

MALAVIYA NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY JAIPUR DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Jaipur-302017(Rajasthan)

CERTIFICATE

NSTITUTE

This is to certify that the dissertation report on the topic "OPTIMIZATION OF STORAGE AND STACKING OPERATIONS IN CONTAINER TERMINALS" prepared by AYUSH MATHUR (2013PIE5290) student of Master of Technology in Industrial Engineering of Malaviya National Institute of Technology Jaipur is a bonafide compilation of the candidate's work based on published literature on the topic.

Prof. Rakesh Jain

Department of Mechanical Engineering

MNIT Jaipur

Place: Jaipur

Date:



MALAVIYA NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY JAIPUR DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Jaipur-302017(Rajasthan)

CANDIDATE'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work which is being presented in this dissertation entitled "OPTIMIZATION OF STORAGE AND STACKING OPERATIONS IN CONTAINER TERMINALS" in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Technology (M.Tech.) in Industrial Engineering, and submitted to the Department of Mechanical Engineering, Malaviya National Institute of Technology Jaipur is an authentic record of my own work carried out by me during a period of one year from July 2014 to June 2015 under the guidance and supervision of Dr. Rakesh Jain of the Department of Mechanical Engineering, Malaviya National Institute of Technology Jaipur.

The matter presented in this dissertation embodies the results of my own work and has not been submitted anywhere else for award of any other degree or diploma.

Ayush Mathur (2013PIE5290)

This is to certify that the above statement made by the candidate is correct to the best of my knowledge.

Dr. Rakesh Jain Supervisor

Place: Jaipur Dated: July 2015

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

It gives me great pleasure in conveying my heartiest thanks and profound gratitude to my supervisor, **Prof. Rakesh Jain**, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Malaviya National Institute of Technology Jaipur for providing me with the guidance, advice and support at each and every step in the completion of this work.

I am also thankful to **Prof. G. S. Dangayach, Prof. A. P. S. Rathore, Prof. Gopal Agarwal**, **Prof. A. Bhardwaj**, **Dr. M. L. Mittal** and **Dr. Gunjan Soni**, Department of Mechanical Engineering Malaviya National Institute of Technology Jaipur for providing means and support to pursue this work.

> Ayush Mathur (2013PIE5290)

ABSTRACT

In the last four decades, container terminals are essential intermodal interfaces in the global transportation network. Efficient container handling at terminals is important in reducing transportation costs and keeping shipping schedules. With ever increasing containerization the number of seaport container terminals and competition among them, have become quite remarkable. Operations are now a days unthinkable without effective and efficient use of information technology as well as appropriate optimization (operations research) methods. In this report I described the literature review and mathematical modelling for reducing container rehandlings at Hyundai Motor India Limited, Chennai and shows the result.

TABLE OF CONTENT

CERTIFICATE

| AC | CKNOWLE | EDGEMENT | i |
|-----|-------------|------------------------------|------|
| AE | STRACT. | | ii |
| TA | BLE OF C | CONTENT | iv |
| LIS | ST OF TAE | BLES | vi |
| LIS | ST OF FIG | URES | viii |
| 1. | Introductio | on | 1 |
| | 1.1. Termi | inal overview | 2 |
| | 1.2. Comp | pany profile | 5 |
| 2. | Terminal of | operations | 7 |
| | 2.1. The sl | hip planning process | 7 |
| | 2.1.1. | Berth allocation | 7 |
| | 2.1.2. | Stowage planning | 7 |
| | 2.1.3. | Crane split | 8 |
| | 2.2. Storag | ge stacking logistics | 9 |
| | 2.3. Trans | portation | 12 |
| | 2.3.1. | The quayside transport | 12 |
| | 2.3.2. | The landside transport | 14 |
| | 2.3.3. | Crane transport optimization | 17 |
| 3. | Literature | review | 18 |
| | 3.1. Yard | design | 18 |
| | 3.2. The sl | hip planning process | 20 |
| | 3.2.1. | Berth allocation | 20 |
| | 3.2.2. | Stowage planning | 21 |
| | 3.2.3. | Crane split | 23 |

| | 3.3. Storage space assignment | 24 |
|----|-------------------------------------|-----|
| | 3.4. Container reshuffling | .29 |
| | 3.5. Transport optimization | 36 |
| | 3.5.1. Quayside transport | 36 |
| | 3.5.2. The landside transport | .37 |
| | 3.5.3. Crane transport optimization | 38 |
| 4. | Research methodology | 40 |
| | 4.1. Need for study | .40 |
| | 4.2. Assumptions | .40 |
| | 4.3. Problem identified | .40 |
| | 4.4. After material enters HMI | 40 |
| | 4.5. Analysis | 41 |
| | 4.6. Methodology | .42 |
| | 4.6.1. Formulation | .43 |
| 5. | Result and discussion | 48 |
| 6. | Conclusion | 57 |
| | 6.1. Future scope | .57 |
| | References | .59 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table 4.1 List of factors | 40 |
|---|---------------|
| Table 4.2 Total containers to be open to fulfill 1 day, 2 days and 3 days requirement | ents of above |
| mentioned car models | 41 |
| Table 5.1 Relocation and delay for instance 1 | 47 |
| Table 5.2 Relocation and delay for instance 2 | 47 |
| Table 5.3 Relocation and delay for instance 3 | 47 |
| Table 5.4 Relocation and delay for instance 4 | 48 |
| Table 5.5 Relocation and delay for instance 5 | 48 |
| Table 5.6 Relocation and delay for instance 6 | 48 |
| Table 5.7 Relocation and delay for instance 7 | 48 |
| Table 5.8 Relocation and delay for instance 8 | 49 |
| Table 5.9 Relocation and delay for instance 9 | 49 |
| Table 5.10 Relocation and delay for instance 10. | 49 |
| Table 5.11 Relocation and delay for instance 11. | 49 |
| Table 5.12 Relocation and delay for instance 12. | |
| Table 5.13 Relocation and delay for instance 13 | |
| Table 5.14 Relocation and delay for instance 14 | 50 |
| Table 5.15 Relocation and delay for instance 15 | 50 |
| Table 5.16 Relocation and delay for instance 16 | 51 |
| Table 5.17 Relocation and delay for instance 17 | 51 |

| Table 5.18 Relocation and delay for instance 18. | .51 |
|--|-----|
| Table 5.19 Relocation and delay for instance 19 | .51 |
| Table 5.20 Relocation and delay for instance 20 | .52 |
| Table 5.21 Relocation and delay for instance 21 | .52 |
| Table 5.22 Relocation and delay for instance 22 | .52 |
| Table 5.23 Relocation and delay for instance 23 | .52 |
| Table 5.24 Relocation and delay for instance 24 | .53 |
| Table 5.25 Relocation and delay for instance 25 | .53 |
| Table 5.26 Relocation and delay for instance 26 | .53 |
| Table 5.27 Relocation and delay for instance 27 | .53 |
| Table 5.28 Relocation and delay for instance 28 | .54 |
| Table 5.29 Relocation and delay for instance 29. | .54 |
| Table 5.30 Relocation and delay for instance 30 | .54 |
| Table 5.31 Relocation and delay for instance 31 | .54 |
| Table 5.32 Operating cost of container yard for 6 days | .55 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Fig 1.1: Container terminal system | 2 |
|---|----|
| Fig 1.2: Container stack | 3 |
| Fig 1.3 Illustration of bay | 3 |
| Fig 1.4 Top view of a container terminal | 4 |
| Fig 2.1 Quayside crane | 8 |
| Fig 2.2 Container stacking | 12 |
| Fig 2.3 Transport via train | 16 |
| Fig 2.4 Transport via truck | 16 |
| Fig 4.1 Flow of material inside the plant | 40 |
| Fig 5.1 Cost comparison for 3 days | 55 |