.2 can be developed on similar lines.

INVERSION FORMULAE

On making use of the well-known inversion theorem for the Mellin Transform, (3.5.1), we easily arrive at the following inversion formulae for our fractional integral operators defined by (3.1.14) and (3.1.17):

Formula 1

$$\frac{f(t-0) + f(t+0)}{2} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathfrak{L}} \frac{t^{-\mathfrak{s}}}{G(\mathfrak{s})} \mathfrak{M}\{I_x^{\nu,\lambda}[A_n, H, F_p; f(t)]; \mathfrak{s}\} d\mathfrak{s}$$
(3.5.5)

Formula 2

$$\frac{f(t-0) + f(t+0)}{2} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathfrak{L}} \frac{t^{-\mathfrak{s}}}{G(-1-\mathfrak{s})} \mathfrak{M}\{J_x^{\nu,\lambda}[A_n, H, F_p; f(t)]; \mathfrak{s}\} d\mathfrak{s} \quad (3.5.6)$$

where $G(\mathfrak{s})$ is given by (3.5.4)

MELLIN CONVOLUTION

The Mellin convolution of two functions f(t) and g(t) will be defined by

$$(f * g)(x) = (g * f)(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{-1}g\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)f(t)dt$$
 (3.5.7)

provided that the integral involved in (3.5.7) exists.

The fractional integral operators defined by (3.1.14) and (3.1.17) can readily be expressed as Mellin convolutions. we have the following interesting results involving the Mellin convolutions :

Result 1

$$I_x^{\nu,\lambda}[A_n, H, F_p; f(t)] = (g * f)(x)$$
(3.5.8)

where

$$g(x) = x^{-\nu-\lambda-1}(x-1)^{\lambda}A_n \left[z_1 x^{-\nu_1-\lambda_1}(x-1)^{\lambda_1} \right] H_{P,Q}^{M,N} \left[z_2 x^{-\nu_2-\lambda_2}(x-1)^{\lambda_2} \middle| \begin{array}{c} (g_j, G_j)_{1,P} \\ (h_j, H_j)_{1,Q} \end{array} \right] \\F_p^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)} \left[a, b; c; z_3 x^{-\nu_3-\lambda_3}(x-1)^{\lambda_3} \right] U(x-1) \\(3.5.9)$$

Result 2

$$J_x^{\nu,\lambda}[A_n, H, F_p; f(t)] = (h * f)(x)$$
(3.5.10)

where

$$h(x) = x^{\nu} (1-x)^{\lambda} A_n \left[z_1 x^{\nu_1} (1-x)^{\lambda_1} \right] H_{P,Q}^{M,N} \left[z_2 x^{\nu_2} (1-x)^{\lambda_2} \middle| \begin{array}{c} (g_j, G_j)_{1,P} \\ (h_j, H_j)_{1,Q} \end{array} \right] \\ F_p^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)} \left[a, b; c; z_3 x^{\nu_3} (1-x)^{\lambda_3} \right] U(1-x)$$
(3.5.11)

U(x) being the Heaviside's unit function.

Proof: To prove Result 1 we first write the $I_x^{\nu,\lambda}$ -operator defined by (3.1.14) in the following form using the definition of Heaviside's unit function:

$$I_{x}^{\nu,\lambda}[f(t)] = \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{-1} \left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{-\nu-\lambda-1} \left(\frac{x}{t}-1\right)^{\lambda} H_{P,Q}^{M,N} \left[z_{2} \left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{-\nu_{2}-\lambda_{2}} \left(\frac{x}{t}-1\right)^{\lambda_{2}} \middle| \begin{array}{c} (g_{j},G_{j})_{1,P} \\ (h_{j},H_{j})_{1,Q} \end{array} \right] \\ A_{n} \left[z_{1} \left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{-\nu_{1}-\lambda_{1}} \left(\frac{x}{t}-1\right)^{\lambda_{1}}\right] F_{p}^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)} \left[a,b;c;z_{3} \left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{-\nu_{3}-\lambda_{3}} \left(\frac{x}{t}-1\right)^{\lambda_{3}}\right] U\left(\frac{x}{t}-1\right) f(t) dt$$

$$(3.5.12)$$

Now making use of the equation (3.5.9) and the definition of the Mellin convolution given by (3.5.7) in the above equation, we easily arrive at the required Result 1 after a little simplification.

The proof of Result 2 can be developed on similar lines.

3.6 ANALOGUS OF PARSEVAL GOLDSTEIN THEOREM

If

$$\phi_1(x) = I_x^{\nu,\lambda}[A_n, H, F_p; f(t)]$$
(3.6.1)

and

$$\phi_2(x) = J_x^{\nu,\lambda}[A_n, H, F_p; f(t)]$$
(3.6.2)

then

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \phi_1(x) f_2(x) dx = \int_{0}^{\infty} \phi_2(x) f_1(x) dx$$
 (3.6.3)

provided that the integral involved in (3.6.1), (3.6.2) and (3.6.3) exists.

Proof: To prove the above theorem, we substitute the value of $\phi_1(x)$ from (3.6.1) in the left hand side of (3.6.3) and expressing the I-operator in its integral form by using (3.1.14). Now interchange the order of x and t-integrals (which is permissible under given conditions) and interpret the expression thus obtained in term of J-operator with the help of (3.1.17), we arrive at the desired result by (3.6.2) after a little simplification.

COMPOSITION FORMULAE FOR FRACTIONAL INTEGRAL OPERATORS

4

The main findings of this chapter have been published as detailed below:

 M. K. BANSAL and R. JAIN (2016).COMPOSITION FORMULAE FOR UNIFIED FRACTIONAL INTEGRAL OPERATORS, European Journal of Advances in Engineering and Technology. 3(4), 1-6.

4. COMPOSITION FORMULAE FOR FRACTIONAL INTEGRAL OPERATORS

In this chapter, we first derive three new and interesting expressions for the composition of the two fractional integral operators, which are slight variants of the operators defined in Chapter 3. The operators of our study are quite general in nature and may be considered as extensions of a number of simpler fractional integral operators studied from time to time by several authors. By suitably specializing the coefficients and the parameters in these functions we can get a large number of (new and known) interesting expressions for the composition of fractional integral operators involving simpler special functions. Finally, we obtain two interesting finite double integral formulae as an application of our first composition formula known results which follow as special case of our findings have also been mentioned.

4.1 INTRODUCTION

In line with chapter 3, Λ will denote the class of function f(t) for which

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} O\{|t|^{\zeta}\}; & \max\{|t|\} \to 0\\ \\ O\{|t|^{w_1} e^{-w_2|t|}\}; & \min\{|t|\} \to \infty \end{cases}$$
(4.1.1)

For the sake of completeness, we would like to give in this chapter also, the definitions, notations and conditions of existence of operators of our study. The unified fractional integral operators studied in the present chapter will be defined and represented in the following manner:

$$I_{x}^{\nu,\lambda}\{A_{n}, H, F_{p}; f(t)\} = x^{-\nu-\lambda-1} \int_{0}^{x} t^{\nu} (x-t)^{\lambda} A_{n} \left[z_{1} \left(\frac{t}{x} \right)^{\nu_{1}} \left(1 - \frac{t}{x} \right)^{\lambda_{1}} \right]$$
$$H_{P,Q}^{M,N} \left[z_{2} \left(1 - \frac{t}{x} \right)^{\lambda_{2}} \left| \begin{array}{c} (g_{j}, G_{j})_{1,P} \\ (h_{j}, H_{j})_{1,Q} \end{array} \right] F_{p}^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)} \left[a, b; c; z_{3} \left(1 - \frac{t}{x} \right)^{\lambda_{3}} \right] f(t) dt$$
(4.1.2)

where $f(t) \in \Lambda$ and

$$\min_{1 \le j \le M} \Re\left(\nu + \zeta + 1, \lambda + \lambda_2 \frac{h_j}{H_j} + 1\right) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \min\{\nu_1, \lambda_1, \lambda_3\} \ge 0 \quad (4.1.3)$$

Where A_n , $H_{P,Q}^{M,N}[z]$ and $F_p^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)}(a,b;c;z)$ occurring in (4.1.2) stands for the Appell Polynomial, Fox H-function and S-generalized gauss hypergeometric function defined by (3.1.1), (3.1.2) and (3.1.6) respectively.

$$J_{x}^{\nu,\lambda}\{A_{n}, H, F_{p}; f(t)\} = x^{\nu} \int_{x}^{\infty} t^{-\nu-\lambda-1} (t-x)^{\lambda} A_{n} \left[z_{1} \left(\frac{x}{t} \right)^{\nu_{1}} \left(1 - \frac{x}{t} \right)^{\lambda_{1}} \right]$$
$$H_{P,Q}^{M,N} \left[z_{2} \left(1 - \frac{x}{t} \right)^{\lambda_{2}} \middle| \begin{array}{c} (g_{j}, G_{j})_{1,P} \\ (h_{j}, H_{j})_{1,Q} \end{array} \right] F_{p}^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)} \left[a, b; c; z_{3} \left(1 - \frac{x}{t} \right)^{\lambda_{3}} \right] f(t) dt$$
(4.1.4)

provided that

$$\Re(w_2) > 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \Re(w_2) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Re(\nu - w_1) > 0$$
$$\min_{1 \le j \le M} \Re\left(\lambda + \lambda_2 \frac{h_j}{H_j} + 1\right) > 0, \min\{\nu_1, \lambda_1, \lambda_3\} \ge 0$$

$$(4.1.5)$$

4.2 COMPOSITION FORMULAE FOR THE FRACTIONAL INTEGRAL OPERATORS

RESULT 1

$$I_{x_2}^{\nu',\lambda'}\left[A_{n'},H',F_{p'}';I_{x_1}^{\nu,\lambda}\left[A_n,H,F_p;f(t)\right]\right] = \frac{1}{x_2}\int_{0}^{x_2}G\left(\frac{t}{x_2}\right)f(t)dt \qquad (4.2.1)$$

4. COMPOSITION FORMULAE FOR FRACTIONAL INTEGRAL OPERATORS

where

$$G(X) = \frac{\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(\beta')}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(a')\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha')B(b,c-b)B(b',c'-b')}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{k'=0}^{n'} \frac{a_{n-k}a_{n'-k'}}{k!k'!} z_1^k z_1^{k'} X^{\nu+\nu_1k} (1-X)^{\lambda+\lambda_1k+\lambda'+\lambda'_1k'+1}$$

$$H_{5,4:3,1;3,1;P',Q';1,1;P,Q;1,1;0,1}^{0,5:1,2;1,2;M',N';1,1;M,N;1,1;1,0} \begin{bmatrix} p'^{-1} & & & & & \\ p^{-1} & & & & \\ z'_2(1-X) & & & & & \\ -z'_3(1-X) & & & & & \\ z_2(1-X) & & & & & \\ -z_3(1-X) & & & & & \\ -(1-X) & B^*: D^* \end{bmatrix}$$
(4.2.2)

where

$$\begin{split} A^* &= \left(-\lambda - \lambda_1 k - \nu - \nu_1 k + \nu' + \nu'_1 k'; 0, 0, 0, 0, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, 1\right), \left(-\lambda' - \lambda'_1 k'; 0, 0, \lambda'_2, \lambda'_3, 0, 0, 1\right), \\ \left(-\lambda - \lambda_1 k; 0, 0, 0, 0, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, 0\right), \left(1 - b'; \tau', 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0\right), \left(1 - b; 0, \tau, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0\right) \\ B^* &= \left(-\lambda - \lambda_1 k - \nu - \nu_1 k + \nu' + \nu'_1 k'; 0, 0, 0, 0, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, 0\right), \left(1 - c; 0, (\tau + \mu), 0, 0, 0, 1, 0\right), \\ \left(-1 - \lambda - \lambda_1 k - \lambda' - \lambda'_1 k'; 0, 0, \lambda'_2, \lambda'_3, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, 1\right), \left(1 - c'; (\tau' + \mu'), 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0\right) \\ C^* &= (1, 1), \left(1 - c' + b', \mu'\right), \left(\beta', 1\right); (1, 1), \left(1 - c + b, \mu\right), \left(\beta, 1\right); \left(g'_j, G'_j\right)_{1, P'}; \\ \left(1 - a', 1\right); \left(g_j, G_j\right)_{1, P}; \left(1 - a, 1\right); - \\ D^* &= (\alpha', 1); \left(\alpha, 1\right); \left(h'_j, H'_j\right)_{1, Q'}; \left(0, 1\right); \left(h_j, H_j\right)_{1, Q}; \left(0, 1\right); \left(0, 1\right) \\ \end{split}$$

and following conditions are satisfied

$$f(t) \in \Lambda$$

$$\Re\left(\nu' + \nu + \zeta\right) > -2, \min_{1 \le j \le M} \Re\left(\lambda' + \lambda'_2 \frac{h'_j}{H'_j} + \lambda + \lambda_2 \frac{h_j}{H_j}\right) > -2$$

$$\min\{\nu_1, \nu'_1, \lambda_1, \lambda'_1, \lambda_3, \lambda'_3\} \ge 0$$

$$(4.2.4)$$

RESULT 2

$$J_{x_2}^{\nu,\lambda} \left[A_n, H, F_p; J_{x_1}^{\nu',\lambda'} \left[A_{n'}, H', F_{p'}; f(t) \right] \right] = \int_{x_2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t} G\left(\frac{x_2}{t} \right) f(t) dt$$
(4.2.5)

provided that

$$\Re(w_2) > 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \Re(w_2) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Re\left(\nu + \nu' - w_1\right) > 0;$$
$$\min_{1 \le j \le M} \Re\left(\lambda + \lambda_2 \frac{h_j}{H_j} + \lambda' + \lambda'_2 \frac{h'_j}{H'_j}\right) > -2, \min\{\nu_1, \lambda_1, \lambda_3, \nu'_1, \lambda'_1, \lambda'_3\} \ge 0$$
(4.2.6)

and G(X) is given by (4.2.2), $f(t) \in \Lambda$, the composite operator defined by the LHS of (4.2.5) exists.

RESULT 3

$$I_{x_2}^{\nu',\lambda'}\left[A_{n'},H',F_{p'}';J_{x_1}^{\nu,\lambda}\left[A_n,H,F_p;f(t)\right]\right] = \frac{1}{x_2}\int_{0}^{x_2}K\left(\frac{t}{x_2}\right)f(t)dt + \int_{x_2}^{\infty}\frac{1}{t}K^*\left(\frac{x_2}{t}\right)f(t)dt + \int_{x_2}^{\infty}\frac{1}{t}K^*\left(\frac{x_2}{t}\right)f(t)$$

where

$$K(T) = \frac{\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(\beta')}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(a')\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha')B(b,c-b)B(b',c'-b')}$$
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{k'=0}^{n'} \frac{a_{n-k}a_{n'-k'}\Gamma(\nu+\nu'+\nu_1k+\nu'_1k'+1)}{k!k'!} z_1^k z_1^{k'} T^{\nu'+\nu'_1k'} (1-T)^{\lambda+\lambda_1k+\lambda'+\lambda'_1k'+1}$$

$$H_{4,4:3,1;3,1;P',Q';1,1;P,Q;1,1;0,1}^{0,4:1,2;1,2;M',N';1,1;M,N} \begin{bmatrix} p'^{-1} & A^*: C^* \\ p^{-1} & & \\ z'_2(1-T)^{\lambda'_2} & & \\ -z'_3(1-T)^{\lambda'_3} & & \\ z_2(1-T)^{\lambda_2} & & \\ -z_3(1-T)^{\lambda_3} & & \\ -T & B^*: D^* \end{bmatrix}$$
(4.2.8)

4. COMPOSITION FORMULAE FOR FRACTIONAL INTEGRAL OPERATORS

where

$$\begin{split} A^* &= (-1 - \nu - \nu' - \nu_1 k - \nu'_1 k' - \lambda' - \lambda'_1 k' - \lambda - \lambda_1 k; 0, 0, \lambda'_2, \lambda'_3, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, 1), \\ (-\lambda - \lambda_1 k; 0, 0, 0, 0, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, 1), (1 - b'; \tau', 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0), (1 - b; 0, \tau, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0) \\ B^* &= (-1 - \lambda - \lambda_1 k - \lambda' - \lambda'_1 k' - \nu - \nu' - \nu_1 k - \nu'_1 k'; 0, 0, \lambda'_2, \lambda'_3, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, 0), \\ (-1 - \lambda - \lambda_1 k - \nu - \nu' - \nu_1 k - \nu'_1 k'; 0, 0, 0, 0, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, 1)(1 - c'; (\tau' + \mu'), 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0), \\ (1 - c; 0, (\tau + \mu), 0, 0, 0, 1, 0) \\ C^* &= (1, 1), (1 - c' + b', \mu'), (\beta', 1); (1, 1), (1 - c + b, \mu), (\beta, 1); (g'_j, G'_j)_{1,P'}; \\ (1 - a', 1); (g_j, G_j)_{1,P}; (1 - a, 1); - \\ D^* &= (\alpha', 1); (\alpha, 1); (h'_j, H'_j)_{1,Q'}; (0, 1); (h_j, H_j)_{1,Q}; (0, 1); (0, 1) \\ \end{split}$$

and $K^*(T)$ can be obtained from K(T) by interchanging the parameters with dashes with those without dashes and following conditions are satisfied

$$f(t) \in \Lambda$$

$$\Re\left(\nu' + \nu + \zeta\right) > -2, \min_{1 \le j \le M} \Re\left(\lambda' + \lambda'_2 \frac{h'_j}{H'_j} + \lambda + \lambda_2 \frac{h_j}{H_j}\right) > -2$$

$$\Re(w_2) > 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \Re(w_2) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Re\left(\nu - w_1\right) > 0$$

$$\left. \left(4.2.10\right) \right\}$$

Proof

To prove **Result 1**, we first express both the I-operators involved in its left hand side, in the integral form with the help of equation (4.1.2). Next we interchange the order of t-and x_1 -integrals (which is permissible under the conditions stated), we easily have after a little simplification.

$$I_{x_2}^{\nu',\lambda'}\left[A_{n'},H',F_{p'}';I_{x_1}^{\nu,\lambda}\left[A_n,H,F_p;f(t)\right]\right] = x_2^{-\nu'-\lambda'-1} \int_{0}^{x_2} t^{\nu}\Delta \quad f(t)dt \quad (4.2.11)$$

where

$$\begin{split} \Delta &= \\ \int_{t}^{x_{2}} x_{1}^{\nu'-\nu-\lambda-1} (x_{2}-x_{1})^{\lambda'} (x_{1}-t)^{\lambda} A_{n} \left[z_{1} \left(\frac{t}{x_{1}} \right)^{\nu_{1}} \left(1-\frac{t}{x_{1}} \right)^{\lambda_{1}} \right] A_{n'} \left[z_{1}^{\prime} \left(\frac{x_{1}}{x_{2}} \right)^{\nu_{1}^{\prime}} \left(1-\frac{x_{1}}{x_{2}} \right)^{\lambda_{1}^{\prime}} \right] \\ H_{P,Q}^{M,N} \left[z_{2} \left(1-\frac{t}{x_{1}} \right)^{\lambda_{2}} \left| \begin{array}{c} (g_{j},G_{j})_{1,P} \\ (h_{j},H_{j})_{1,Q} \end{array} \right] H_{P',Q'}^{M',N'} \left[z_{2}^{\prime} \left(1-\frac{x_{1}}{x_{2}} \right)^{\lambda_{2}^{\prime}} \left| \begin{array}{c} (g_{j}^{\prime},G_{j}^{\prime})_{1,P'} \\ (h_{j}^{\prime},H_{j}^{\prime})_{1,Q'} \end{array} \right] \\ F_{p}^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)} \left[a,b;c;z_{3} \left(1-\frac{t}{x_{1}} \right)^{\lambda_{3}} \right] F_{p'}^{(\alpha',\beta';\tau',\mu')} \left[a',b';c';z_{3}^{\prime} \left(1-\frac{x_{1}}{x_{2}} \right)^{\lambda_{3}^{\prime}} \right] dx_{1} \\ (4.2.12) \end{split}$$

To evaluate Δ , we first express both the Fox's H-Functions and S-Generalized gauss hypergeometric functions in terms of their respective contour integral forms with the help of (3.1.2) and (3.1.10) respectively, next both the Appell polynomail are expressed in terms of the series with the help of (3.1.1). Further, we interchange the order of summations and contour integral and get:

$$\Delta = \frac{\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(\beta')}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(a')\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha')B(b,c-b)B(b',c'-b')} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{k'=0}^{n'} \frac{a_{n-k}a_{n'-k'}}{k!k'!} z_{1}^{k} z_{1}^{k'} t^{\nu_{1}k}$$

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi\omega)^{6}} \int_{\mathfrak{L}_{1}} \dots \int_{\mathfrak{L}_{6}} \theta(\xi_{2})\theta_{1}(\xi'_{2})\psi(\xi_{1},\xi_{3})\psi(\xi'_{1},\xi'_{3})(p^{-1})^{\xi_{1}}(p'^{-1})^{\xi'_{1}}(z_{2})^{\xi_{2}}(z'_{2})^{\xi'_{2}}(-z_{3})^{\xi_{3}}$$

$$(-z'_{3})^{\xi'_{3}} x_{2}^{-((\nu'_{1}+\lambda'_{1})k'+\lambda'_{2}\xi'_{2}+\lambda'_{3}\xi'_{3})} \int_{t}^{x_{2}} x_{1}^{(\nu'+\nu'_{1}k'-\nu-\lambda-(\nu_{1}+\lambda_{1})k-\lambda_{2}\xi_{2}-\lambda_{3}\xi_{3})-1}$$

$$(x_{2}-x_{1})^{(\lambda'+\lambda'_{1}k'+\lambda'_{2}\xi'_{2}+\lambda'_{3}\xi'_{3})} (x_{1}-t)^{(\lambda+\lambda_{1}k+\lambda_{2}\xi_{2}+\lambda_{3}\xi_{3})} dx_{1}d\xi_{1}, \cdots, d\xi_{6} \qquad (4.2.13)$$

Now, we substitute $u = \left(\frac{x_2-x_1}{x_2-t}\right)$ in (4.2.13) and evaluate the u integral with the help of known result[90, p. 47, Eq.(16)]. Finally, re-interpreting the result in

4. COMPOSITION FORMULAE FOR FRACTIONAL INTEGRAL OPERATORS

terms of the Multivariable H-function and substituting the values of Δ in (4.2.11), we get the right hand side of required result (4.2.1) after some simplification.

The proof of (4.2.5) can be developed proceeding on lines similar to those indicated in the proof of the Result 1.

To prove Result 3 we first express both the I- and J- operators involved on the left hand side of (4.2.7) in the integral form with the help of equation (4.1.2) and (4.1.4), we have

$$\begin{split} I_{x_{2}}^{\nu',\lambda'} \left[A_{n'}, H', F_{p'}; J_{x_{1}}^{\nu,\lambda} \left[A_{n}, H, F_{p}; f(t) \right] \right] &= x_{2}^{-\nu'-\lambda'-1} \int_{0}^{x_{2}} x_{1}^{\nu'} (x_{2} - x_{1})^{\lambda'} \\ A_{n'} \left[z_{1}' \left(\frac{x_{1}}{x_{2}} \right)^{\nu'_{1}} \left(1 - \frac{x_{1}}{x_{2}} \right)^{\lambda'_{1}} \right] H_{P',Q'}^{M',N'} \left[z_{2}' \left(1 - \frac{x_{1}}{x_{2}} \right)^{\lambda'_{2}} \left| \begin{array}{c} (g_{j}', G_{j}')_{1,P'} \\ (h_{j}', H_{j}')_{1,Q'} \end{array} \right] \\ F_{p'}^{(\alpha',\beta';\tau',\mu')} \left[a', b'; c'; z_{3}' \left(1 - \frac{x_{1}}{x_{2}} \right)^{\lambda'_{3}} \right] x_{1}^{\nu} \int_{x_{1}}^{\infty} t^{-\nu-\lambda-1} (t - x_{1})^{\lambda} A_{n} \left[z_{1} \left(\frac{x_{1}}{t} \right)^{\nu_{1}} \left(1 - \frac{x_{1}}{t} \right)^{\lambda_{1}} \right] \\ H_{P,Q}^{M,N} \left[z_{2} \left(1 - \frac{x_{1}}{t} \right)^{\lambda_{2}} \left| \begin{array}{c} (g_{j}, G_{j})_{1,P} \\ (h_{j}, H_{j})_{1,Q} \end{array} \right] F_{p}^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)} \left[a, b; c; z_{3} \left(1 - \frac{x_{1}}{t} \right)^{\lambda_{3}} \right] f(t) dt dx_{1} \\ (4.2.14) \end{split}$$

Next, we change the order of t- and x_1 - integrals, (which is permissible under the conditions stated) and get :

$$I_{x_{2}}^{\nu',\lambda'}\left[A_{n'},H',F_{p'};J_{x_{1}}^{\nu,\lambda}\left[A_{n},H,F_{p};f(t)\right]\right] = \int_{0}^{x_{2}} f(t)\int_{0}^{t} g(x_{1},x_{2},t)dx_{1}dt + \int_{x_{2}}^{\infty} f(t)\int_{0}^{x_{2}} g(x_{1},x_{2},t)dx_{1}dt$$
$$= \int_{0}^{x_{2}} f(t)I_{1}dt + \int_{x_{2}}^{\infty} f(t)I_{2}dt \qquad (4.2.15)$$

where

$$g(x_{1}, x_{2}, t) = x_{2}^{-\nu' - \lambda' - 1} x_{1}^{\nu + \nu'} (x_{2} - x_{1})^{\lambda'} t^{-\nu - \lambda - 1} (t - x_{1})^{\lambda}$$

$$A_{n'} \left[z_{1}' \left(\frac{x_{1}}{x_{2}} \right)^{\nu'_{1}} \left(1 - \frac{x_{1}}{x_{2}} \right)^{\lambda'_{1}} \right] A_{n} \left[z_{1} \left(\frac{x_{1}}{t} \right)^{\nu_{1}} \left(1 - \frac{x_{1}}{t} \right)^{\lambda_{1}} \right]$$

$$H_{P',Q'}^{M',N'} \left[z_{2}' \left(1 - \frac{x_{1}}{x_{2}} \right)^{\lambda'_{2}} \left| \begin{array}{c} (g_{j}', G_{j}')_{1,P'} \\ (h_{j}', H_{j}')_{1,Q'} \end{array} \right] H_{P,Q}^{M,N} \left[z_{2} \left(1 - \frac{x_{1}}{t} \right)^{\lambda_{2}} \left| \begin{array}{c} (g_{j}, G_{j})_{1,P} \\ (h_{j}, H_{j})_{1,Q} \end{array} \right]$$

$$F_{p'}^{(\alpha',\beta';\tau',\mu')} \left[a', b'; c'; z_{3}' \left(1 - \frac{x_{1}}{x_{2}} \right)^{\lambda'_{3}} \right] F_{p}^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)} \left[a, b; c; z_{3} \left(1 - \frac{x_{1}}{t} \right)^{\lambda_{3}} \right]$$

$$(4.2.16)$$

To evaluate the I_1 involved in the first integral on the right hand side of (4.2.15), we first express the Appell polynomials involved in series form with the help of (3.1.1). The Fox H-functions and S-generalized gauss hypergeometric functions in terms of their respective well known Mellin-Barnes contour integrals with the help of equations (3.1.2) and (3.1.10). Now, interchanging the order of summations, the contour integral ξ_i (i = 1,...,6) and x_1 - integrals (which is permissible under the conditions stated), we get:

$$\int_{0}^{t} g(x_{1}, x_{2}, t) dx_{1} = \frac{\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(\beta')}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha')\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha')B(b, c - b)B(b', c' - b')} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{k'=0}^{n'} \frac{a_{n-k}a_{n'-k'}}{k!k'!} z_{1}^{k} z_{1}^{\prime k'}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{6}} \int_{\mathfrak{L}_{1}} \int_{\mathfrak{L}_{2}} \dots \int_{\mathfrak{L}_{6}} \phi_{1}(\xi_{3}, \xi_{4}) \phi_{2}(\xi_{5}, \xi_{6}) \prod_{i=1}^{6} \theta_{i}(\xi_{i})(z_{2}')^{\xi_{1}}(z_{2})^{\xi_{2}}(z_{3}')^{\xi_{3}} p^{-\xi_{4}}(z_{3})^{\xi_{5}} p^{-\xi_{6}}}{x_{2}^{-\nu'-\lambda'-(\nu'_{1}+\lambda'_{1})k'-(\nu'_{2}+\lambda'_{2})\xi_{1}-(\nu'_{3}+\lambda'_{3})\xi_{3}-1}t^{-\nu-\lambda-(\nu_{1}+\lambda_{1})k-(\nu_{2}+\lambda_{2})\xi_{2}-(\nu_{3}+\lambda_{3})\xi_{5}-1}}{\int_{0}^{t} x_{1}^{\nu+\nu'+\nu'_{1}k'+\nu_{1}k+\nu'_{2}\xi_{1}+\nu_{2}\xi_{2}+\nu'_{3}\xi_{3}+\nu_{3}\xi_{5}}(x_{2}-x_{1})^{\lambda'+\lambda'_{1}k'+\lambda'_{2}\xi_{1}+\lambda'_{3}\xi_{3}}}{(t-x_{1})^{\lambda+\lambda_{1}k+\lambda_{2}\xi_{2}+\lambda_{3}\xi_{5}}dx_{1}d\xi_{1}d\xi_{2}...d\xi_{6}}$$

$$(4.2.17)$$

Next we substitute $x_1 = tu$ in the right hand side of (4.2.17) and integrate it with the help of known result [90, p. 47, Eq.(16)]. We get the following integral after

4. COMPOSITION FORMULAE FOR FRACTIONAL INTEGRAL OPERATORS

a little simplification:

$$\int_{0}^{t} g(x_{1}, x_{2}, t) dx_{1} = \frac{\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(\beta')}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha')\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha')B(b, c-b)B(b', c'-b')} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{k'=0}^{n'} \frac{a_{n-k}a_{n'-k'}}{k!k'!} z_{1}^{k} z_{1}^{'k'} \\ \left(\frac{t}{x_{2}}\right)^{\nu'+\nu_{1}'k'} \left(1 - \frac{t}{x_{2}}\right)^{\lambda+\lambda'+\lambda_{1}k+\lambda_{1}'k'\lambda_{2}\xi_{2}+\lambda_{3}\xi_{5}+\lambda_{2}'\xi_{1}+\lambda_{3}'\xi_{3}+1} \\ \frac{1}{x_{2}} \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{6}} \int_{\xi_{1}} \int_{\xi_{2}} \dots \int_{\xi_{6}} \phi_{1}(\xi_{3},\xi_{4})\phi_{2}(\xi_{5},\xi_{6}) \prod_{i=1}^{6} \theta_{i}(\xi_{i})(z_{2}')^{\xi_{1}}(z_{2})^{\xi_{2}}(z_{3}')^{\xi_{3}}p^{-\xi_{4}}(z_{3})^{\xi_{5}} \\ p^{-\xi_{6}} \frac{\Gamma(1+\nu+\nu'+\nu_{1}'k'+\nu_{1}k)\Gamma(1+\lambda+\lambda_{1}k+\lambda_{2}\xi_{2}+\lambda_{3}\xi_{5})}{\Gamma(2+\nu+\nu'+\nu_{1}'k'+\nu_{1}k+\lambda+\lambda_{1}k+\lambda_{2}\xi_{2}+\lambda_{3}\xi_{5})} \\ {}_{2}F_{1} \left[\begin{array}{c} -\lambda'-\lambda_{1}'k'-\lambda_{2}'\xi_{1}-\lambda_{3}'\xi_{3},1+\nu+\nu'+\nu_{1}'k'+\nu_{1}k; \\ 2+\nu+\nu'+\nu_{1}'k'+\nu_{1}k+\lambda+\lambda_{1}k+\lambda_{2}\xi_{2}+\lambda_{3}\xi_{5}; \\ (4.2.18) \end{array} \right]$$

Then we transform the expression on the right hand side of (4.2.18) with the help of [90, p. 60, Eq.(5)]. Further, we express $_2F_1$ in terms of contour integral and reinterpret the result, thus obtained in terms of multivariable H-function we get I_1 .

Again to calculate $I_2 = \int_0^{x_2} g(x_1, x_2, t) dx_1$, we proceed on similar lines to those mentioned above I_1 with the difference that we substitute $x_1 = x_2 u$ in the corresponding appropriate expression to (4.2.17). On substituting the values of I_1 and I_2 in (4.2.15), we get the required result (4.2.7).

4.3 APPLICATIONS AND SPECIAL CASES

As our composition formulae involve the Appell Polynomial, Fox H-function and S-Generalized Gauss's Hypergeometric Function, a large number of other composition formulae involving simpler functions and polynomials, can be obtained
by specializing the functions involved in our composition formulae.

We mention below two such composition formulae cum double integrals.

(i) If in the Result 1, we reduce Appell polynomial to unity and $f(t) = e^{\omega t}$, we

get the following double integral which is believed to be new.

$$\begin{aligned} x_{2}^{-\nu'-\lambda'-1} \int_{0}^{x_{2}} \int_{0}^{x_{1}} t^{\nu} x_{1}^{\nu'-\nu-\lambda-1} (x_{2}-x_{1})^{\lambda'} (x_{1}-t)^{\lambda} \\ H_{P,Q}^{M,N} \left[z_{2} \left(1 - \frac{t}{x_{1}} \right)^{\lambda_{2}} \middle| \begin{array}{c} (g_{j},G_{j})_{1,P} \\ (h_{j},H_{j})_{1,Q} \end{array} \right] H_{P',Q'}^{M',N'} \left[z_{2}' \left(1 - \frac{x_{1}}{x_{2}} \right)^{\lambda_{2}'} \middle| \begin{array}{c} (g_{j}',G_{j}')_{1,P'} \\ (h_{j}',H_{j}')_{1,Q'} \end{array} \right] \\ F_{p}^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)} \left[a,b;c;z_{3} \left(1 - \frac{t}{x_{1}} \right)^{\lambda_{3}} \right] F_{p'}^{(\alpha',\beta';\tau',\mu')} \left[a',b';c';z_{3}' \left(1 - \frac{x_{1}}{x_{2}} \right)^{\lambda_{3}'} \right] e^{\omega t} dt dx_{1} \\ (4.3.1) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(\beta')}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(a')\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\alpha')B(b,c-b)B(b',c'-b')}$$

$$H_{6,5:3,1;3,1;P',Q';1,1;P,Q;1,1;0,1;1,1}^{0,6:1,2;1,2;M',N';1,1;M,N;1,1;1,0;1,1} \begin{bmatrix} p'^{-1} & A^*: C^* \\ p^{-1} & z'_2 & & \\ z'_2 & & & \\ -z'_3 & & & \\ z_2 & & & \\ -z_3 & & & \\ -1 & & \\ -(x\omega) & B^*: D^* \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(4.3.2)$$

4. COMPOSITION FORMULAE FOR FRACTIONAL INTEGRAL OPERATORS

where

$$\begin{split} A^* &= (-\lambda - \nu + \nu'; 0, 0, 0, 0, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, 1, 0), (-\lambda'; 0, 0, \lambda'_2, \lambda'_3, 0, 0, 1, 0), (1 - b'; \tau', 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0), \\ (-\lambda; 0, 0, 0, 0, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, 0, 0), (1 - b; 0, \tau, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0), (-1 - \lambda - \lambda'; 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0) \\ B^* &= (-\lambda - \nu + \nu'; 0, 0, 0, 0, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, 0, 0), (1 - c; 0, (\tau + \mu), 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0), \\ (-1 - \lambda - \lambda'; 0, 0, \lambda'_2, \lambda'_3, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, 1, 0), (1 - c'; (\tau' + \mu'), 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0) \\ (-2 - \nu - \lambda - \lambda'; 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1) \\ C^* &= (1, 1), (1 - c' + b', \mu'), (\beta', 1); (1, 1), (1 - c + b, \mu), (\beta, 1); (g'_j, G'_j)_{1,P'}; \\ (1 - a', 1); (g_j, G_j)_{1,P}; (1 - a, 1); -; (-\nu, 1) \\ D^* &= (\alpha', 1); (\alpha, 1); (h'_j, H'_j)_{1,Q'}; (0, 1); (h_j, H_j)_{1,Q}; (0, 1); (0, 1); (0, 1) \end{split}$$

provided that the conditions easily obtainable from those mentioned in (4.2.4) are satisfied.

(ii) If we take f(t) = 1 and reduce Appell polynomial to unity in the Result 2, we obtain the following integral valid under the conditions derivable from the conditions stated with (4.2.6):

$$x_{2}^{\nu} \int_{x_{2}}^{\infty} \int_{x_{1}}^{\infty} t^{-\nu'-\lambda'-1} x_{1}^{\nu'-\nu-\lambda-1} (x_{1}-x_{2})^{\lambda} (t-x_{1})^{\lambda'} H_{P,Q}^{M,N} \left[z_{2} \left(1 - \frac{x_{2}}{x_{1}} \right)^{\lambda_{2}} \middle| \begin{array}{c} (g_{j}, G_{j})_{1,P} \\ (h_{j}, H_{j})_{1,Q} \end{array} \right] H_{P',Q'}^{M',N'} \left[z_{2}^{\prime} \left(1 - \frac{x_{1}}{t} \right)^{\lambda_{2}^{\prime}} \middle| \begin{array}{c} (g_{j}^{\prime}, G_{j}^{\prime})_{1,P'} \\ (h_{j}^{\prime}, H_{j}^{\prime})_{1,Q'} \end{array} \right] F_{p}^{(\alpha,\beta;\tau,\mu)} \left[a, b; c; z_{3} \left(1 - \frac{x_{2}}{x_{1}} \right)^{\lambda_{3}} \right] F_{p'}^{(\alpha',\beta';\tau',\mu')} \left[a', b'; c'; z_{3}^{\prime} \left(1 - \frac{x_{1}}{t} \right)^{\lambda_{3}^{\prime}} \right] dt dx_{1}$$

$$(4.3.3)$$



where

$$\begin{split} A^* &= (-\lambda - \nu + \nu'; 0, 0, 0, 0, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, 1), (-\lambda'; 0, 0, \lambda'_2, \lambda'_3, 0, 0, 1), (-\lambda; 0, 0, 0, 0, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, 0), \\ (1 - b'; \tau', 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0), (1 - b; 0, \tau, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0), (-1 - \lambda - \lambda'; 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1) \\ B^* &= (-\lambda - \nu + \nu'; 0, 0, 0, 0, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, 0), (1 - c; 0, (\tau + \mu), 0, 0, 0, 1, 0), \\ (-1 - \lambda - \lambda'; 0, 0, \lambda'_2, \lambda'_3, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, 1), (1 - c'; (\tau' + \mu'), 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0) \\ (-1 - \nu - \lambda - \lambda'; 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1) \\ C^* &= (1, 1), (1 - c' + b', \mu'), (\beta', 1); (1, 1), (1 - c + b, \mu), (\beta, 1); (g'_j, G'_j)_{1,P'}; \\ (1 - a', 1); (g_j, G_j)_{1,P}; (1 - a, 1); - \\ D^* &= (\alpha', 1); (\alpha, 1); (h'_j, H'_j)_{1,Q'}; (0, 1); (h_j, H_j)_{1,Q}; (0, 1); (0, 1) \end{split}$$

Our results also unify and extend the findings of several authors, we give below exact reference to two such results. Thus, if in these composition formulae, If we take $\lambda_2 = \lambda'_2 = 0$ in (4.2.1), (4.2.5) and (4.2.7) H-Functions reduce to exponential function. Further, reducing exponential function to unity, reducing all the Appell Polynomials to unity and S-Generalized Gauss's Hypergeometric Function reducing to Gauss Hypergeometric Function by putting p = 0 thus obtained Gauss Hypergeometric Function reducing to unity, we get the corresponding expressions which are in essence the same as those given by $\operatorname{Erd}\ell$ lyi [24, p. 166, Eq.

4. COMPOSITION FORMULAE FOR FRACTIONAL INTEGRAL OPERATORS

(6.1) and (6.2); p. 167, Eq. (6.3)].

Also, if we take p = 0 in (4.2.1), (4.2.5) and (4.2.7) S-Generalized Gauss's Hypergeometric Function reduce to Gauss Hypergeometric Function. Further reducing these Gauss Hypergeometric function to unity, reducing all the Appell Polynomials to unity and reducing all the H-functions to generalized hypergeometric functions, we get the corresponding expressions which are in essence the same as those given by Goyal and Jain [32, p. 253, Eq.(2.4); p. 254, Eq. (2.7); p. 255, Eq. (2.12)].

The main findings of this chapter have been published as detailed below:

- M. K. BANSAL and R. JAIN (2015). A STUDY OF FINITE IN-TEGRAL INVOLVING GENERALIZED FORM OF THE ASTROPHYS-ICAL THERMONUCLEAR FUNCTION, Journal of Rajasthan Academy of Physical Sciences, 14(1), 51-55.
- M. K. BANSAL and R. JAIN (2014). ON UNIFIED FINITE INTE-GRALS INVOLVING I3 FUNCTION, GENERALIZED MITTAG-LEFFLER FUNCTION AND APPELL POLYNOMIALS, *The Journal of the Indian* Academy of Mathematics, 36(2), 211-219.

In this chapter, we first define the various polynomial and functions and the results required to establish our main integrals. Next, we evaluate two unified and general finite integrals. The first integral involves the product of the Appell Polynomial $A_n(z)$, the Generalized form of the Astrophysical Thermonuclear function I_3 and Generalized Mittag - Leffler Function $E_{\alpha,\beta,\tau,\mu,\rho,p}^{\gamma,\delta}(z;s,r)$. The arguments of the functions occurring in the integral involve the product of factors of the form $x^{\lambda-1}(a-x)^{\sigma-1}(1-ux^l)^{-\rho}$. On account of the most general nature of the functions occurring in the integrand of our main integral, a large number of new integrals can easily be obtained from it merely by specializing the functions and parameters. Next, we give the five special cases of our main integral involving several polynomials and functions notably Cesaro Polynomial, Laguerre Polynomial, Shively Polynomial, Bateman's Polynomial, Bessel Polynomial, Generalized Hypergeometric Function, Bessel Maitland Function, Mittag-Leffler Function, Struve Function, Generalized Bessel Maitland Function, Steady State Function which are new, sufficiently general, and of interest in themselves.

The second integral involves the Generalized form of the Astrophysical Thermonuclear function I_3 and \overline{H} - function. The arguments of the function occurring in the integral involve the product of factors of the form $t^{\lambda-1}(1-t)^{\sigma-1}(1-ut^{\ell})^{-\gamma}(1+vt^m)^{-\beta}$. On account of the most general nature of the functions occurring in the integrand of our main integral, a large number of new integrals can easily be obtained from it merely by specializing the function and parameters. Next, we give the five special cases of our main integral involving several functions notably Generalized Wright Bessel Function, Generalized

96

Riemann Zeta Function, Generalized Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta Function,

Polylogarithm of order p, Generalized Hypergeometric Function which are new, sufficiently general, and of interest in themselves.

5.1 INTRODUCTION

We shall first give a brief description of the polynomial and functions occurring as integrand in our main integral.

THE APPELL POLYNOMIALS

A class of polynomials over the field of complex numbers which contains many classical polynomial systems. The Appell Polynomials were introduced by Appell [6]. The series of Appell Polynomials is defined by :

$$A_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{a_{n-k}}{k!} z^k, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$$
(5.1.1)

where a_{n-k} is the complex coefficients and $a_0 \neq 0$

THE MULTIVARIABLE H-FUNCTION

The Multivariable H-Function is defined and represented in the following manner [109, pp. 251–252, Eqs. (C.1–C.3)]:

$$H^{0,B:A_{1},B_{1};\cdots;A_{r},B_{r}}_{C,D:C_{1},D_{1};\cdots;C_{r},D_{r}} \begin{bmatrix} z_{1} & (a_{j};\alpha_{j}^{(1)},\cdots,\alpha_{j}^{(r)})_{1,C}:(c_{j}^{(1)},\gamma_{j}^{(1)})_{1,C_{1}};\cdots;(c_{j}^{(r)},\gamma_{j}^{(r)})_{1,C_{r}} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$=\frac{1}{(2\pi\omega)^r}\int_{\mathfrak{L}_1}\int_{\mathfrak{L}_2}\cdots\int_{\mathfrak{L}_r}\Phi(\xi_1,\xi_2,\cdots,\xi_\mathfrak{r})\prod_{i=1}^r\Theta_i(\xi_i)z^{\xi_i}\,d\xi_1d\xi_2,\cdots,d\xi_\mathfrak{r},\qquad(5.1.2)$$

where $\omega = \sqrt{-1}$,

$$\Phi(\xi_1, \xi_2, \cdots, \xi_t) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^B \Gamma(1 - a_j + \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_j^{(i)} \xi_i)}{\prod_{j=1}^D \Gamma(1 - b_j + \sum_{i=1}^r \beta_j^{(i)} \xi_i) \prod_{j=B+1}^C \Gamma(a_j - \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_j^{(i)} \xi_i)}$$
(5.1.3)

$$\Theta_{i}(\xi_{i}) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{A_{i}} \Gamma(d_{j}^{(i)} - \delta_{j}^{(i)}\xi_{i}) \prod_{j=1}^{B_{i}} \Gamma(1 - c_{j}^{(i)} + \gamma_{j}^{(i)}\xi_{i})}{\prod_{j=B_{i}+1}^{C_{i}} \Gamma(c_{j}^{(i)} - \gamma_{j}^{(i)}\xi_{i}) \prod_{j=A_{i}+1}^{D_{i}} \Gamma(1 - d_{j}^{(i)} + \delta_{j}^{(i)}\xi_{i})} (i = 1, 2, \cdots, r), \quad (5.1.4)$$

All the Greek letters occurring on the left and side of (5.1.2) are assumed to be positive real numbers for standardization purposes. The definition of the multivariable H-function will however be meaningful even if some of these quantities are zero. The details about the nature of contour $\mathfrak{L}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{L}_r$, conditions of convergence of the integral given by (5.1.2). Throughout the work it is assumed that this function always satisfied its appropriate conditions of convergence [109, p. 252–253, Eqs. (C.4–C.6)].

THE \overline{H} -FUNCTION

The following series representation of the \overline{H} -function is defined and represented in the following manner [50]:

$$\overline{H}_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[z \middle| \begin{array}{c} (e_j, E_j; \in_j)_{1,n}, & (e_j, E_j)_{n+1,p} \\ (f_j, F_j)_{1,m}, & (f_j, F_j; \Im_j)_{m+1,q} \end{array} \right] = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{h=1}^{m} \overline{\Theta}(\mathfrak{s}_{t,h}) z^{\mathfrak{s}_{t,h}} \qquad (5.1.5)$$

where,

$$\overline{\Theta}(\mathfrak{s}_{t,h}) = \frac{\prod_{j=1, j \neq h}^{m} \Gamma(f_j - F_j \mathfrak{s}_{t,h}) \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left\{ \Gamma(1 - e_j + E_j \mathfrak{s}_{t,h}) \right\}^{\in_j}}{\prod_{j=m+1}^{q} \left\{ \Gamma(1 - f_j + F_j \mathfrak{s}_{t,h}) \right\}^{\Im_j} \prod_{j=n+1}^{p} \Gamma(e_j - E_j \mathfrak{s}_{t,h})} \frac{(-1)^t}{t! F_h}, \quad \mathfrak{s}_{t,h} = \frac{f_h + t}{F_h}$$
(5.1.6)

In the Sequel, we shall also make use of the following behavior of the $\overline{H}_{p,q}^{m,n}[z]$ function for small and large value of z as recorded by Saxena et al.

[102, p. 112, Eqs.(2.3) and (2.4)].

$$\overline{H}_{p,q}^{m,n}[z] = O[|z|^{\alpha}], \text{ for small } z, \text{ where } \alpha = \min_{1 \le j \le m} \Re\left(\frac{f_j}{F_j}\right)$$
(5.1.7)

$$\overline{H}_{p,q}^{m,n}[z] = O[|z|^{\beta}], \text{ for large } z, \text{ where } \beta = \max_{1 \le j \le n} \Re\left(\in_j \left(\frac{e_j - 1}{E_j}\right)\right)$$
(5.1.8)

GENERALIZED FORM OF THE ASTROPHYSICAL THERMONUCLEAR FUNCTION

The generalized form of the astrophysical thermonuclear function is given by Saxena [100] in the following form :

$$I_3(z,t,\nu,\mu,\alpha) = \int_0^\infty y^{\nu-1} e^{-z(y+t)^{-\mu}} [1+(\alpha-1)y]^{-\frac{1}{\alpha-1}} dy$$

where $\Re(\nu) > 0, \Re(z) > 0, \alpha > 1$ and $\mu > 0$.

We give the following representation of I_3 in terms of H-function of two variable:

$$I_{3}(z,t,\nu,\mu,\alpha) = \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{\nu-1} e^{-z(y+t)^{-\mu}} [1+(\alpha-1)y]^{-\frac{1}{\alpha-1}} dy$$
$$- \underbrace{t^{\nu}}_{H^{0,1:1,0;2,1}} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{z}{t^{\mu}} \\ \frac{z}{t^{\mu}} \end{bmatrix} (1+\nu;\mu,1):-; \quad (1,1)$$
(5.1.0)

$$= \frac{t^{\nu}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{\alpha-1})} H^{0,1:1,0;2,1}_{1,0:0,2;1,2} \begin{bmatrix} \nu^{\nu} \\ \frac{1}{(\alpha-1)t} \end{bmatrix} -: (0,1), (1,\mu); \quad (\frac{1}{\alpha-1},1), (\nu,1) \end{bmatrix}$$
(5.1.9)
Proof. :To evaluate the integral (5.1.9), we first express the terms

Proof. (16 evaluate the integral (5.1.9), we first express the terms $[1 + (\alpha - 1)y]^{-\frac{1}{\alpha-1}}$ and $e^{-z(y+t)^{-\mu}}$ occurring in it's integrand in terms of well known Mellin-Barnes contour integral [109, p. 18, Eqs.(2.6.2) and (2.6.4)] and then change the order of ξ - integral with y-integral (which is permissible under the conditions stated). Thus the left hand side of (5.1.9) takes the following form (say Δ).

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{(2\pi\omega)^2} \int_{\mathfrak{L}_1} \int_{\mathfrak{L}_2} \frac{\Gamma(-\xi_1)\Gamma(-\xi_2)\Gamma(\frac{1}{\alpha-1} + \xi_2)(\alpha-1)^{\xi_2} z^{\xi_1}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{\alpha-1})} \left[\int_0^\infty y^{\nu+\xi_2-1}(y+t)^{-\mu\xi_1} dy \right] d\xi_1 d\xi_2$$
(5.1.10)

Now we evaluate the y-integral occurring in (5.1.10) with the help of well known Beta function, Thus we get the following equation

$$\Delta = \frac{t^{\nu}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{\alpha-1})} \frac{1}{(2\pi\omega)^2} \int_{\mathfrak{L}_1} \int_{\mathfrak{L}_2} \frac{\Gamma(-\xi_1)\Gamma(\xi_2)\Gamma(\frac{1}{\alpha-1}-\xi_2)\Gamma(\nu-\xi_2)}{\Gamma(\mu\xi_1)}$$
$$\frac{\Gamma(-\nu+\mu\xi_1+\xi_2)z^{\xi_1}t^{-\mu\xi_1-\xi_2}}{(\alpha-1)^{\xi_2}} d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \qquad (5.1.11)$$

finally reinterpret the result thus obtained in terms of H-function of two variable. We easily arrive at the right hand side of (5.1.9) after a little simplification. \Box

GENERALIZED MITTAG LEFFLER FUNCTION

The Generalized Mittag-Leffler function is defined and represented in the following form [88, p. 127, Eq.(1.2)]:

$$E_{\alpha,\beta,\tau,\mu,\rho,p}^{\gamma,\delta}(z;s,r) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{[(\gamma)_{\delta n}]^s z^{(pn+\rho-1)}}{\Gamma(\alpha(pn+\rho-1)+\beta)[(\tau)_{\mu n}]^r(\rho)_{pn}}$$

provided that $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \tau, \rho) \in \mathbb{C}$, $\Re(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \tau, \rho) > 0, (\delta, \mu, \rho) > 0$ and \overline{H} -Function is defined in (5.1.5).

Next, We give the five special cases and representation of Generalized Mittag-Leffler function in terms of \overline{H} -function:

$$E_{\alpha,\beta,\tau,\mu,\rho,p}^{\gamma,\delta}(z;s,r) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{[(\gamma)_{\delta n}]^{s} z^{(pn+\rho-1)}}{\Gamma(\alpha(pn+\rho-1)+\beta)[(\tau)_{\mu n}]^{r}(\rho)_{pn}}$$
$$= \frac{\Gamma(\rho)(\Gamma\tau)^{r} z^{\rho-1}}{(\Gamma\gamma)^{s}} \overline{H}_{2,4}^{1,2} \left[-z^{p} \middle| \begin{array}{c} (0,1), & (1-\gamma,\delta;s) \\ (0,1), & (1-\rho,p), (1-\alpha(\rho-1)-\beta,\alpha p), (1-\tau,\mu;r) \\ (5.1.12) \end{array} \right]$$

SPECIAL CASES OF GENERALIZED MITTAG LEFFLER FUNCTION

1. Generalized Hypergeometric Function : If we take $\delta = p = \mu = \alpha = 1$

in (5.1.12), we get

$$E_{1,\beta,\tau,1,\rho,1}^{\gamma,1}(z;s,r) = \frac{z^{\rho-1}}{\Gamma(\rho-1+\beta)^2} \overline{F}_3 \begin{bmatrix} 1,\gamma;s \\ \rho,\rho+\beta-1,\lambda;r \end{bmatrix} z$$
(5.1.13)

where ${}_{p}\overline{F}_{q}$ is defined by Gupta et al.[41]

2. Bessel–Maitland Function : Again, If we take $s = r = 0, p = \rho = 1$,

 $\beta = 1 + \nu$ and z is replaced by (-z) in (5.1.12), we get

$$E_{\alpha,1+\nu,\tau,\mu,1,1}^{\gamma,\delta}(z;0,0) = J_{\nu}^{\alpha}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-z)^n}{\Gamma(\alpha n + \nu + 1)n!}$$
(5.1.14)

where J^{α}_{ν} is defined in [73].

3. Generalization of the Mittag- Leffler Function : Further, If we take $s = 1, r = 0, p = \rho = 1$ in (5.1.12), we get

$$E_{\alpha,\beta,\tau,\mu,1,1}^{\gamma,\delta}(z;1,0) = E_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,\delta}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma)_{\delta n} z^n}{\Gamma(\alpha n + \beta) n!}$$
(5.1.15)

where $E_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma,\delta}$ is defined in [105].

4. Generalized Bessel–Maitland function : If we take $s = r = p = \rho =$

 $\mu = \gamma = \delta = 1, \alpha = \mu, \lambda = \lambda + 1, \beta = \nu + \lambda + 1, z = -\frac{z^2}{4}$ in (5.1.12), we get

$$E_{\mu,1+\lambda+\nu,1+\lambda,1,1}^{1,1}(z;1,1) = J_{\nu,\lambda}^{\mu}(z) = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+1)}{\left(\frac{z^2}{4}\right)^{\nu+2\lambda}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{\Gamma(\mu n + \nu + \lambda + 1)\Gamma(\lambda + 1 + n)} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{\nu+2\lambda+2n}$$
(5.1.16)

where $J^{\mu}_{\nu,\lambda}(Z)$ is defined in [88].

5. Struve function : If we take $s = r = p = \rho = \alpha = \mu = \gamma = \delta = 1, \beta = \frac{3}{2}, \tau = \frac{3}{2} + \nu, z = -\frac{z^2}{4}$ in (5.1.12), we get $E_{1,\frac{3}{2},\frac{3}{2}+\nu,1,1,1}^{1,1}(z;1,1) = {}_{1}F_{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3/2,3/2+\nu \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -z^{2} \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}$

$$=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1)_n}{(3/2)_n (3/2+\nu)_n n!} \left(\frac{-z^2}{4}\right)^n \tag{5.1.17}$$

5.2 FIRST INTEGRAL

$$\int_0^a x^{\lambda-1} (a-x)^{\sigma-1} (1-ux^{\ell})^{-\rho} A_n[z_2 x^{\lambda_2} (a-x)^{\sigma_2}] I_3[z, z_1 x^{-\lambda_1} (a-x)^{-\sigma_1}, \nu_1, \mu_1, \alpha_1]$$

$$E_{\alpha_{3},\beta_{3},\tau_{3},\mu_{3},\eta_{3},p}^{\gamma_{3},\delta_{3}}[z_{3}x^{\lambda_{3}}(a-x)^{\sigma_{3}}(1-ux^{\ell})^{-\rho_{3}};s,r]dx$$

$$=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\sum_{t=0}^{\infty}\frac{a_{n-k}}{k!}\frac{[\Gamma(\tau_{3})]^{r}\Gamma(\eta_{3})[\Gamma(\gamma_{3}+\delta_{3}t)]^{s}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{(\alpha_{1}-1)})[\Gamma(\gamma_{3})]^{s}\Gamma(\eta_{3}+pt)[\Gamma(\tau_{3}+\mu_{3}t)]^{r}}$$

$$\frac{z_{1}^{\nu_{1}}z_{2}^{k}z_{3}^{\eta_{3}+pt-1}a^{\lambda+\sigma-(\lambda_{1}+\sigma_{1})\nu_{1}+(\lambda_{2}+\sigma_{2})k+(\sigma_{3}+\lambda_{3})(\eta_{3}+pt-1)-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha_{3}\eta_{3}-\alpha_{3}+\beta_{3}+\alpha_{3}pt)\Gamma(\rho+\rho_{3}(\eta_{3}+pt-1))}$$

$$H_{3,1:0,2;1,2;1,1}^{0,3:1,0;2,1;1,1}\begin{bmatrix}\frac{za^{\mu_{1}(\lambda_{1}+\sigma_{1})}}{z_{1}^{\mu_{1}}}\\\frac{a^{(\lambda_{1}+\sigma_{1})}}{z_{1}(\alpha_{1}-1)}\\-ua^{\ell}\end{bmatrix}A^{*}:-;(1,1);(1-\rho-\rho_{3}(\eta_{3}+pt-1),1)\\B^{*}:(0,1)(1,\mu_{1});(\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}-1},1)(\nu_{1},1);(0,1)\end{bmatrix}$$
(5.2.1)

where

$$\begin{split} A^* &= (1+\nu_1; \mu_1, 1, 0), (1-\sigma+\sigma_1\nu_1-\sigma_2k-\sigma_3(\eta_3+pt-1); \sigma_1\mu_1, \sigma_1, 0), \\ (1-\lambda+\lambda_1\nu_1-\lambda_2k-\lambda_3(\eta_3+pt-1); \lambda_1\mu_1, \lambda_1, \ell) \\ B^* &= (1-(\lambda+\sigma)+(\lambda_1+\sigma_1)\nu_1-(\lambda_2+\sigma_2)k-(\lambda_3+\sigma_3)(\eta_3+pt-1); \mu_1(\lambda_1+\sigma_1), \\ (\lambda_1+\sigma_1), \ell) \end{split}$$

The above result is valid under the following conditions :

- (i) $\Re\left(\lambda + \lambda_1 \min\{\frac{1}{\alpha_1 1}, \nu\}\right) > 0$ (ii) $\Re\left(\sigma + \sigma_1 \min\{\frac{1}{\alpha_1 1}, \nu\}\right) > 0$ (iii) $\min\Re\{\nu_1, \sigma, \sigma_3, \eta_3, p, \lambda, \lambda_3, \rho, \rho_3\} \ge 0$
- (iv) $\min(\ell, \sigma_1, \mu_1, \lambda_1) \ge 0$ not all zero simultaneously.

Proof. To prove the main integral, we first express $A_n[x]$, $I_3(z, x, \nu_1, \mu_1, \alpha_1)$ and $E_{\alpha_3,\beta_3,\tau_3,\mu_3,\eta_3,p}^{\gamma_3,\delta_3}(z;s,r)$ function in the left hand side of (5.2.1) in their respective series form and contour integral form with the help of equations (5.1.1), (5.1.9) and (5.1.12) respectively. Next we change the order of summation and ξ_1, ξ_2 -integrals with the x-integral (which is permissible under the conditions stated). Thus left hand side of (5.2.1) takes the following form (say Δ):

$$\Delta = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n-k}}{k!} \frac{[\Gamma(\tau_3)]^r \Gamma(\eta_3) [\Gamma(\gamma_3 + \delta_3 t)]^s z_2^k z_1^{\nu_1} z_3^{\eta_3 + pt - 1}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{\alpha_1 - 1}) (\Gamma\gamma_3)^s \Gamma(\eta_3 + pt) \Gamma(\alpha_3 \eta_3 - \alpha_3 + \beta_3 + \alpha_3 pt) [\Gamma(\tau_3 + \mu_3 t)]^r} \\ \times \frac{1}{(2\pi\omega)^2} \int_{\mathfrak{L}_1} \int_{\mathfrak{L}_2} \frac{\Gamma(-\xi_1) \Gamma(\xi_2) \Gamma(\frac{1}{\alpha_1 - 1} - \xi_2) \Gamma(\nu_1 - \xi_2) \Gamma(-\nu_1 + \mu_1 \xi_1 + \xi_2) z_1^{\xi_1} z_1^{-\mu_1 \xi_1 - \xi_2}}{\Gamma(\mu_1 \xi_1) (\alpha_1 - 1)^{\xi_2}} \\ \left[\int_0^a x^{\lambda - \lambda_1 \nu_1 + \lambda_1 \mu_1 \xi_1 + \lambda_1 \xi_2 + \lambda_2 k + \lambda_3 (\eta_3 + pt - 1) - 1} (a - x)^{\sigma - \sigma_1 \nu_1 + \sigma_1 \mu_1 \xi_1 + \sigma_1 \xi_2 + \sigma_2 k + \sigma_3 (\eta_3 + pt - 1) - 1} (1 - ux^\ell)^{-(\rho + \rho_3 (\eta_3 + pt - 1))} dx \right] d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \\ (5.2.2)$$

Now we evaluate the x-integral occurring in (5.2.2) with the help of [52, p. 47, Eq. (1.3.3)]. Next we express the Fox H-function involved in above result in terms of contour integral, and finally reinterpret the result thus obtained in terms of multi variable H-function, we easily arrive at the right hand side of (5.2.1) after a little simplification.

5.3 SPECIAL CASES OF THE FIRST INTEGRAL

(I) In the main integral, if we reduce Appell polynomials $A_n[x]$ to the Cesaro polynomial [112, p. 449, Eq. (20)] by taking $a_{n-k} = \tau^{2+n-k}C_{n-k}k!, \lambda_2 =$ $z_2 = 1, \sigma_2 = 0, I_3$ function to the steady state function [5, p. 53, Eq.(2.6)] by taking $\alpha_1 \rightarrow 1, \mu_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ and Generalized Mittag Leffler function to the generalized hypergeometric function defined in (5.1.13) by replacing $\delta_3 = p = \alpha_3 = \mu_3 = \sigma_3 = 1, \lambda_3 = \rho_3 = 0$, we can easily get the following interesting integral after a little simplification.

$$\int_{0}^{a} x^{\lambda-1} (a-x)^{\sigma-1} (1-ux^{\ell})^{-\rho} g_{n}^{(\tau_{2})}(x) I_{3}[z, z_{1}x^{-\lambda_{1}}(a-x)^{-\sigma_{1}}, \nu_{1}]$$

$$\frac{[z_{3}(a-x)]^{\eta_{3}-1}}{\Gamma(\eta_{3}+\beta_{3}-1)^{2}} \overline{F}_{3} \begin{bmatrix} 1, \gamma_{3}; s \\ \eta_{3}, \eta_{3}+\beta_{3}-1, \tau_{3}; r \end{bmatrix} z_{3}(a-x) dx$$

$$=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\sum_{t=0}^{\infty}\frac{(\Gamma(\tau_{3}))^{r}\Gamma(\eta_{3})[\Gamma(\gamma_{3}+t)]^{s}\Gamma(\tau_{2}+n-k+1)z_{1}^{\nu_{1}}z_{3}^{\eta_{3}+t-1}a^{\lambda+\sigma-(\lambda_{1}+\sigma_{1})\nu_{1}+k+\eta_{3}+t-2}}{[\Gamma(\gamma_{3})]^{s}\Gamma(\eta_{3}+t)\Gamma(\eta_{3}+\beta_{3}-1+t)[\Gamma(\tau_{3}+t)]^{r}\Gamma(\rho)\Gamma(n-k+1)\Gamma(\tau_{2}+1)}$$

$$H_{3,1:0,2;1,2;1,1}^{0,3:1,0;2,1;1,1} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{za^{\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_{1}+\sigma_{1})}}{z_{1}^{\frac{1}{2}}} & A^{*}: & -; & (1,1); & (1-\rho,1) \\ \frac{a^{(\lambda_{1}+\sigma_{1})}}{z_{1}} & & \\ & -ua^{\ell} & B^{*}: & (0,1), (1,\frac{1}{2}); & (0,1), (\nu_{1},1); & (0,1) \\ & & (5.3.1) \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$\begin{split} A^* &= (1+\nu_1; \frac{1}{2}, 1, 0), (1-\sigma+\sigma_1\nu_1-(\eta_3+t-1); \frac{\sigma_1}{2}, \sigma_1, 0), (1-\lambda+\lambda_1\nu_1-k; \frac{\lambda_1}{2}, \lambda_1, \ell) \\ B^* &= (1-(\lambda+\sigma)+(\lambda_1+\sigma_1)\nu_1-k-(\eta_3+t-1); \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1+\sigma_1), (\lambda_1+\sigma_1), \ell) \\ \text{provided that the conditions that are easily obtainable from the existing conditions of (5.2.1) are satisfied. \end{split}$$

(II) Next, if we reduce the Appell polynomials $A_n[x]$ to the Laguerre Polynomial [121, p. 101, Eq. (5.1.6)] by taking $a_{n-k} = (-1)^k \alpha_2 + n C_{n-k}, \lambda_2 = z_2 = 1, \sigma_2 = 0$ and Generalized Mittag Leffler function to the Bessel Maitland

Function defined in (5.1.14) by replacing $\beta_3 = 1 + \nu_3$, $\alpha_3 = \mu_3$, $p = \sigma_3 = \eta_3 = 1$, $\lambda_3 = \rho_3 = s = r = 0$, $z_3 = -1$, we can easily get the following new integral after a little simplification.

a

$$\int_{0} x^{\lambda-1} (a-x)^{\sigma-1} (1-ux^{\ell})^{-\rho} L_n^{(\alpha_2)}(x) I_3[z, z_1 x^{-\lambda_1} (a-x)^{-\sigma_1}, \nu_1, \mu_1, \alpha_1] J_{\nu_3}^{\mu_3}(a-x) dx$$

$$=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\sum_{t=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^{t}(-1)^{k}\Gamma(\alpha_{2}+n+1)}{k!\Gamma(n-k+1)\Gamma(\alpha_{2}+k+1)}\frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}-1})}z_{1}^{\nu_{1}}\frac{a^{\lambda+\sigma-(\lambda_{1}+\sigma_{1})\nu_{1}+k+t-1}}{t!\Gamma(\mu_{3}t+\nu_{3}+1)\Gamma(\rho)}$$

$$H_{3,1:0,2;1,2;1,1}^{0,3:1,0;2,1;1,1} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{za^{\mu_1(\lambda_1+\sigma_1)}}{z_1^{\mu_1}} & A^*: & -; & (1,1); & (1-\rho,1) \\ \frac{a^{(\lambda_1+\sigma_1)}}{z_1(\alpha_1-1)} & & \\ & -ua^{\ell} & B^*: & (0,1), (1,\mu_1); & (\frac{1}{\alpha_1-1},1), (\nu_1,1); & (0,1) \\ & (5.3.2) \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$A^* = (1+\nu_1; \mu_1, 1, 0), (1-\sigma+\sigma_1\nu_1-t; \sigma_1\mu_1, \sigma_1, 0), (1-\lambda+\lambda_1\nu_1-k; \lambda_1\mu_1, \lambda_1, \ell)$$
$$B^* = (1-(\lambda+\sigma)+(\lambda_1+\sigma_1)\nu_1-k-t; \mu_1(\lambda_1+\sigma_1), (\lambda_1+\sigma_1), \ell)$$

provided that the conditions that are easily obtainable from the existing conditions of (5.2.1) are satisfied.

(III) Again in the main integral, if we reduce Appell polynomial $A_n[x]$ to the Shively Polynomial [112, p. 187, Eq. (49)] by taking $a_{n-k} = \frac{(\lambda'_2+n)_n(-n)_k(\alpha'_1)_k...(\alpha'_p)_k}{n!(\lambda'_2+n)_k(\beta'_1)_k...(\beta'_q)_k}$, $\lambda_2 = 1, \sigma_2 = 0$ and Generalized Mittag Leffler function to the generalization of the Mittag-Leffler Function defined in (5.1.15) by replacing $\eta_3 = p = \sigma_3 = s = 1, \rho_3 = r = \lambda_3 = 0$, we can easily get the following interesting result after a little simplification.

$$\int_0^a x^{\lambda-1} (a-x)^{\sigma-1} (1-ux^\ell)^{-\rho} S_n^{(\lambda_2')}(z_2 x) I_3[z, z_1 x^{-\lambda_1} (a-x)^{-\sigma_1}, \nu_1, \mu_1, \alpha_1]$$
$$E_{\alpha_3, \beta_3}^{\gamma_3, \delta_3}[z_3 (a-x)] dx$$

$$=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\sum_{t=0}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda_{2}'+n)_{n}(-n)_{k}(\alpha_{1}')_{k}...(\alpha_{p}')_{k}}{n!(\lambda_{2}'+n)_{k}(\beta_{1}')_{k}...(\beta_{q}')_{k}k!}\frac{\Gamma(\gamma_{3}+\delta_{3}t)z_{1}^{\nu_{1}}z_{2}^{k}z_{3}^{t}a^{\lambda+\sigma-(\lambda_{1}+\sigma_{1})\nu_{1}+k+t-1}}{\Gamma(\gamma_{3})\Gamma(\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}-1})\Gamma(\beta_{3}+\alpha_{3}t)\Gamma(\rho)\Gamma(1+t)}$$

$$H_{3,1:0,2;1,2;1,1}^{0,3:1,0;2,1;1,1} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{za^{\mu_{1}(\lambda_{1}+\sigma_{1})}}{z_{1}^{\mu_{1}}} & A^{*}: & -; & (1,1); & (1-\rho,1) \\ \frac{a^{(\lambda_{1}+\sigma_{1})}}{z_{1}(\alpha_{1}-1)} & & \\ & -ua^{\ell} & B^{*}: & (0,1), (1,\mu_{1}); & (\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}-1},1), (1,\nu_{1}); & (0,1) \\ & & (5.3.3) \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$A^* = (1+\nu_1; \mu_1, 1, 0), (1-\sigma+\sigma_1\nu_1-t; \sigma_1\mu_1, \sigma_1, 0), (1-\lambda+\lambda_1\nu_1-k; \lambda_1\mu_1, \lambda_1, \ell)$$
$$B^* = (1-(\lambda+\sigma)+(\lambda_1+\sigma_1)\nu_1-k-t; \mu_1(\lambda_1+\sigma_1), (\lambda_1+\sigma_1), \ell)$$

provided that the conditions that are easily obtainable from the existing conditions of (5.2.1) are satisfied.

(IV) Next, if we reduce the Appell polynomials $A_n[x]$ to the Bateman's Polynomial [112, p. 183, Eq. (42)] by taking $a_{n-k} = \frac{(-n)_k(n+1)_k}{(1)_k(1)_k}$, $\lambda_2 = \sigma_2 = 0$ and Generalized Mittag Leffler function to the Struve function defined in (5.1.17) by replacing $s = r = p = \sigma_3 = \eta_3 = \alpha_3 = \mu_3 = \gamma_3 = \delta_3 = 1, \beta_3 = \frac{3}{2}, \tau_3 = \frac{3}{2} + \nu_3, \lambda_3 = \rho_3 = 0, z_3 = -\frac{z_3^2}{4}$, we can easily get the following new

integral after a little simplification.

$$\begin{split} &\int_{0}^{a} x^{\lambda-1} (a-x)^{\sigma-1} (1-ux^{\ell})^{-\rho} Z_{n}[z_{2}] I_{3}[z, z_{1}x^{-\lambda_{1}}(a-x)^{-\sigma_{1}}, \nu_{1}, \mu_{1}, \alpha_{1}] \\ & {}_{1}F_{2} \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2} + \nu_{3} \end{array} \middle| \frac{-z_{3}^{2}}{4} (a-x) \right] dx \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-n)_{k}(n+1)_{k}}{(1)_{k}(1)_{k}k!} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2}+\nu_{3})z_{1}^{\nu_{1}}z_{2}^{\nu}(\frac{-z_{3}^{2}}{4})^{t}a^{\lambda+\sigma-(\lambda_{1}+\sigma_{1})\nu_{1}+t-1}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}-1})\Gamma(\frac{3}{2}+t)\Gamma(\frac{3}{2}+\nu_{3}+t)\Gamma(\rho)} \\ & H_{3,1:0,2;1,2;1,1}^{0,3:1,0;2,1;1,1} \left[\begin{array}{c} \frac{za^{\mu_{1}(\lambda_{1}+\sigma_{1})}}{z_{1}^{\mu_{1}}} \\ \frac{a^{(\lambda_{1}+\sigma_{1})}}{z_{1}(\alpha_{1}-1)} \\ -ua^{\ell} \end{array} \right] A^{*}: \quad -; \qquad (1,1); \qquad (1-\rho,1) \stackrel{\circ}{\longrightarrow} \\ B^{*}: \quad (0,1), (1,\mu_{1}); \quad (\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}-1},1), (\nu_{1},1); \qquad (0,1) \\ (5.3.4) \end{split}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A^* &= (1+\nu_1; \mu_1, 1, 0), (1-\sigma+\sigma_1\nu_1-t; \sigma_1\mu_1, \sigma_1, 0), (1-\lambda+\lambda_1\nu_1; \lambda_1\mu_1, \lambda_1, \ell) \\ B^* &= (1-(\lambda+\sigma)+(\lambda_1+\sigma_1)\nu_1-t; \mu_1(\lambda_1+\sigma_1), (\lambda_1+\sigma_1), \ell) \end{aligned}$$

provided that the conditions that are easily obtainable from the existing conditions of (5.2.1) are satisfied.

(V) Again in the main integral, if we reduce Appell polynomial $A_n[x]$ to the Bessel Polynomial [60, p. 108, Eq. (34)] by taking $a_{n-k} = \frac{(-n)_k(\alpha_2+n-1)_k(-1)^k}{\beta_2^k}$, $\lambda_2 = \sigma_2 = 0$ and Generalized Mittag Leffler function to the Generalized Bessel Maitland function defined in (5.1.16) by replacing $\lambda_3 = \rho_3 = 0$, $s = r = p = \sigma_3 = \eta_3 = \mu_3 = \gamma_3 = \delta_3 = 1$, $\alpha_3 = \mu_3$, $\tau_3 = \tau_3 + 1$, $\beta_3 = r_3 = \mu_3 = \gamma_3 = \delta_3 = 1$, $\alpha_3 = \mu_3$, $\tau_3 = \tau_3 + 1$, $\beta_3 = r_3 = 0$, $r = p = \sigma_3 = \eta_3 = \mu_3$, $\sigma_3 = \sigma_3 = 0$, $\sigma_3 = 0$ $\nu_3 + \tau_3 + 1, z_3 = -\frac{z_3^2}{4}$ we can easily get the following interesting result after a little simplification.

$$\int_{0}^{a} x^{\lambda-1} (a-x)^{\sigma-1} (1-ux^{\ell})^{-\rho} y_{n}[z_{2},\alpha_{2},\beta_{2}] I_{3}[z,z_{1}x^{-\lambda_{1}}(a-x)^{-\sigma_{1}},\nu_{1},\mu_{1},\alpha_{1}]$$
$$J_{\nu_{3},\tau_{3}}^{\mu_{3}} \left(-(a-x)\frac{z_{3}^{2}}{4}\right) dx$$

$$=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\sum_{t=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-n)_{k}(\alpha_{2}+n+1)_{k}(-1)^{k}}{\beta_{2}^{k}k!}\frac{\Gamma(\tau_{3}+1)(-1)^{t}z_{1}^{\nu_{1}}z_{2}^{k}(\frac{z_{3}}{2})^{2t}a^{\lambda+\sigma-(\lambda_{1}+\sigma_{1})\nu_{1}+t-1}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}-1})\Gamma(\rho)\Gamma(\nu_{3}+\tau_{3}+1+\mu_{3}t)\Gamma(\tau_{3}+1+t)}$$

$$H_{3,1:0,2;1,2;1,1}^{0,3:1,0;2,1;1,1} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{za^{\mu_1(\lambda_1+\sigma_1)}}{z_1^{\mu_1}} & A^*: & -; & (1,1); & (1-\rho,1) \\ \frac{a^{(\lambda_1+\sigma_1)}}{z_1(\alpha_1-1)} & & \\ & -ua^{\ell} & B^*: & (0,1), (1,\mu_1); & (\frac{1}{\alpha_1-1},1), (\nu_1,1); & (0,1) \\ & (5.3.5) & \end{array}$$

where

$$A^* = (1 + \nu_1; \mu_1, 1, 0), (1 - \sigma + \sigma_1 \nu_1 - t; \sigma_1 \mu_1, \sigma_1, 0), (1 - \lambda + \lambda_1 \nu_1; \lambda_1 \mu_1, \lambda_1, \ell)$$

$$B^* = (1 - (\lambda + \sigma) + (\lambda_1 + \sigma_1)\nu_1 - t; \mu_1(\lambda_1 + \sigma_1), (\lambda_1 + \sigma_1), \ell)$$

provided that the conditions that are easily obtainable from the existing conditions of (5.2.1) are satisfied.

5.4 SECOND INTEGRAL

$$\int_{0}^{1} x^{\lambda-1} (1-x)^{\sigma-1} (1-ux^{a})^{-\gamma} (1+vx^{b})^{-\beta} I_{3}(z, x^{-\lambda_{1}} (1-x)^{-\sigma_{1}}, \nu_{1}, \rho_{1}, \mu_{1}, \alpha_{1})$$

$$\overline{H}_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[x^{\lambda_{2}} (1-x)^{\sigma_{2}} (1-ux^{a})^{-\gamma_{2}} (1+vx^{b})^{-\beta_{2}} \middle| \begin{array}{c} (e_{j}, E_{j}; \in_{j})_{1,n}, \quad (e_{j}, E_{j})_{n+1,p} \\ (f_{j}, F_{j})_{1,m}, \quad (f_{j}, F_{j}; \Im_{j})_{m+1,q} \end{array} \right] dx$$

$$=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma+\gamma_{2}\mathfrak{s}_{t,h})\Gamma(\beta+\beta_{2}\mathfrak{s}_{t,h})\Gamma(\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}-1})}\sum_{t=0}^{\infty}\sum_{h=1}^{m}\overline{\Theta}(\mathfrak{s}_{t,h})H_{3,1;0,2;1,2;1,1;1,1}^{0,3;1,0;2,1;1,1,1,1}\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{z^{\mu}} & A^{*}:C^{*}\\ \frac{1}{(\alpha_{1}-1)} & & \\ -u & & \\ v & B^{*}:D^{*}\end{bmatrix}$$
(5.4.1)

$$\begin{aligned} A^* &= (1 - \lambda + \lambda_1 \nu_1 - \lambda_2 \mathfrak{s}_{t,h}; \lambda_1 \mu_1, \lambda_1, a, 0), (1 - \sigma + \sigma_1 \nu_1 - \sigma_2 \mathfrak{s}_{t,h}; \sigma_1 \mu_1, \sigma_1, 0, b), \\ (1 + \nu_1; 0, 0, \mu_1, 1). \\ B^* &= (1 - (\sigma + \lambda) + (\sigma_1 + \lambda_1) \nu_1 - (\sigma_2 + \lambda_2) \mathfrak{s}_{t,h}; (\sigma_1 + \lambda_1) \mu_1, (\sigma_1 + \lambda_1), a, b) \\ C^* &= -; (1, 1); (1 - \gamma - \gamma_2 \mathfrak{s}_{t,h}, 1); (1 - \beta - \beta_2 \mathfrak{s}_{t,h}, 1) \\ D^* &= (0, 1), (1, \mu_1); (\frac{1}{\alpha_1 - 1}, 1), (\nu_1, 1); (0, 1); (0, 1) \\ \text{and} \qquad \mathfrak{s}_{t,h} = \frac{f_h + t}{F_h} \end{aligned}$$

The above result is valid under the following :

(i)
$$\Re\left(\lambda + \lambda_1 \min\{\frac{1}{\alpha_1 - 1}, \nu_1\} + \lambda_2 \min_{1 \le j \le m} \left(\frac{f_j}{F_j}\right)\right) > 0.$$

(ii) $\Re\left(\sigma + \sigma_1 \min\{\frac{1}{\alpha_1 - 1}, \nu_1\} + \sigma_2 \min_{1 \le j \le m} \left(\frac{f_j}{F_j}\right)\right) > 0.$

(iii)
$$\min \Re\{\gamma, \gamma_2, \beta, \beta_2, \lambda, \lambda_2, \sigma, \sigma_2, \nu_1\} \ge 0; \quad \min(\mu_1, \sigma_1, \lambda_1, a, b) \ge 0$$

not all zero simultaneously.

Proof. : To evaluate the above integral we first express \overline{H} – function in series form and astrophysical thermonuclear function $I_3(z, x^{-\lambda_1}(1-x)^{-\sigma_1}, \nu_1, \rho_1, \mu_1, \alpha_1)$ in terms of Mellin-Barnes contour integral with help of (5.1.5) and (5.1.9) respectively. Then we change the order of summations and ξ_1, ξ_2 -integral with x-integral (which is permissible under the conditions stated). Thus the left hand side of (5.4.1) takes the following form (say Δ):

$$\Delta = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{h=1}^{m} \frac{\overline{\Theta}(\mathfrak{s}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{h}})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}-1})} \frac{1}{(2\pi\omega)^{2}} \int_{\mathfrak{L}_{1}} \int_{\mathfrak{L}_{2}} \frac{\Gamma(-\nu_{1}+\mu_{1}\xi_{1}+\xi_{2})\Gamma(-\xi_{1})\Gamma(\xi_{2})\Gamma(\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}-1}-\xi_{2})\Gamma(\nu_{1}-\rho\xi_{2})}{\Gamma(\mu_{1}\xi_{1})(\alpha_{1}-1)^{\xi_{2}}}$$

$$\times z^{-\mu_{1}\xi_{1}} \int_{0}^{1} x^{\lambda-\lambda_{1}\nu_{1}+\lambda_{1}\mu_{1}\xi_{1}+\lambda_{1}\xi_{2}+\lambda_{2}\mathfrak{s}_{t,h}-1} (1-x)^{\sigma-\sigma_{1}\nu_{1}+\sigma_{1}\mu_{1}\xi_{1}+\sigma_{1}\xi_{2}+\sigma_{2}\mathfrak{s}_{t,h}-1}$$

$$(1-ux^{a})^{-\gamma-\gamma_{2}\mathfrak{s}_{t,h}} (1+vx^{b})^{-\beta-\beta_{2}\mathfrak{s}_{t,h}} dxd\xi_{1}d\xi_{2} \quad (5.4.2)$$

Finally, we evaluate the x-integral occurring in (5.4.2) with the help of result [33, p. 287, Eq.(3.211)] and reinterpreting the result thus obtained in terms of the multivariable H-function, we easily arrive at the right hand side of (5.4.1), after a little simplification.

5.5 SPECIAL CASES OF THE SECOND INTEGRAL

(I.) In the main integral (5.4.1), if we reduce the \overline{H} -function to Generalized Wright Bessel Function [41, p. 271, Eq.(8)] and take $\gamma_2 = \beta_2 = 0$, we easily get the following integral after a little simplification:

$$\int_{0}^{1} x^{\lambda-1} (1-x)^{\sigma-1} (1-ux^{a})^{-\gamma} (1+vx^{b})^{-\beta} I_{3}(z,x^{-\lambda_{1}}(1-x)^{-\sigma_{1}},\nu_{1},\rho_{1},\mu_{1},\alpha_{1})$$
$$\overline{J}_{\tau_{2}}^{\nu_{2},\mu_{2}}(x^{\lambda_{2}}(1-x)^{\sigma_{2}}) dx$$

$$=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}-1})}\sum_{t=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^{t}}{t!\{\Gamma(1+\tau_{2}+\nu_{2}t)\}^{\mu_{2}}}H^{0,3;1,0;2,1;1,1;1,1}_{3,1;0,2;1,2;1,1;1,1}\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{z^{\mu}} & A^{*}:C^{*}\\ \frac{1}{(\alpha_{1}-1)} & & \\ -u & & \\ v & B^{*}:D^{*}\\ (5.5.1) & & \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$\begin{split} A^* &= (1 - \lambda + \lambda_1 \nu_1 - \lambda_2 t; \lambda_1 \mu_1, \lambda_1, a, 0), (1 - \sigma + \sigma_1 \nu_1 - \sigma_2 t; \sigma_1 \mu_1, \sigma_1, 0, b), \\ (1 + \nu_1; 0, 0, \mu_1, 1). \\ B^* &= (1 - (\sigma + \lambda) + (\sigma_1 + \lambda_1) \nu_1 - (\lambda_2 + \sigma_2) t; (\sigma_1 + \lambda_1) \mu_1, (\sigma_1 + \lambda_1), a, b) \\ C^* &= -; (1, 1); (1 - \gamma, 1); (1 - \beta, 1) \\ D^* &= (0, 1), (1, \mu_1); (\frac{1}{\alpha_1 - 1}, 1), (\nu_1, 1)(0, 1); (0, 1). \end{split}$$

provided that the conditions easily obtainable from those mentioned with conditions (5.4.1) are satisfied.

(II.) On taking in (5.4.1), λ₁ = λ₂ = σ₂ = 0 and reducing H
-function to the generalized Riemann Zeta Function [23, p. 27, §1.11, Eq.(1)], we easily get the following integral after a little simplification:

$$\int_{0}^{1} x^{\lambda-1} (1-x)^{\sigma-1} (1-ux^{a})^{-\gamma} (1+vx^{b})^{-\beta} I_{3}(z,(1-x)^{-\sigma_{1}},\nu_{1},\rho_{1},\mu_{1},\alpha_{1})$$
$$\phi((1-ux^{a})^{-\gamma_{2}}(1+vx^{b})^{-\beta_{2}},p,\eta) dx$$

$$=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma+\gamma_{2}t)\Gamma(\beta+\beta_{2}t)\Gamma(\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}-1})}\sum_{t=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(\eta+t)^{p}}H_{2,1;0,2;1,2;2,1;1,1}^{0,2;1,0;2,1;1,2;1,1}\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{z^{\mu}}\\\frac{1}{(\alpha_{1}-1)}\\-u\\v\\B^{*}:D^{*}\end{bmatrix}$$
(5.5.2)

where

$$\begin{split} A^* &= (1 - \sigma + \sigma_1 \nu_1; \sigma_1 \mu_1, \sigma_1, 0, b), (1 + \nu_1; 0, 0, \mu_1, 1). \\ B^* &= (1 - (\sigma + \lambda) + \sigma_1 \nu_1; \sigma_1 \mu_1, \sigma_1, a, b) \\ C^* &= --; (1, 1); (1 - \gamma - \gamma_2 t, 1), (1 - \lambda, a); (1 - \beta - \beta_2 t, 1) \\ D^* &= (0, 1), (1, \mu_1); (\frac{1}{\alpha_1 - 1}, 1), (\nu_1, 1); (0, 1); (0, 1). \end{split}$$

provided that the conditions that are easily obtainable from the existing conditions (5.4.1) are satisfied.

(III.) Further in the main integral (5.4.1), if we take λ₁ = σ₁ = λ₂ = σ₂ = 0 and reduce H
-function to the generalized Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta Function [51, pp. 147 & 151, Eqs.(6.2.5) and (6.4.2)], we arrive at the following interesting integral after a little simplification which is believed to be new:

$$\int_{0}^{1} x^{\lambda-1} (1-x)^{\sigma-1} (1-ux^{a})^{-\gamma} (1+vx^{b})^{-\beta} I_{3}(z,1,\nu_{1},\rho_{1},\mu_{1},\alpha_{1})$$

$$\phi_{\nu_{2},\mu_{2},\tau_{2}}((1-ux^{a})^{-\gamma_{2}}(1+vx^{b})^{-\beta_{2}},p,\eta) dx$$

$$=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma+\gamma_{2}t)\Gamma(\beta+\beta_{2}t)\Gamma(\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}-1})}\sum_{t=0}^{\infty}\frac{(\nu_{2})_{t}(\mu_{2})_{t}}{(\tau_{2})_{t}t!(\eta+t)^{p}}H_{1,1;0,2;1,2;2,1;2,1}^{0,1;1,0;2,1;1,2;1,2}\left[\begin{array}{c|c}\frac{1}{z^{\mu}} & A^{*}:C^{*}\\ \frac{1}{(\alpha_{1}-1)} & \\ -u & \\ v & B^{*}:D^{*}\end{array}\right]$$
(5.5.3)

where

$$\begin{aligned} A^* &= (1 + \nu_1; 0, 0, \mu_1, 1) \qquad B^* &= (1 - (\sigma + \lambda); 0, 0, a, b) \\ C^* &= -; (1, 1); (1 - \gamma - \gamma_2 t, 1), (1 - \lambda, a); (1 - \beta - \beta_2 t, 1), (1 - \sigma, b) \\ D^* &= (0, 1), (1, \mu_1); (\frac{1}{\alpha_1 - 1}, 1), (\nu_1, 1); (0, 1); (0, 1). \end{aligned}$$

(IV.) Again if we take in (5.4.1), $\lambda_1 = \gamma_2 = \beta_2 = 0$ and reduce \overline{H} -function to Polylogarithm of order p [23, p. 31 §1.11.1, Eq.(22) and (6.4.2)], we get an interesting integral after a little simplification which is believed to be new:

$$\int_{0}^{1} x^{\lambda-1} (1-x)^{\sigma-1} (1-ux^{a})^{-\gamma} (1+vx^{b})^{-\beta} I_{3}(z,(1-x)^{-\sigma_{1}},\nu_{1},\rho_{1},\mu_{1},\alpha_{1})$$
$$F(x^{\lambda_{2}}(1-x)^{\sigma_{2}},p) dx$$

$$=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}-1})}\sum_{t=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^{t+1}}{t^{p}}H^{0,2;1,0;2,1;1,2;1,1}_{2,1;0,2;1,2;2,1;1,1}\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{z^{\mu}} & A^{*}:C^{*}\\ \frac{1}{(\alpha_{1}-1)} & & \\ -u & & \\ v & B^{*}:D^{*} \end{bmatrix}$$
(5.5.4)

where

$$A^* = (1 - \sigma + \sigma_1 \nu_1 - \sigma_2 (1 + t); \sigma_1 \mu_1, \sigma_1, 0, b), (1 + \nu_1; 0, 0, \mu_1, 1).$$

$$B^* = (1 - (\sigma + \lambda) + \sigma_1 \nu_1 - (\lambda_2 + \sigma_2)(1 + t); \sigma_1 \mu_1, \sigma_1, a, b)$$

$$C^* = -; (1, 1); (1 - \gamma, 1), (1 - \lambda - \lambda_2(1 + t), a); (1 - \beta, 1)$$

$$D^* = (0, 1), (1, \mu_1); (\frac{1}{\alpha_1 - 1}, 1), (\nu_1, 1); (0, 1); (0, 1).$$

(V.) Finally, if we reduce the \overline{H} -function to generalized hypergeometric function $_{p}F_{q}$ [41, p. 271, Eq.(9)] and take $\lambda_{1} = \sigma_{1} = \gamma_{2} = \beta_{2} = 0$ in the main integral (5.4.1), we obtain the following integral after a little simplification:

$$\int_{0}^{1} x^{\lambda-1} (1-x)^{\sigma-1} (1-ux^{a})^{-\gamma} (1+vx^{b})^{-\beta} I_{3}(z,1,\nu_{1},\rho_{1},\mu_{1},\alpha_{1})$$

$${}_{p}F_{q} \begin{bmatrix} x^{\lambda_{2}} (1-x)^{\sigma_{2}} & (e_{j},1;\rho_{j})_{1,p} \\ (f_{j},1;\eta_{j})_{1,q} \end{bmatrix} dx$$

$$=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}-1})}\sum_{t=0}^{\infty}\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{p}\{(e_{j})_{t}\}^{\rho_{j}}}{\prod_{j=1}^{q}\{(f_{j})_{t}\}^{\eta_{j}}t!}H_{1,1;0;2;1;2;2;1;2,1}^{0,1;1,0;2,1;2;2,1;2,1}\begin{bmatrix}\frac{1}{z^{\mu}}\\ \frac{1}{(\alpha_{1}-1)}\\ -u\\ v \end{bmatrix} B^{*}:D^{*} \end{bmatrix}$$
(5.5.5)

where

$$\begin{split} A^* &= (1+\nu_1; 0, 0, \mu_1, 1) \qquad B^* = (1-(\sigma+\lambda)-(\lambda_2+\sigma_2)t; 0, 0, a, b) \\ C^* &= -; (1,1); (1-\gamma,1), (1-\lambda-\lambda_2t, a); (1-\beta,1), (1-\sigma-\sigma_2t, b) \\ D^* &= (0,1), (1,\mu_1); (\frac{1}{\alpha_1-1}, 1), (\nu_1, 1); (0,1); (0,1). \end{split}$$

provided that the conditions that are easily obtainable from the existing conditions (5.4.1) are satisfied.

SOLUTION OF FRACTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION BY GENERALIZED DIFFERENTIAL TRANSFORM METHOD (GDTM)

The main findings of this chapter have been published as detailed below:
M. K. BANSAL and R. JAIN (2015). APPLICATION OF
GENERALIZED DIFFERENTIAL TRANSFORM METHOD TO FRACTIONAL
RELAXATION OSCILLATION EQUATION, Antarctica J. Math., 12(1), 85–95.
M. K. BANSAL and R. JAIN (2015). ANALYTICAL SOLUTION OF
BAGLEY TORVIK EQUATION BY GENERALIZED DIFFERENTIAL TRANSFORM, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 110(2), 265–273.

3. M. K. BANSAL and R. JAIN (2015). APPLICATION OF GENERAL-IZED DIFFERENTIAL TRANSFORM METHOD TO FRACTIONAL ORDER RICCATI DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION AND NUMERICAL RESULT, *Inter-*

6. SOLUTION OF FRACTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION BY GENERALIZED DIFFERENTIAL TRANSFORM METHOD (GDTM)

national Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 99(3), 355–366.

The object of this chapter is to find solutions of the Bagley Torvik Equation, Fractional Relaxation Oscillation Equation and Fractional Order Riccati Differential Equation. We make use of generalized differential transform method (GDTM) to solve the equations. First of all we give definition of a Caputo fractional derivative of order α which was introduced and investigated by Caputo [12]. Then, we give the generalized differential transform method and inverse generalized differential transform which was introduce and investigated by Ertuk et al. [22] and some basic properties of GDTM. Next, we find solutions to three different fractional differential equations using GDTM technique.

In section 6.2 we find the solution of Bagley Torvik Equation using GDTM. Since all constant coefficients and function f(t) are general in nature, by specializing the constant coefficients and function f(t) we can obtain a large number of special cases of Bagley Torvik Equation. Here we give two numerical examples.

In section 6.3 we find the solution of Fractional Relaxation Oscillation Equation using GDTM. Since order of Fractional Relaxation Oscillation Equation is β , A is constant coefficient and function f(x) are general in nature, by specializing parameters and function f(x), we can obtain a large number of special cases of Fractional Relaxation Oscillation Equation. Here we give eight numerical examples. Furthermore these examples are also represented graphically by using the MATHEMATICA SOFTWARE.

In section 6.4, Again we find the solution of Fractional Order Riccati Differential Equation using GDTM. Since order of Fractional Riccati Differential equation is β and all function are general in nature, by specializing parameters

6. SOLUTION OF FRACTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION BY GENERALIZED DIFFERENTIAL TRANSFORM METHOD (GDTM)

and functions, we can obtain a large number of special cases of Fractional Relaxation Oscillation Equation. Here we give eight numerical examples. Furthermore these examples are also represented graphically by using the MATHEMATICA SOFTWARE.

6.1 INTRODUCTION

6.1.1 CAPUTO FRACTIONAL DERIVATIVE OF ORDER α

The Caputo fractional derivative of order α was introduced and investigate by Caputo [11] in the following manner

$${}^{C}_{a}D^{\alpha}_{x}f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(m-\alpha)} \int_{a}^{x} \frac{f^{(m)}(\xi)}{(x-\xi)^{\alpha-m+1}} d\xi & \text{if} \quad (m-1<\alpha< m), m \in \mathbb{N} \\ \\ f^{(m)}(x) & \text{if} \quad \alpha = m \end{cases}$$

$$(6.1.1)$$

6.1.2 KNOWN BASIC THEOREMS

The following theorems will be required to obtain our main findings :

Theorem 6.1.1 ([22] Generalized Taylor Formula). Suppose that $\binom{C}{a}D_x^{\alpha}{}^k f(x) \in C(a, b]$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n + 1$, where $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, then we have

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{(x-a)^{i\alpha}}{\Gamma(i\alpha+1)} \left({\binom{C}{a} D_x^{\alpha}}^i f \right)(a) + \frac{\left({\binom{C}{a} D_x^{\alpha}}^{n+1} f \right)(\xi)}{\Gamma((n+1)\alpha+1)} \cdot (x-a)^{(n+1)\alpha}$$
(6.1.2)

with $a \leq \xi \leq x, \forall \quad x \in (a, b].$

Theorem 6.1.2. [26] Suppose that $f(x) = (x - x_0)^{\lambda} g(x)$, where $x_0, \lambda > 0$ and g(x) has the generalized power series expansion $g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (x - x_0)^{n\alpha}$ with radius of

convergence $R > 0, 0 < \alpha \leq 1$. Then

$${}^{C}_{a}D^{\gamma}_{x} \quad {}^{C}_{a}D^{\beta}_{x}f(x) = {}^{C}_{a}D^{\gamma+\beta}_{x}f(x)$$

$$(6.1.3)$$

for all $(x - x_0) \in (0, R)$, the coefficients $a_n = 0$ for n given by $n\alpha + \lambda - \beta = 0$ and either $(a) \ \lambda > \mu, \ \mu = max(\beta + [\gamma], [\beta + \gamma])$ or $(b) \ \lambda \le \mu, \ a_k = 0$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, [\frac{\mu - \lambda}{\alpha}]$ where [x] denotes the greatest integer less than or equal to x.

6.1.3 GENERALIZED DIFFERENTIAL TRANSFORM METHOD (GDTM)

The generalized differential transform of the K^{th} derivative of function f(x) in one variable was introduced and investigated by Ertuk et al. [22, p. 1646] in the following manner:

$$F_{\alpha}(k) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha k+1)} [\binom{C}{a} D_x^{\alpha})^k f(x)]_{x=x_0}$$
(6.1.4)

where $0 < \alpha \leq 1, ({}^{C}_{a}D^{\alpha}_{x})^{k} = {}^{C}_{a}D^{\alpha}_{x} {}^{C}_{a}D^{\alpha}_{x} ... {}^{C}_{a}D^{\alpha}_{x} (k - times), {}^{C}_{a}D^{\alpha}_{x}$ is defined by (6.1.1) and $F_{\alpha}(k)$ is the transformed function.

The Inverse Generalized Differential Transform of $F_{\alpha}(k)$ is defined in the following manner [22, p. 1647]

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} F_{\alpha}(k)(x - x_0)^{\alpha k}$$
(6.1.5)

6.1.4 SOME BASIC PROPERTIES OF THE GENERALIZED DIFFERENTIAL TRANSFORM

If $F_{\alpha}(k), G_{\alpha}(k)$ and $H_{\alpha}(k)$ are the generalized differential transforms of the

functions f(x), g(x) and h(x) respectively, then

(a) If $f(x) = g(x) \pm h(x)$, then $F_{\alpha}(k) = G_{\alpha}(k) \pm H_{\alpha}(k)$

(b) If f(x) = ag(x), then $F_{\alpha}(k) = aG_{\alpha}(k)$, where a is a constant.

(c) If f(x) = g(x)h(x), then $F_{\alpha}(k) = \sum_{l=0}^{k} G_{\alpha}(l)H_{\alpha}(k-l)$

(d) If $f(x) = {}^{C}_{a}D^{\alpha}_{x}g(x)$, then $F_{\alpha}(k) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha(k+1)+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha k+1)}G_{\alpha}(k+1)$

(e) If $f(x) = (x - x_0)^{\gamma}, \gamma = n\alpha, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $F_{\alpha}(k) = \delta(k - \gamma/\alpha)$,

where

$$\delta(k) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = 0\\ 0 & \text{if } k \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

(f) If $f(x) = {}^{C}_{b}D^{\beta}_{x}g(x), m-1 < \beta \leq m$ and the function g(x) satisfies the condition in Theorem 6.1.2, then

$$F_{\alpha}(k) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha k + 1)} G_{\alpha}\left(k + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)$$

6.2 APPLICATION OF GDTM TO BAGLEY TORVIK EQUATION

In this section we consider Bagley Torvik Equation in the following form:

$$AD^{2}y(t) + BD^{\frac{3}{2}}y(t) + Cy(t) = f(t)$$
 where $t > 0$ (6.2.1)

Subject to initial conditions

$$y(0) = 0$$
 and $y'(0) = 0$ (6.2.2)

where $A \neq 0$, B and C are constant coefficients.

SOLUTION: Applying generalized differential transform (6.1.4) on the both sides of (6.2.1) by using the property of GDTM (f) with a = b = 0, we easily arrive at the following result

$$A\frac{\Gamma(\alpha k+3)}{\Gamma(\alpha k+1)}Y_{\alpha}\left(k+\frac{2}{\alpha}\right) + B\frac{\Gamma(\alpha k+\frac{3}{2}+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha k+1)}Y_{\alpha}\left(k+\frac{3}{2\alpha}\right) + CY_{\alpha}(k) = F_{\alpha}(k)$$
(6.2.3)

or

$$Y_{\alpha}\left(k+\frac{2}{\alpha}\right) = \frac{F_{\alpha}(k) - CY_{\alpha}(k) - B\frac{\Gamma(\alpha k+\frac{5}{2})}{\Gamma(\alpha k+1)}Y_{\alpha}(k+\frac{3}{2\alpha})}{A(\alpha k+2)(\alpha k+1)}$$
(6.2.4)

Where $Y_{\alpha}(k)$ be the Generalized Differential Transform Function of y(t). The generalized differential transform of the initial conditions in Eq. (6.2.2) takes the following form

$$[{}^{C}_{a}D^{\alpha k}_{t_{0}}y(t)]_{t=0} = 0 \qquad \text{for } k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$$
 (6.2.5)

Utilizing the recurrence relation (6.2.4) and the transformed initial conditions (6.2.5) we calculated the value of $Y_{\alpha} \left(k + \frac{2}{\alpha}\right)$ for $k = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$. Then taking the inverse generalized differential transform (6.1.5), we obtain the desire solution after a little simplification.

EXAMPLE 1: Consider the following special case of Bagley-Torvik equation given by (6.2.1) as investigated earlier [29, 92]

$$D^{2}y(t) + D^{\frac{3}{2}}y(t) + y(t) = 2 + 4\sqrt{\frac{t}{\pi}} + t^{2}$$
(6.2.6)

Subject to initial conditions

$$y(0) = 0$$
 and $y'(0) = 0$ (6.2.7)

6. SOLUTION OF FRACTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION BY GENERALIZED DIFFERENTIAL TRANSFORM METHOD (GDTM)

SOLUTION: Applying generalized differential transform (6.1.4) on the both sides of (6.2.6) by using the property of GDTM (f) with a = b = 0 and setting $t_0 = 0, \alpha = 1/2$, we easily arrive at the following result

$$Y_{\frac{1}{2}}(k+4) = \frac{2\delta(k) + \frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi}}\delta(k-1) + \delta(k-4) - Y_{\frac{1}{2}}(k) - \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2} + \frac{5}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2} + 1)}Y_{\frac{1}{2}}(k+3)}{(\frac{k}{2} + 2)(\frac{k}{2} + 1)}$$
(6.2.8)

and initial conditions (6.2.7) takes the following form

$$Y_{\frac{1}{2}}(0) = 0;$$
 $Y_{\frac{1}{2}}(1) = 0;$ $Y_{\frac{1}{2}}(2) = 0;$ $Y_{\frac{1}{2}}(3) = 0$ (6.2.9)

Utilizing the recurrence relation (6.2.8) and the transformed initial conditions (6.2.9) we calculated the value of $Y_{\frac{1}{2}}(k)$, for $k = 1, 2, \cdots$. Then we can easily obtain the following result after a little simplification

$$Y_{\frac{1}{2}}(k) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} & k = 4\\ 0 & \text{if} & k \neq 4 \end{cases}$$
(6.2.10)

Now, from (6.1.5), and f(x) replaced by y(t) then it takes the following form

$$y(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Y_{\frac{1}{2}}(k) t^{\frac{k}{2}}$$
(6.2.11)

Next, using the values of $Y_{\frac{1}{2}}(k)$ from (6.2.10) in (6.2.11) then we get the exact solution of Bagley-Torvik equation (6.2.6) is

$$y(t) = t^2 (6.2.12)$$

which is same as obtained by Ghorbani and Alavi [29] by using He's Variational Iteration Method.

We can obtain same solution for all values of α such that $0 < \alpha \leq 1$.

Example 2: We consider the following special case of Bagley-Torvik equation given by (6.2.1) as investigated earlier [20, 21]

$$D^{2}y(t) + D^{\frac{3}{2}}y(t) + y(t) = t + 1$$
(6.2.13)

subject to initial condition

$$y(0) = 1$$
 and $y'(0) = 1$ (6.2.14)

SOLUTION: Applying generalized differential transform (6.1.4) on the both sides of (6.2.13) by using the property of GDTM (f) with a = b = 0 and setting $t_0 = 0, \alpha = 1/2$, we easily arrive at the following result

$$Y_{\frac{1}{2}}(k+4) = \frac{\delta(k) + \delta(k-2) - Y_{\frac{1}{2}}(k) - \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2} + \frac{5}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2} + 1)}Y_{\frac{1}{2}}(k+3)}{(\frac{k}{2} + 2)(\frac{k}{2} + 1)}$$
(6.2.15)

and initial conditions (6.2.14) takes the following form

$$Y_{\frac{1}{2}}(0) = 1; \quad Y_{\frac{1}{2}}(1) = 0; \quad Y_{\frac{1}{2}}(2) = 1; \quad Y_{\frac{1}{2}}(3) = 0$$
 (6.2.16)

Utilizing the recurrence relation (6.2.15) and the transformed initial conditions (6.2.16) we calculated the value of $Y_{\frac{1}{2}}(k)$, for $k = 1, 2, \cdots$. Then we can easily obtain the following result after a little simplification

$$Y_{\frac{1}{2}}(k) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} & k = 0, 2\\ 0 & \text{if} & k \neq 0, 2 \end{cases}$$
(6.2.17)

Now, from (6.1.5), and f(x) replaced by y(t) then it takes the following form

$$y(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Y_{\frac{1}{2}}(k) x^{\frac{k}{2}}$$
(6.2.18)

6. SOLUTION OF FRACTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION BY GENERALIZED DIFFERENTIAL TRANSFORM METHOD (GDTM)

Using the values of $Y_{\frac{1}{2}}(k)$ from (6.2.17) in (6.2.18), the exact solution of Bagley-Torvik equation (6.2.13) is obtained as

$$y(t) = 1 + t \tag{6.2.19}$$

which is same as obtained by El-Sayed at el. [20] by using Adomian decomposition method (ADM) and proposed numerical method (PNM).

We can obtain same solution for all values of α such that $0 < \alpha \leq 1$.

6.3 APPLICATION OF GDTM TO FRACTIONAL RELAXATION OSCILLATION EQUATION

In this section, we shall apply GDTM for solving Fractional Relaxation Oscillation Equation:

Consider the Fractional Relaxation Oscillation Equation in the following form:

$$D^{\beta}y(x) + Ay(x) = f(x), \qquad x > 0$$
(6.3.1)

Subject to initial conditions

$$y(0) = \lambda$$
 and $y'(0) = \mu$ (6.3.2)

where A is positive constant and $0 < \beta \leq 2$.

SOLUTION: Applying generalized differential transform (6.1.4) on the both sides of (6.3.1) by using the property of GDTM (f) with a = b = 0, we easily arrive at the following result

$$\frac{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha k + 1)} Y_{\alpha}\left(k + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right) + AY_{\alpha}(k) = F_{\alpha}(k)$$
(6.3.3)
or

$$Y_{\alpha}\left(k+\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha k+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha k+\beta+1)} [F_{\alpha}(k) - AY_{\alpha}(k)]$$
(6.3.4)

Where $Y_{\alpha}(k)$ be the Generalized Differential Transform function of y(t). The generalized differential transform of the initial conditions in Eq. (6.3.2) takes the following form

$$Y_{\alpha}(k) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha k+1)} [\binom{C}{a} D_{x_0}^{\alpha})^k y(x)]_{x=0} \quad \text{for} \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (6.3.5)$$

Utilizing the recurrence relation (6.3.4) and the transformed initial conditions (6.3.5) we calculated the value of $Y_{\alpha} \left(k + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)$ for $k = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$. Then taking the inverse generalized differential transform (6.1.5), we obtain the desire solution after a little simplification.

Example 3: If we take $\beta = \frac{1}{4}$, A = 1 and f(x) = 0 in (6.3.1), it reduces to Relaxation-Oscillation Equation [44]

$$D^{\frac{1}{4}}y(x) + y(x) = 0 \tag{6.3.6}$$

with initial condition

$$y(0) = 1 \tag{6.3.7}$$

SOLUTION: Applying generalized differential transform (6.1.4) on the both sides of (6.3.6) by using the property of GDTM (f) with a = b = 0 and setting $x_0 = 0, \alpha = 1/4$, we easily arrive at the following result

$$Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(k+1) = -\frac{\Gamma(\frac{k}{4}+1)}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{4}+\frac{5}{4})}Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(k)$$
(6.3.8)

and initial conditions (6.3.7) takes the following form

$$Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(0) = 1 \tag{6.3.9}$$

Utilizing the recurrence relation (6.3.8) and the transformed initial conditions (6.3.9) we calculated the value of $Y_{\frac{1}{2}}(k+1)$, for $k = 1, 2, \cdots$. Then we can easily obtain the following result after a little simplification

$$Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(1) = -1.1033; \qquad Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(2) = 1.1284; \qquad Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(3) = -1.0881;$$

$$Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(4) = 1; \qquad Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(5) = -0.8826; \qquad Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(6) = 0.7523;$$

$$Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(7) = -0.62175; \qquad Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(8) = 0.5$$

$$(6.3.10)$$

Similarly we can find the value of $Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(k)$ for $k = 7, 8, 9 \cdots$.

Now, from (6.1.5), and f(x) replaced by y(x) then it takes the following form

$$y(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(k) x^{\frac{k}{4}}$$
(6.3.11)

Using the values of $Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(k)$ from (6.3.10) in (6.3.11), the exact solution of Fractional Relaxation Oscillation equation (6.3.6) is obtained as

$$y(x) = 1 - 1.1033x^{\frac{1}{4}} + 1.1284x^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1.0881x^{\frac{3}{4}} + x - 0.8826x^{\frac{5}{4}} + 0.7523x^{\frac{3}{2}} - 0.62175x^{\frac{7}{4}} + 0.5x^{2} \cdots$$
(6.3.12)

Example 4: If we take $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$, A = 1 and f(x) = 0 in (6.3.1) and follow the method given in Example 3, we easily arrive at the following result

$$y(x) = 1 - 1.1284x^{\frac{1}{2}} + x - 0.7523x^{\frac{3}{2}} + 0.5x^{2} \cdots$$
(6.3.13)

Example 5: If we take $\beta = \frac{3}{4}$, A = 1 and f(x) = 0 in (6.3.1) and follow the method given in Example 3, we easily arrive at the following result

$$y(x) = 1 - 1.0881x^{\frac{3}{4}} + 0.7523x^{\frac{3}{2}} - 0.3923x^{\frac{9}{4}} + 0.1667x^{3} \cdots$$
(6.3.14)

Example 6: If we take $\beta = 1$, A = 1 and f(x) = 0 in (6.3.1) and follow the method given in Example 3, we easily arrive at the following result

$$y(x) = \exp(-x) \tag{6.3.15}$$

Example 7: If we take $\beta = \frac{5}{4}$, A = 1 and f(x) = 0 in (6.3.1) and follow the method given in Example 3, we easily arrive at the following result

$$y(x) = 1 - 0.8826x^{\frac{5}{4}} + 0.3009x^{\frac{5}{2}} - 0.0603x^{\frac{15}{4}} + 0.0083x^{5} \cdots$$
(6.3.16)

Example 8: If we take $\beta = \frac{3}{2}$, A = 1 and f(x) = 0 in (6.3.1) and follow the method given in Example 3, we easily arrive at the following result

$$y(x) = 1 - 0.7523x^{\frac{3}{2}} + 0.1667x^3 - 0.0191x^{\frac{9}{2}} + 0.0014x^6 \cdots$$
(6.3.17)

Example 9: If we take $\beta = \frac{7}{4}$, A = 1 and f(x) = 0 in (6.3.1) and follow the method given in Example 3, we easily arrive at the following result

$$y(x) = 1 + x - 0.62175x^{\frac{7}{4}} - 0.22609x^{\frac{11}{4}} + 0.085972x^{\frac{7}{2}} \cdots$$
(6.3.18)

Example 10: If we take $\beta = 2$, A = 1 and f(x) = 0 in (6.3.1) and follow the method given in Example 3, we easily arrive at the following result

$$y(x) = \cos x \tag{6.3.19}$$

6. SOLUTION OF FRACTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION BY GENERALIZED DIFFERENTIAL TRANSFORM METHOD (GDTM)

The graph given below demonstrates have been represented Eq.(6.3.12)

to Eq. (6.3.19) graphically by making use of "MATHEMATICA SOFT-

WARE" as given below



Figure : 1. Approxiamte solution of example 3 to 10

6.4 APPLICATION OF GDTM TO FRACTIONAL ORDER RICCATI DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION AND NUMERICAL RESULT

In this section we consider the Fractional Order Riccati Differential Equation in the following form :

$$D^{\beta}y(t) = P(t)y^{2}(t) + Q(t)y(t) + R(t), \qquad t > 0, \qquad 0 < \beta \le 1$$
(6.4.1)

Subject to initial condition

$$y(0) = B \tag{6.4.2}$$

where P(t), Q(t) and R(t) are known functions.

SOLUTION: Applying generalized differential transform (6.1.4) on the both sides of (6.4.1) by using the property of GDTM (f) with a = b = 0, we easily arrive at the following result

$$\frac{\Gamma(\alpha k+\beta+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha k+1)}Y_{\alpha}\left(k+\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{h}\sum_{l=0}^{k}P_{\alpha}(l)Y_{\alpha}(k-l)Y_{\alpha}(h-k) + \sum_{l=0}^{k}Q_{\alpha}(l)Y_{\alpha}(k-l) + R_{\alpha}(k)$$
(6.4.3)

or

$$Y_{\alpha}\left(k+\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha k+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha k+\beta+1)} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{h} \sum_{l=0}^{k} P_{\alpha}(l)Y_{\alpha}(k-l)Y_{\alpha}(h-k) + \sum_{l=0}^{k} Q_{\alpha}(l)Y_{\alpha}(k-l) + R_{\alpha}(k)\right]$$
(6.4.4)

where $Y_{\alpha}(k)$ is the Generalized Differential Transform function of y(t).

The generalized differential transform of the initial conditions in Eq. (6.4.2) takes

the following form

$$Y_{\alpha}(k) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha k+1)} [\binom{C}{a} D_{t_0}^{\alpha} k^k y(t)]_{t=0} \quad \text{for} \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$$
 (6.4.5)

Utilizing the recurrence relation (6.4.4) and the transformed initial conditions (6.4.5) we calculated the value of $Y_{\alpha} \left(k + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)$ for $k = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$. Then taking the inverse generalized differential transform (6.1.5), we obtain the desire solution after a little simplification.

Example 11: If we take $\beta = \frac{1}{4}$, P(t) = 1, Q(t)= 2 and R(t) = t² in (6.4.1), it reduces to

$$D^{\frac{1}{4}}y(t) = t^2 + 2y(t) + y^2(t)$$
(6.4.6)

Subject to initial condition

$$y(0) = 0 \tag{6.4.7}$$

SOLUTION : Applying generalized differential transform (6.1.4) on the both sides of (6.4.6) by using the property of GDTM (f) with a = b = 0 and setting $t_0 = 0, \alpha = 1/4$, we easily arrive at the following result

$$Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(k+1) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k}{4}+1)}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{4}+\frac{5}{4})} \left[\delta(k-8) + 2Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(k) + \sum_{l=0}^{k} Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(l)Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(k-l) \right]$$
(6.4.8)

and initial conditions (6.4.7) takes the following form

$$Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(0) = 0 \tag{6.4.9}$$

Utilizing the recurrence relation (6.4.8) and the transformed initial conditions (6.4.9) we calculated the value of $Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(k+1)$, for $k = 1, 2, \cdots$. Then we can easily

obtain the following result after a little simplification

$$Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(1) = 0; \qquad Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(2) = 0; \qquad Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(3) = 0; \qquad Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(4) = 0;$$

$$Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(5) = 0; \qquad Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(6) = 0; \qquad Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(7) = 0; \qquad Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(8) = 0;$$

$$Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(9) = 0.6018; \qquad Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(10) = 1.204; \qquad Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(11) = 1.809; \qquad Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(12) = 2.667;$$

$$Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(13) = 3.862; \qquad Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(14) = 5.502 \qquad Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(15) = 7.717; \qquad Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(16) = 10.67$$

(6.4.10)

Similarly we can find the value of $Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(k)$ for $k = 17, 18, 19 \cdots$.

Now, from (6.1.5), and f(t) replaced by y(t) then it takes the following form

$$y(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(k) t^{\frac{k}{4}}$$
(6.4.11)

Using the values of $Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(k)$ from (6.4.10) in (6.4.11), the exact solution of Fractional Order Reccati Differential Equation (6.4.6) is obtained as

$$y(t) = 0.6018t^{\frac{9}{4}} + 1.204t^{\frac{10}{4}} + 1.809t^{\frac{11}{4}} + 2.667t^{3} + 3.862t^{\frac{13}{4}} + 5.502t^{\frac{14}{4}} + 7.717t^{\frac{15}{4}} + 10.67t^{4} \cdots$$
(6.4.12)

Example 12: If we take $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$, P(t) = 1, Q(t) = 2 and $R(t) = t^2$ in (6.4.1) and follow the method given in Example 11, we easily arrive at the following result

$$y(t) = 0.6018t^{\frac{5}{2}} + 0.6667t^{3} + 0.6878t^{\frac{7}{2}} + 0.6667t^{4} + 0.6114t^{\frac{9}{2}} + 0.5334t^{5} + 0.6599t^{\frac{11}{2}} + 0.8485t^{6} \cdots$$
(6.4.13)

Example 13:If we take $\beta = \frac{3}{4}$, P(t) = 1, Q(t) = 2 and $R(t) = t^2$ in (6.4.1) and follow the method given in Example 11, we easily arrive at the following result

$$y(t) = 0.4522t^{\frac{11}{4}} + 0.3439t^{\frac{14}{4}} + 0.2272t^{\frac{17}{4}} + 0.133t^5 \cdots$$
 (6.4.14)

6. SOLUTION OF FRACTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION BY GENERALIZED DIFFERENTIAL TRANSFORM METHOD (GDTM)

Example 14: If we take $\beta = 1$, P(t) = 1, Q(t) = 2 and $R(t) = t^2$ in (6.4.1) and follow the method given in Example 11, we easily arrive at the following result

$$y(t) = \frac{t^3}{3} + \frac{t^4}{6} + \frac{t^5}{15} + \frac{t^6}{45} + \frac{t^7}{45} \cdots$$
(6.4.15)

The graph given below demonstrates have been represented eq.(6.4.12) to eq. (6.4.15) graphically by making use of "MATHEMATICA SOFT-WARE" as given below.



Figure : 2. Approxiante solution of example 11 to 14

Example 15: If we take $\beta = \frac{1}{4}$, P(t) = -1, Q(t) = 3 and R(t) = t in equation (6.4.1), it reduces to

$$D^{\frac{1}{4}}y(t) = t + 3y(t) - y^{2}(t)$$
(6.4.16)

Subject to initial condition

$$y(0) = 1 \tag{6.4.17}$$

SOLUTION : Applying generalized differential transform (6.1.4) on the both sides of (6.4.16) by using the property of GDTM (f) with a = b = 0 and setting $t_0 = 0, \alpha = 1/4$, we easily arrive at the following result

$$Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(k+1) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{k}{4}+1)}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{4}+\frac{5}{4})} \left[\delta(k-4) + 3Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(k) - \sum_{l=0}^{k} Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(l)Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(k-l) \right]$$
(6.4.18)

and initial conditions (6.4.17) takes the following form

$$Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(0) = 1 \tag{6.4.19}$$

Utilizing the recurrence relation (6.4.18) and the transformed initial conditions (6.4.19) we calculated the value of $Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(k+1)$, for $k = 1, 2, \cdots$. Then we can easily obtain the following result after a little simplification

$$Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(1) = 2.207; \qquad Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(2) = 2.2578; \qquad Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(3) = -2.519; \\ Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(4) = -11.4756; \qquad Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(5) = -3.9283; \qquad Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(6) = 49.5215 \end{cases}$$
(6.4.20)

Similarly we can find the value of $Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(k)$ for $k = 7, 8, 9 \cdots$.

Now, from (6.1.5), and f(t) replaced by y(t) then it takes the following form

$$y(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(k) t^{\frac{k}{4}}$$
(6.4.21)

6. SOLUTION OF FRACTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION BY GENERALIZED DIFFERENTIAL TRANSFORM METHOD (GDTM)

Using the values of $Y_{\frac{1}{4}}(k)$ from (6.4.20) in (6.4.21), the exact solution of Fractional Order Reccati Differential Equation (6.4.16) is obtained as

$$y(t) = 1 + 2.207t^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2.2578t - 2.5198t^{\frac{3}{2}} - 11.4756t^{2} - 3.9283t^{\frac{5}{2}} + 49.5215t^{3} \cdots$$
(6.4.22)

Example 16: If we take $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$, P(t) = -1, Q(t) = 3 and R(t) = t in (6.4.16) and follow the method given in Example 15, we easily arrive at the following result

$$y(t) = 1 + 2.257t^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2t - 1.5753t^{\frac{3}{2}} - 7.048t^{2} - 2.3694t^{\frac{5}{2}} + 19.7999t^{3} \cdots$$
(6.4.23)

Example 17:If we take $\beta = \frac{3}{4}$, P(t) = -1, Q(t)= 3 and R(t) = t in (6.4.16) and follow the method given in Example 15, we easily arrive at the following result

$$y(t) = 1 + 2.176t^{\frac{3}{4}} + 1.5045t^{\frac{6}{4}} - 1.6847t^{\frac{9}{4}} + 0.3009t^{\frac{10}{4}} \cdots$$
(6.4.24)

Example 18: If we take $\beta = 1$, P(t) = -1, Q(t) = 3 and R(t) = t in (6.4.16) and follow the method given in Example 15, we easily arrive at the following result

$$y(t) = 1 + 2t + 1.5t^2 - 0.8333t^3 - 0.2083t^4 + 0.1749t^5 \cdots$$
(6.4.25)

The graph given below demonstrates have been represented eq.(6.4.22) to eq. (6.4.25) graphically by making use of "MATHEMATICA SOFT-WARE" as given below



Figure : 3. Approxiamte solution of example 15 to 18

6. SOLUTION OF FRACTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION BY GENERALIZED DIFFERENTIAL TRANSFORM METHOD (GDTM)

- Adomian G, Solving Frontier Problems of Physics: The Decompositions Method. *Kluwer, Boston* (1994).
- [2] Adomian G, Nonlinear Stochastic Operator Equations. Academic Press, San Diego (1986).
- [3] Agarwal RP, Belmekki M and Benchohra M, A survey on semi linear differential equations and inclusions involving Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative. Advances in Difference Equations, 2009(3) (2009) 1–47.
- [4] Ahmed E, El-Sayed AMA and El-Saka HAA, Equilibrium points, stability and numerical solutions of fractional-order predator-prey and rabies models. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **325(1)** (2007) 542–553.
- [5] Anderson WJ, Haubold HJ and Mathai AM, Astrophysical Thermonuclear Functions. Astrophysics and space science, 214 (1994) 49–70.

- [6] Appell P, "Sur une classe depolynômes". Annales Scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure, 9 (1880) 119–144.
- [7] Bagley RL and Torvik PJ, A different approach to the analysis of viscoelastically damped structures. AIAA Journal, 21(5) (1983) 741-748.
- [8] Benson DA, Wheatcraft SW and Meerschaert MM, Application of a fractional advection-dispersion equation. Water Resour. Res., 36(6) (2000) 1403–1412.
- [9] Bundela B, A study of Mellin–Barnes type Integral, Generalized Fractional Calculus, Integral Operators and General Polynomials with Applications. *Ph.D. thesis. MNIT, Jaipur* (2011).
- [10] Bushman RG and Srivastava HM, The H-function associated with a certain class of Feynman integrals. J.Phys. A: Math. Gen, 23 (1990) 4707–4710.
- [11] Caputo M, Elasticità e dissipazione. Zanichelli, Bologana (1969).
- [12] Caputo M, Linear Model of Dissipation whose Q is almost Frequency Independent - II. *Geophys. J. R. Astr. Soc.*, 13 (1967) 529–539.
- [13] Chaudhry MA, Qadir A, Srivastava HM and Paris RB, Extended hypergeometric and confluent hypergeometric functions. *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 159(2004) 589–602.

- [14] Chen W, Time-space fabric underlying anomalous diffusion. *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, 28(4) (2006) 923–929.
- [15] Chen W and Holm S, Modified Szabo?s wave equation models for lossy media obeying frequency power law. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 114(5) (2003) 2570–2574.
- [16] Chen W, Zhang XD and Korosak D, Investigation on fractional and fractal derivative relaxation-oscillation models. *International Journal* of Nonlinear Sciences and Numerical Simulation, 11(1) (2010) 3–9.
- [17] Das S, Solution of extraordinary differential equations with physical reasoning by obtaining modal reaction series. *Modelling and Simulation in Engineering*, 2010 .(2010) 1–19.
- [18] Doetsch G, Handbuch der Laplace Transformation, Vol I–III, Birkhauser Stuttgart (1950–56).
- [19] Debnath L and Bhatta D, Integral Transforms and Their Applications. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, London, New York (2007).
- [20] El-Sayed AMA, El-Kalla IL and Ziad EAA, Analytical and numerical solutions of multiterm nonlinear fractional orders differential equations. *Applied Numerical Mathematics*, 60(8)(2010) 788–797.
- [21] Enesiz YC, Keskin Y and Kurnaz A, The solution of the Bagley– Torvik equation with the generalized Taylor collocation method. *Journal of*

the Franklin Institute Engineering and Applied Mathematics, **347(2)** (2010) 452–466.

- [22] Erturk VS, Momani S and Odibat Z, Application of generalized differential transform method to multi-order fractional differential equations. Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation, 13(8) (2008) 1642–1654.
- [23] Erdélyi A, Magnus W, Oberhettinger F and Tricomi FG, Higher Transcendental Functions, Vol. I, McGraw Hill Book Co. New York-Toronto-London(1953).
- [24] Erdélyi A, Fractional integrals of generalized functions, Fractional Calculs and its Applications. (Lecture Note in Math., Vol. 457), Springer Verlag, New York (1975) 151–170.
- [25] Exton H, Multiple Hypergeometric Functions and Applications. Halsted Press (Elis Horwood, Chichester), John Wiley and Sons, New York, London Sydney and Toronto (1976).
- [26] Garg M and Manohar P, Three dimensional generalized differential transform method for space-time fractional diffusion equation. *Palestine Journal* of Mathematics, 4(1) (2015) 127–135.
- [27] Garg M and Purohit M, A Study of Multidimensional Fractional Integral Operators and Generalized Stieltjes Transform. *Kyungpook Math. J.*, 40 (2000) 115–124.

- [28] Garg Sheekha, Multidimensional Fractional Integral Operators and a General Class of Multivariable Polynomials. Simon Steven Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math., 66 (1992) 327–339.
- [29] Ghorbani A and Alavi A, Application of He's variational iteration method to solve semi differential equations of nth order. Mathematical Problems in Engineering, 2008 (2008), 1–9.
- [30] Glaeske HJ, Kilbas AA and Saigo M, A modified Bessel-type integral transform and its compositions with fractional calculus operators on spaces F_{p,μ} and F'_{p,μ}. Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 118 (2000) 151–168.
- [31] Gorenflo R and Vessalla S, Abel Integral Equations: Analysis and Applications, Lecture Notes in Mathematics Vol. 1461, Springer-Verlag Berlin (1991).
- [32] Goyal SP and Jain RM, Fractional integral operators and generalized hypergeometric function. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 18(1987) 251–259.
- [33] Gradshteyn IS and Ryzhik IM, Table of Integrals, Series and Products. Academic Press(1980).
- [34] Gupta KC, New releationship of the H-function with functions of practical utility in fractional calculus. *Ganita Sandesh*, 15(2) (2001) 63–66.

- [35] Gupta KC, Jain R and Agrawal P, A Unified Study of Fractional Integral Operators Involving General Polynomials and a Multivariable H-Function. Soochow J. of Math.. 21(1) (1995) 29–40.
- [36] Gupta KC and Jain R, A Study of Multidimensional Fractional Integral Operators Involving a General Class of Polynomials and a Generalized Hyper geometric Function. *Ganita Sandesh*, 5(2) (1991) 55–64.
- [37] Gupta KC, On the H-function. Ann. Soc. Sci., Bruxelles, 79(1965) 97–106.
- [38] Gupta KC and Soni RC, A unified inverse Laplace transform formula, functions of practical importance and H-functions. J Rajasthan Acad Phy Sci, 1(1) (2002) 7–16.
- [39] Garg M and Mishra R, On Product of Hypergeometric Functions, General Class of Multivariable Polynomials and a Generalized Hypergeometric Series associated with Feynman Integrals. *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.*, 95(4) (2003), 313– 324.
- [40] Gupta KC, Jain R and Agrawal R, On Existence Conditions for Generalized Mellin–Barnes Type Integral. Nat. Acad. Sci. Lett., 30(5&6) (2007) 169–172.
- [41] Gupta KC, Jain R and Sharma A, A study of Unified Finite Integral Transforms with Applications. J. Raj. Aca. Phy. Sci., 2(4) (2003) 269–282.

- [42] Gupta KC and Soni RC, New Properties of Generalization of Hypergeometric Series Associated with Feynman Integrals. *Kyungpook Math J.*, 41(1) (2001) 97–104.
- [43] Grudzinski K and Zebrowski JJ, Modeling cardiac pacemakers with relaxation oscillators. *Physica A*, 336(1-2) (2004) 153–162.
- [44] Gülsu M, Öztürk Y and Anapal1 A, Numerical approach for solving fractional relaxation?oscillation equation. Applied Mathematical Modelling, 37(8)(2013) 5927–5937.
- [45] He JH, Homotopy perturbation method for solving boundary value problems. Phys. Lett. A, 350(1-2) (2006) 87–88.
- [46] He JH, Limit cycle and bifurcation of nonlinear problems, Chaos Solitons Fractals, 26(3)(2005(b)) 827–833.
- [47] He JH, Application of homotopy perturbation method for nonlinear wave equations. *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, 26(3) (2005(a)) 695–700.
- [48] He JH, The homotopy perturbation method for nonlinear oscillators with discontinuities. Appl. Math. Comput., 151(1) (2004) 287–292.
- [49] He JH, A variational iteration method a kind of nonlinear analytical technique: Some examples. Int. J. Non-linear Mech., 34(4) (1999) 699–708.

- [50] Inayat-Hussain AA, New properties of hypergeometric series derivable from Feynman Integrals II. A generalization of the H-function, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen., 20 (1987) 4119–4128.
- [51] Jain K, A Study of Multivariable Polynomials Mellin-Barnes Type Integrals and Integral Transform With Applications. *Ph.D. Thesis, University* of Rajasthan(2008).
- [52] Jain R, A study of special functions, A General Polynomial, Integral Equations and Fractional Calculus with Applications. *Ph.D. thesis. Univ. of Rajasthan, India* (1992).
- [53] Jain R and Sharma A, On Unified Integral Formula Involving H -function and General Class of Polynomials. Aligarh Bull. of Maths., 21 (2002) 7–12.
- [54] Kober H, On fractional integrals and derivatives, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser., 11 (1940) 193–211.
- [55] Kalla SL, Integral Operators Involving Fox's H-function. Acta Mexicana Cien. Tec., 3 (1969) 117–122.
- [56] Kalla SL and Saxena RK, Integral Operators Involving Hypergeometric Functions. Math. Zeitschr, 108 (1969) 231–234.
- [57] Khan NA, Ara A and Khan NA, Fractional–order Riccati differential equation: Analytical approximation and numerical results. Advances in Difference Equations, 2013 (2013) 1–16.

- [58] Kilbas AA, Srivastava HM and Trujillo JJ, Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations, North-Holland Mathematical Studies, Vol. 204, Elsevier (North-Holland) Science Publishers, Amsterdam, London and New York (2006).
- [59] Koul CL, On fractional integral operators of functions of two variables. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India, 41(A6) (1971) 233–240.
- [60] Krall HL and Frink O, A new class of orthogonal Polynomials : The Bessel Polynomials. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 65 (1949) 100–115.
- [61] Kiryakova VS, Generalized Fractional calculus and Applications. Longman Pitman Res Notes in Math. Ser., 301 (1994).
- [62] Krätzel E, Integral transformations of Bessel type. In Generalized functions & operational calculus. (Proc Conf Verna, 1975), Bulg Acad Sci, Sofia, (1979) 148-165.
- [63] Liao S, Notes on the homotopy analysis method: some definitions and theorems. Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul, 14(4) (2009) 983–997.
- [64] Liao S, Beyond Perturbation: a review on the basic ideas of the homotopy analysis method and its applications. Adv. Mech., 38 (2008) 1–34.
- [65] Liao S, Beyond Perturbation: Introduction to the Homotopy Analysis Method. Chapman and Hall/CRC Press, Boca Raton (2003).

- [66] Lorenzo CF and Hartley TT, Generalized functions for the fractional calculus. NAZA/TP-209424 (1999) 1–17.
- [67] Luke YL, The Special functions and Their Approximations. Vols I and II, Academic Press, New York and London (1969).
- [68] Magin R, Ortigueira MD, Podlubny I and Trujillo J, On the fractional signals and systems. Signal Process, 91(3) (2011) 350–371.
- [69] Mainardi F, Fractional relaxation oscillation and fractional diffusion-wave phenomena. *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, 7(9) (1996) 1461–1477.
- [70] Manocha HL, Some Expansions by Fractional Derivatives. Mathematica (cluj), 9(32) (1967) 303–309.
- [71] Manabe S, A suggestion of fractional-order controller for flexible spacecraft attitude control. Nonlinear Dynamics, 29(14) (2002) 251–268.
- [72] Marichev OI , Handbook of integral transforms of higher transcendental functions: theory and algorithmic tables. *Ellis Horwood, Chichester and Wiley, New York* (1983).
- [73] Mathai AM, Saxena RK and Haubold HJ, The H-Function: Theory and Applications, Publication No. 37 of center for Mathematical Sciences, Pala Campus(2008).

- [74] Mathai AM and Saxena RK, Generalized Hyper geometric Functions with Applications in Statistical and Physical Sciences, Springer-Verlag Berlin, Heidelberg and New-York(1973).
- [75] McBridge AC and Roach GF, Fractional Calculus. University of Stratcheltde, Glasgow, Pitam Advanced Publishing Program (1985).
- [76] Meerschaert MM, Benson DA, Scheffler HP and Baeumer B, Stochastic solution of space-time fractional diffusion equations. *Phys. Rev. E*, 65(4) (2002) 1103–1106.
- [77] Metzler R and Klafter J, The random walk's guide to anomalous diffusion: A fractional dynamics approach. *Phys. Rep.*, **339(1)** (2000) 1–77.
- [78] Miller KS and Ross B An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equations. Johan Wiley and Sons, New York (1993).
- [79] Nishimoto K, Fractional Calculus, Vol. I(1984), Vol. II(1987), Vol.
 III(1989), Vol. IV(1991), Vol. V(1996), Descartes Press, Koriyama, Japan.
- [80] Oldham KB and Spanier J, The fractional calculus. Academic Press, New York and London (1974).
- [81] Ozergin E, Some properties of hypergeometric functions. Ph.D. Thesis, Eastern Mediterranean University, North Cyprus, Turkey (2011).
- [82] Özergin E, Özarslan MA and Altin A, Extension of gamma, beta and hypergeometric functions, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 235(2011) 4601–4610.

- [83] Parmar RK, A new generalization of gamma, beta, hypergeometric and confluent hypergeometric functions. Le Matematiche, 68 (2013) 33–42.
- [84] Podlubny I, Fractional Differential Equations. California, USA: Academic Press (1999).
- [85] Podlubny I, Skovranek T and Vinagre Jara BM, Matrix approach to discretization of fractional derivatives and to solution of fractional differential equations and their systems. Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Emerging Technologies and Factory Automation (2009) 1–6.
- [86] Podlubny I, Matrix approach to discrete fractional calculus. Frac. Calc. Appl. Anal., 3(4) (2000) 359–386.
- [87] Prabhakar TR, A singular integral equation with a generalized Mittag– Leffler function in the kernel. Yokohama Math. J., 19 (1971) 7–15.
- [88] Prajapati JC, Dave BI and Nathwani BV, On a Unification of Generalized Mittag–Leffler function and family of Bessel Functions. Advances in Pure Mathematics, 3(2013). 127–137.
- [89] Raina RK and Kiryakova VS, On the Weyl Fractional Calculus. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 73 (1979) 188–192.
- [90] Rainville ED, Special Functions. Chelsea Publishing Company, Bronx, New York, (1960).

- [91] Rathie AK, A New Generalization of Generalized Hypergeometric Functions. Le Matematiche Fasc. II, 52 (1997) 297–310.
- [92] Rawashdeh EA, Numerical solution of semi differential equations by collocation method. Applied Mathematics and Computation, 174(2) (2006) 869– 876.
- [93] Ray SS and Bera RK Analytical solution of the Bagley Torvik equation by Adomian decomposition method. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 168(1)(2005) 398–410.
- [94] Rasmussen A, Wyller J and Vik JO, Relaxation oscillations in spruce?budworm interactions. Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications, 12(1)(2011) 304–319.
- [95] Saigo M, A Certain Boundary Value Problem for the Euler–Darboux Equations III. Mathematica Japonica, 26(1) (1981) 103–119.
- [96] Saigo MA, A Remark On Integral Operators Involving the Gauss Hypergeometric Functions. Math. Rep. Kyushu Univ., 11(1978) 135–143.
- [97] Samko SG, Kilbas AA and Marichev OI, Fractional Integrals and Derivatives: Theory and Applications. Gordon and Breach, New York (1993).
- [98] Saxena RK and Kumbhat RK, A generalization of kober operators. Vijnana Parishad Anusandhan Patrika 16(1973) 31–36.

- [99] Saxena RK, Kalla SL and Saxena R, Multivariate analogue of generalized Mittag–Leffler function. Integral Transform and Special Function, 22(7)(2011) 533–548.
- [100] Saxena RK, On thermonuclear reaction rate integrals through Pathway model. Rajasthan Ganita Parishad, 26(1) (2012) 27–42.
- [101] Saxena RK and Kumbhat RK, Integral Operations Involving Hfunctions. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 5 (1974) 1–6.
- [102] Saxena RK, Chena R and Kalla SL, Application of generalized Hfunction in bivariate distribution. Rev. Acad. Can. Cienc., XIV(1-2)(2002) 111–120.
- [103] Saxena RK, Functional Relations Involving Generalized H-function. Le Mathematiche 53 (1998) 123–131.
- [104] Saxena RK and Gupta N , Some Abelian Theorems for Distributional H -function Transformation. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 25 (1994) 869–879.
- [105] Shukla AK and Prajapati JC, On a generalization of Mittag–Leffler function and its properties. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 336(2)(2007) 797–811.
- [106] Slater LJ, Generalized Hypergeometric Functions, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, London and New York (1966).
- [107] Sneddon IN, Mixed Boundary Value problems in Potential Theory. North Holland Pudl. Co. Amsterdam (1966).

- [108] Srivastava HM and Karlsson PW, Multiple Gaussian Hypergeometric Serie. Halsted Press (Ellis Horwood Limited), John Wiley and Sons, New York, Chichester, Brisbane, Toronto (1985).
- [109] Srivastava HM, Gupta KC and Goyal SP, The H-functions of one and two variables with Applications. South Asian Publishers, New Delhi & Madras(1989).
- [110] Srivastava HM, Luo MJ and Raina RK, A new integral transform and its applications. Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed., 35 (2015) 1386-1400.
- [111] Srivastava HM, Jain R and Bansal MK, A Study of the S-Generalized Gauss Hpergeometric Function and its Associated Integral Transform. *Turkish Journal of Analysis and Number Theory*, 3(5)(2015), 116-119.
- [112] Srivastava HM and Manocha HL, A Treatise on Generating Functions. Ellis Hortwood Ltd. Chichester, John Wiley and sons, New York (1984).
- [113] Srivastava HM and Agarwal P and Jain S, Generating functions for the generalized Gauss hypergeometric functions. Applied Mathematics and Computation, 247(2014) 348–352.
- [114] Srivastava HM and Choi J Series Associated with the Zeta and Related Functions. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston and London(2001).

- [115] Srivastava HM and Choi J, Zeta and q-Zeta Functions and Associated Series and Integrals. Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam, London, New York (2012).
- [116] Srivastava HM, Chaudhry MA and Agarwal RP, The incomplete Pochhammer symbols and their applications to hypergeometric and related functions. *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.*, 23 (2012) 659–683.
- [117] Srivastava HM and Saxena RK, Operators of Fractional Integration and Their Applications, *Elsevier*, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **118** (2001) 1–52.
- [118] Srivastava HM and Panda R, Some bilateral generating functions for a class of generalized hypergeometric polynomials. J. Reine Angew. Math., (1976) 265–274.
- [119] Srivastava HM and Panda R, Expansion Theorems for the H-function of Several Complex Variables. J. Reine Angew. Math., 288 (1976) 129–145.
- [120] Stevanovic Hedrih K The transversal creeping vibrations of a fractional derivative order constitutive relation of non homogeneous beam. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2006 (2006) 1–18.
- [121] Szegö G, Orthogonal Ploynomials. Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. Vol.
 23, Fourth edition, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island (1985).
- [122] Tian Y and Chen A The existence of positive solution to three-point singular boundary value problem of fractional differential equation. Abstract and Applied Analysis, 2009 (2009) 1–18.

- [123] Torvik PJ and Bagley RL On the appearance of the fractional derivative in the behavior of real materials. *Journal of Applied Mechanics*, 51(2) (1984) 294–298.
- [124] Tofighi A, The intrinsic damping of the fractional oscillator. *Physica. A*, 329(1-2) (2003) 29–34.
- [125] Van der Pol B and van der Mark J, The heartbeat considered as a relaxation oscillation, and an electrical model of the heart. *Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science Series*, 7(6) (1928) 763–775.
- [126] Wang DL, Relaxation oscillators and networks. Wiley Encyclopedia of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, 18 (1999) 396–405.
- [127] Zahoor RMA and Qureshi IM A modified least mean square algorithm using fractional derivative and its application to system identification. *European Journal of Scientific Research*, **35(1)** (2009) 14–21.
- [128] Zaslavsky GM, Chaos, Fractional kinetics, and anomalous transport. Phys. Rep., 371(6)(2002) 461–580.
- [129] Zhou JK Differential transformation and its applications for electrical circuits. Wuhan, China: Huazhong University Press (1986).

BIOGRAPHICAL PROFILE OF RESEARCHER

Full Name	: MANISH KUMAR BANSAL
Permanent Address	: H. No. A-22A, Abhilasha Apartment,
	Shivpuri Colony, Airport Sanganer
	Jaipur, Rajasthan - 302020, India
Mob. No.	: +91-7891120508
e-mail	: bansalmanish443@gmail.com
Education	
January, 2013 – Till Date	: Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics
	Malaviya National Institute of Technology, Jaipur
July, 2009 – June, 2012	: Master of Science & Technology in Mathematics
	(secure with 8.2 CGPA)
	Central University of Rajasthan, Ajmer
July, 2005 – May, 2008	: Bachelor of Science

6. BIOGRAPHICAL PROFILE OF RESEARCHER

(secure with 63%)

Maharaja's College

University of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

Teaching Experience

August, 2012 – December 2012	:	Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics
		St. Willfred's PG College, Jaipur.
January, 2013 – December 2016	:	Teaching Mathematics, Department of Mathematics
		Malaviya National Institute of Technology, Jaipur.

<u>Publications</u>

- A STUDY OF UNIFIED FRACTIONAL INTEGRAL OPERATORS IN-VOLVING S-GENERALIZED GAUSS'S HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTION AS ITS KERNEL, Palestine Journal of Mathematics, 6(1) (2017), 142–152, (coauthor Rashmi Jain).
- ANALYTICAL SOLUTION OF BAGLEY TORVIK EQUATION BY GEN-ERALIZED DIFFERENTIAL TRANSFORM, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 110(2), (2016), 265–273, (coauthor Rashmi Jain)
- CERTAIN NEW RESULTS OF THE S-GENERALIZED GAUSS HYPER-GEOMETRIC FUNCTION TRANSFORM, South East Asian J. of Math.
 & Math. Sci., 12(2) (2016), 115–124 (coauthor Rashmi Jain).

- COMPOSITION FORMULAE FOR UNIFIED FRACTIONAL INTEGRAL OPERATORS, European Journal of Advances in Engineering and Technology. 3(4) (2016), 1–6, (coauthor Rashmi Jain).
- APPLICATION OF GENERALIZED DIFFERENTIAL TRANSFORM METHOD TO FRACTIONAL ORDER RICCATI DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION AND NUMERICAL RESULT, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 99(3) (2015), 355–366, (coauthor Rashmi Jain).
- A STUDY OF FINITE INTEGRAL INVOLVING GENERALIZED FORM OF THE ASTROPHYSICAL THERMONUCLEAR FUNCTION, Journal of Rajasthan Academy of Physical Sciences, 14(1) (2015), 51–55, (coauthor Rashmi Jain).
- APPLICATION OF GENERALIZED DIFFERENTIAL TRANSFORM METHOD TO FRACTIONAL RELAXATION OSCILLATION EQUATION, Antarctica Journal of Mathematics, **12(1)** (2015), 85–95, (coauthor Rashmi Jain).
- A STUDY OF THE S-GENERALIZED GAUSS HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTION AND ITS ASSOCIATED INTEGRAL TRANSFORMS, Turkish Journal of Analysis and Number Theory, 3(5) (2015), 116–119, (coauthor H. M. Srivastava and Rashmi Jain).
- 9. ON UNIFIED FINITE INTEGRALS INVOLVING I₃ FUNCTION, GEN-ERALIZED MITTAG-LEFFLER FUNCTION AND APPELL POLYNO-

6. BIOGRAPHICAL PROFILE OF RESEARCHER

MIALS, The Journal of the Indian Academy of Mathematics, 36(2) (2014),211–219, (coauthor Rashmi Jain).

10. CERTAIN NEW RESULTS INVOLVING THE M-TRANSFORM, Journal of Mathematics and Statistics (Accepted).

LIST OF RESEARCH PAPERS

- M. K. BANSAL and R. JAIN (2017). A STUDY OF UNIFIED FRAC-TIONAL INTEGRAL OPERATORS INVOLVING S-GENERALIZED GAUSS'S HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTION AS ITS KERNEL, *Palestine Journal* of Mathematics, 6(1), 142–152.
- M. K. BANSAL and R. JAIN (2016). ANALYTICAL SOLUTION OF BAGLEY TORVIK EQUATION BY GENERALIZED DIFFERENTIAL TRANSFORM, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 110(2), 265–273.
- M. K. BANSAL and R. JAIN (2016). CERTAIN NEW RESULTS OF THE S-GENERALIZED GAUSS HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTION TRANSFORM, South East Asian J. of Math. & Math. Sci., 12(2), 115– 124.
- M. K. BANSAL and R. JAIN (2016).COMPOSITION FORMULAE FOR UNIFIED FRACTIONAL INTEGRAL OPERATORS, European Journal of Advances in Engineering and Technology, 3(4), 1–6.

- 5. H. M. SRIVASTAVA, R. JAIN and M. K. BANSAL (2015). A STUDY OF THE S-GENERALIZED GAUSS HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNC-TION AND ITS ASSOCIATED INTEGRAL TRANSFORMS, *Turkish Journal of Analysis and Number Theory*, **3(5)**, 116–119.
- M. K. BANSAL and R. JAIN (2015). APPLICATION OF GENER-ALIZED DIFFERENTIAL TRANSFORM METHOD TO FRACTIONAL RELAXATION OSCILLATION EQUATION, *Antarctica J. Math.*, 12(1), 85–95.
- M. K. BANSAL and R. JAIN (2015). APPLICATION OF GENER-ALIZED DIFFERENTIAL TRANSFORM METHOD TO FRACTIONAL ORDER RICCATI DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION AND NUMERICAL RE-SULT, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 99(3), 355– 366.
- M. K. BANSAL and R. JAIN (2015). A STUDY OF FINITE IN-TEGRAL INVOLVING GENERALIZED FORM OF THE ASTROPHYS-ICAL THERMONUCLEAR FUNCTION, Journal of Rajasthan Academy of Physical Sciences, 14(1), 51–55.
- M. K. BANSAL and R. JAIN (2014). ON UNIFIED FINITE INTE-GRALS INVOLVING 13 FUNCTION, GENERALIZED MITTAG-LEFFLER FUNCTION AND APPELL POLYNOMIALS, *The Journal of the Indian Academy of Mathematics*, 36(2), 211–219.
